



EMERGENCY RENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS (ERAP)

SB 91 State Options - Comparison

	OPTION A State & City (Federal)	OPTION B City (Fed) w/ State Block Grant	OPTION C CITY (Federal) Statewide
Award	\$16,880,474	\$16,880,474	\$8,161,124
Estimated Low-Income Households Assisted	987	987	314
General Estimated Level of Assistance	\$15,390	\$15,390	\$23,400
Administrator/Contractor	State w/Community Partners	City w/Community Partners	City w/Community Partners
+	Doubling of financial assistance; Will reserve an additional \$8.7 M	Doubling of financial assistance; Will reserve an additional \$8.7 M	City maintains control over the federal ERAP
	Tripling number of people assisted with more money and by capping assistance to 80% of rent owed/25% of Rent	Tripling number of people assisted with more money and by capping assistance to 80% of rent owed/25% of Rent	No cap on assistance; Fund 100% of rent owed and future rent w/ max of 12 months assistance
	No financial & administrative burden to the City	City maintains control over the program	Residents still have access to State funding
	One program instead of two (State/City) provides consistency	One program instead of two (State/City) and aligned w/County & City; Provides consistency	
	Obligate 65% of funds by July 31st w/full expenditure by Dec 31, 2021		
-	City losses control over the program; Lost opportunity to collaborate with City of SD & County of SD	Aggressive timelines for obligation of 65% of State Funds by June 1st w/full expenditure by Aug 1, 2021	Less households are assisted
	Bureaucracy of State and many priorities may result in slow down and poor performance	Capacity of community partners to obligate funds w/aggressive deadline of June 1st	Administrative burden falls on the City and liability of duplication of beneficiaries with the State
	Capacity of community partners to obligate funds by July 31st	City responsibility for administration and compliance to State and Fed	Two programs not aligned; May cause confusion to residents