

Donna Norris

From: Kevin [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 11:00 AM
To: Patricia Aguilar; Mike Diaz; John McCann; Steve C. Padilla; mcasillas@chulavistaca.gov
Subject: Sanctuary City Policy, AKA Welcoming City!

To Chula Vista Mayor, and City Council!

1. Publicly labelling Chula Vista as a "Welcoming City" gives the impression that criminals will be sheltered from law enforcement here and illegal immigrants are criminals...hence the word "illegal."
2. If categorized by the Federal Government as a "Sanctuary City" for this action or for city law enforcement policies, the city puts it's Federal law enforcement funding at risk.
3. Such a label attracts a particular kind of person to come and make Chula Vista their home...those who break the law. Unfortunately, those who break the law include far more than just illegal immigrants and the number of violent criminals / gang members will increase. Speaking for myself and my family...if such a label were applied when we moved to SOCAL, we would not have chosen Chula Vista as our home out of fear for our safety and future home values. Who are more likely to make up the population of responsible, hard working, family-raising tax-payers...those who break the law or those who do not? Who is more likely to overload city, social, education and medical services with little or no means to pay for the cost themselves? What kind of city do you want as the future Chula Vista?
4. Sanctuary cities also perpetuate human trafficking by giving hopeful illegal immigrants-to-be a place to strive for. A typical scenario: A poor family in South America wants to send their children to the USA for a better life in a welcoming sanctuary city. They pay human traffickers to smuggle the children through Mexico and into the USA. The children are molested and abused en route at staging areas just south of Mexico and then again just south of the US border. If they survive and the traffickers get them into the country, there's a huge likelihood that the attractive and strong ones will be forced into prostitution or gangs. Others are simply ordered to get the best job they can and then make endless ransom payments to the traffickers who will kill their poor parents back in South America if they don't.
5. The Mayor and the supporters of this proposals claim that reducing fear in the community is the primary driver for this action. Why would law-abiding citizens be afraid of law enforcement? Only those who break the law would be rightly afraid of the consequences of their criminal actions. After this is passed, will violent criminals also be sheltered so their families will not have to fear law enforcement?
6. The city government should prioritize protecting it's law-abiding citizens and legal residents. Those who are neither do not qualify for constitutional rights / freedoms and should not be sheltered from the law. The vast majority of the citizens / legal residents here are already first or second generation immigrants, so it's not a race / ethnicity nor gender issue. It's all about following the law and enforcing it. It's about protecting law-abiding citizens and attracting the same to become the future residents here. The laws of this country should not be treated as items in a convenient pick & choose menu.

Kevin Hancock

P.S. The United States of America was described by the founding fathers as a melting pot, not a cesspool to be filled full of undocumented people. Most of you running our government like the best of maybe wines, beers, foods, cheeses. It really makes no sense to fill bottles of wine with vinegar, and your fondue pots with low grade cheese. Why are you bent on harboring, and inviting low grade humans into our community unless you are racketeering off the backs of the illiterates which are overwhelming our public services, and public infrastructures!

Kevin Hancock