

**Subject:**

FW: Tuesday 6/23/2020 City Council Meeting

**From:** Raymond Estrada [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 17, 2020 7:13 PM  
**To:** CityClerk <[CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov](mailto:CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov)>  
**Subject:** Tuesday 6/23/2020 City Council Meeting

**Warning:  
External  
Email**

Dear Madam/Sir: I am a resident within District 3, which Stephen Padilla represents.

I am providing written notice to you that I want to participate in the upcoming meeting of June 23rd and request that my following statement be included in the Bench Documents.

On May 27, 2020 a Planning hearing was held to determine if a collision auto repair center would be built within our community. The meeting failed to address the current businesses that are already exceeding noise pollution standards as well as the environmental and health impact to this community. The Planning Commission disregarded the concerns of the residents directly affected by this noise-polluting business and chose to side with the business owner, allowing telephonic input which was denied to us a taxpayers, and proceeded to unabashedly approve construction which was spearheaded by a city employee who presented the proposal! That employee's one-sided presentation was an affront to the voting taxpayers of this community. His distortion of the facts deserves scrutiny by his supervisors as well as the City Manager who should also be protecting the interests of Chula Vista residents.

The ongoing noise pollution by existing businesses surely exceeds EPA standards and its impact on our health is a major concern. Please include my comments in the Bench Documents for perusal by Council Members.

Sincerely,

Raymond Estrada  
[REDACTED]

**Sheree Kansas**

---

**Subject:**

FW: Councilmen meeting scheduled for 6/23/20

To whom it May Concern,

I am writing this to request that my concerns be presented at the 6/23/20 council meeting. I am in district 3 with Stephen Padilla as my representative and ask that this e-mail be included as a Bench document.

Since early May 2020, I have reached out to multiple City employees to discuss my concerns in regards to a Auto Collision and Repair shop that has applied to be built very close to my home. My concerns have fallen on deaf ears. I have spoken with Oscar Romero, Tony Cruz, Kelly Broughton and Gary Halbert. I participated in the 5/27/20 Planning Commission Meeting that was held virtually in which Oscar Romero presented a slide show and discussed the proposed business. What was NOT discussed is the fact that there are already two existing businesses to the East and West of this proposed site. Business with a total of over 100 vehicle's that come and go throughout the day. Vehicles that emit constant pollution, noise (back up alarm, car alarm to locate vehicle, generator to wash vehicle) impact on the environment and air quality. These businesses were never talked about and I want to know how can an accurate CEQA be conducted in the area if pertinent information is omitted?

My home sits directly above this lot and is in line of site of this business. My children's bedrooms sit at the front of my home and will be the first ones impacted with the fumes from paint, gas, and all other toxins used at an auto collision and repair facility.

I need my city counsel man to make sure the citizens come first before revenue. It is clear that Chula Vista can benefit from new businesses but NOT at the expense of our health. I welcome a new business at this lot but NOT this type of hazardous business.

I look forward to participating in Tuesdays meeting,

Sincerely,

Kerri Aviles  
[REDACTED]

June 18, 2020

Mark Aviles



Respective Chula Vista City Council Members and

District 3 Council Member: Stephen Padilla

I formally write this letter so it can be included in the upcoming city council meeting on June 23, 2020. To be clear, I oppose the bias-planning commissions decision to build an Auto Body Collision and Repair Facility which is next to our family home.

The city planner, Oscar Romero, failed to provided all the information provided to him regarding resident concerns. Additionally, his slide presentation conveniently omitted;

\*the proximity of our home to this lot

\*the other two businesses on either side of the lot in question

\*NOISE LEVEL produced by these businesses which is less than any Auto Body Shop as Commissioner Nava stated in the previous meeting

\*how this auto collision shop will address ANY TOXIC FUMES

We are not opposed to a business becoming established in this lot, but one that doesn't affect our TRANQUILITY, HEALTH and PROPERTY VALUES.

Cordially,

Mark Aviles

## Sheree Kansas

---

**Subject:** FW: Councilmen Meeting - June 23, 2020  
**Importance:** High

**From:** B C [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 18, 2020 1:34 PM  
**To:** CityClerk <CityClerk@chulavistaca.gov>  
**Subject:** Councilmen Meeting - June 23, 2020  
**Importance:** High

**Warning:**  
**External**  
**Email**

To whom it may concern:

I am writing this email to express my opposition to the following building project:

An **Auto Collison and Repair shop** has applied to be built on **Main Street**:

- We live off Point Estero Dr and Pelican Point Court in Chula Vista (which falls into District 3 with Stephen Padilla).
- This proposed shop would literally just be a few feet south from our residential neighborhood.
- We understand the need for growth, but oppose having this kind of hazardous business in our "backyard".
- Our neighborhood includes especially vulnerable members, like children and elderly, who would be directly exposed to those toxic fumes, and this would have a bad impact on their health.

We ask our Councilman, Stephen Padilla, to please watch out for this neighborhood, and put citizens and public safety first. New businesses are always welcome in our neighborhood, as long as they don't jeopardize our health and well being.

Thank you very much in advance!  
Best  
Beatrix Czink