COUNCIL POLICY CITY OF CHULA VISTA					
SUBJECT: Citywide Cost Recovery Policy	POLICY NUMBER 159-03		EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE	
			06/08/2010	1 of 5	
ADOPTED BY: Resolution No.: 2010-145		DA	DATED: 06/08/2010		
AMENDED BY: Resolution No. (date of resolution)					
As the City continues its efforts toward a sustainable buc times in the long term, it is appropriate that cost recovery a fee is charged. The foundation of effective cost recovery policy. Such a policy provides a guideline for setting fees optimum cost-recovery rates for certain services and all services from General Fund resources. A cost recovery policy provides guidelines for setting fees bind policy makers to increasing or decreasing fees, but p fees are set relative to full cost recovery, the more Gener service. This additional support must then be weighed resources, including needs which may not have similar cost While a primary mission of government is to satisfy commu- specific individuals or businesses. It has been the general large should not subsidize activities of such a private inter- the City has established user fees to best ensure that those service in proportion to the benefits received. With few ex-	levels be ery is a w given the leviating u es given the provides a al Fund do against the st recovery unity needs policy of est through e who use	estab ell co full c ininto e ful ration ollars he ot y opti s, ma the C n gen a pr	blished for services onceived, regularly cost of each service ended subsidization l cost of service. nale for doing so. are required to make her needs for Gerons. ny city services so City Council that the eral tax revenues. oprietary service p	s for which y reviewed e, allowing on of these It does not The lower naintain the neral Fund lely benefit ne public at Therefore, pay for that	

PURPOSE:

The purpose of this Policy is to establish a citywide cost recovery policy, including:

- 1. Provisions for ongoing review;
- 2. Process for establishing cost recovery levels (including factors to be considered and general concepts); and
- 3. Target cost recovery levels for each program offered by the City.

COUNCIL POLI CITY OF CHULA V				
SUBJECT: Citywide Cost Recovery Policy	POLIC NUMB		EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE
	159-0	3	06/08/2010	2 of 5
ADOPTED BY: Resolution No.: 2010-145		DA	TED: 06/08/2010	
AMENDED BY: Resolution No. (date of resolution)				
POLICY:				
Provision for Ongoing Review				
Fees will be periodically reviewed in order to keep par methods or levels of service delivery. In order to facilit comprehensive analysis of the city's costs and fees shoul interim, fees will be adjusted annually each October 1, by	ate a fact d be made	-base	d approach to this	s review, a
1. Annual change in the City's operating budget; or				
2. Annual change in the San Diego area's Consumer I	Price Inde	x for	all Urban Consum	iers
All updates will be based upon the July to July change portion thereof in the instance of mid-year fee updates).	in the su	bject	index for the price	or year (or
Process for Establishing Cost Recovery Levels				
Factors to be Considered				
The following factors will be considered when setting cost	recovery	level	s for user fees.	
1. Community-wide versus special benefit				
The use of general purpose revenue is appropriat fees are appropriate for services that are of special groups. Full cost recovery is not always appropriat	al benefit		•	
2. Service recipient versus service driver				
After considering community-wide versus special service recipient versus service driver should also be			the service, the o	concept of
Particularly for services associated with regula enforcement), from which the community primari of the need for the service (applicant, violator) is a	ly benefit	s, cos	· •	

3. Consistency with City public policies and objectives

City policies and Council goals focused on long term improvements to community quality of life may also impact desired fee levels as fees can be used to change community behaviors,

COUNCIL POLICY CITY OF CHULA VISTA

SUBJECT: Citywide Cost Recovery Policy	POLICY NUMBER	EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE	
	159-03	06/08/2010	3 of 5	
ADOPTED BY: Resolution No.: 2010-145	DATED: 06/08/2010			

AMENDED BY: Resolution No. (date of resolution)

promote certain activities, or provide funding for pursuit of specific community goals. For example, the City has historically subsidized building permits for photovoltaic systems in order to promote their use in the community.

4. Elasticity of demand

Pricing of services can significantly impact demand. At full cost recovery, this has the specific advantage of ensuring that the City is providing services for which there is a genuine market, and that it is not over-stimulated by artificially low prices.

Conversely, high levels of cost recovery may negatively impact the delivery of services to lower income groups. This negative feature can work against public policy, especially if the services are specifically targeted to low income groups.

5. Feasibility of collection

Although it may be determined that a high level of cost recovery may be appropriate for specific services, it may be impractical or too costly to establish a system to identify and charge the user. The method of assessing and collecting fees should be as simple as possible in order to reduce the administrative cost of collection.

General Concepts

- 1. Revenues should not exceed the reasonable cost of providing the service.
- 2. Cost recovery goals should be based on the total cost of delivering the service, as calculated using the fully burdened hourly rates developed in the City's Cost Allocation Plan (CAP), including direct costs, departmental administration costs and organization wide supports costs such as accounting, personnel, informational technology, legal services, fleet maintenance and insurance.
- 3. The method of assessing and collecting fees should be as simple as possible in order to reduce the administrative cost of collection.
- 4. Rate structures should be sensitive to the 'market' for similar services as well as to smaller, infrequent users of the service.
- 5. A unified approach should be used in determining cost recovery levels for various programs based on the factors discussed above.

		~~~				
COUNCIL POLICY CITY OF CHULA VISTA						
SUBJE	ECT: Citywide Cost Recovery Policy	POLIC NUMB		EFFECTIVE DATE	PAGE	
		159-0	3	06/08/2010	4 of 5	
ADOF	TED BY: Resolution No.: 2010-145		DA	<b>TED:</b> 06/08/2010		
AMEN	NDED BY: Resolution No. (date of resolution)					
Deter	mination of Cost Recovery Levels					
	Level I: 0% - 30	%				
Low c	ost recovery levels (0%-30%) are appropriate under	the follow	ving c	circumstances:		
1.	There is no intended relationship between the ame 'social service' programs fall into this category.	ount paid	and	benefit received.	Almost all	
2.	Collecting fees is not cost effective or will signif service.	icantly in	npact	the efficient deliv	very of the	
3.	There is no intent to limit the use (or entitlement to programs fit into this category as well as many pu neighborhood and community parks would also fit	blic safet	y serv	vices. Historically		
4.	The service is non-recurring, generally delivered o available from a private sector source. Many publi	-			•	
5.	Collecting fees would discourage compliance with primarily self-identified, and as such, failure to co City. Many small-scale licenses and permits fall i sale permits, etc.)	omply wo	uld n	ot be readily deter	cted by the	
6.	The public at large benefits even if they are not the	direct use	ers of	the service.		
	Level II: 30% - 7	0%				
subsid	es with factors associated with both Level I and ized at a mid-level of cost recovery (30%-70%). for a description of these factors.			•		
	Level III: 70% - 10	00%				
Higher	r cost recovery levels (70%-100%) are appropriate u	nder the f	ollow	ving circumstances	5:	
1.	The service is similar to service provided through t	he private	secto	or.		
2.	Other private or public sector alternatives could or	do exist f	or the	e delivery of the se	rvice.	
3.	For equity or demand management purposes, it is between the amount paid and the level and cost of t				elationship	
4.	The use of the service is specifically discouraged alarms might fall into this category.	. Police	respo	nses to disturbanc	es or false	
5.	The service is regulatory in nature and voluntary commethod of detecting failure to meet regulatory real and subdivision review fees for large projects would	quirement	s. B	uilding permit, pl		

CITY OF CHULA				
BJECT: Citywide Cost Recovery Policy	POLICY NUMBER 159-03		EFFECTIVE   DATE   06/08/2010	PAGE 5 of 5
<b>DOPTED BY:</b> Resolution No.: 2010-145	<b>DATED:</b> 06/08/2010			
MENDED BY: Resolution No. (date of resolution)				
rget Cost Recovery Levels by Program				
SERVICE AREA / PROGRAM	TARGE	ГСО	ST RECOVERY	
General Government				
City Clerk Fees	III	Ć	70% - 100%)	
Information Technology Fees	III	`	70% - 100%)	
Finance Fees	II		(30% - 70%)	
Special Events/Block Parties/Filming	II	(	(30% - 70%)	
Public Safety				
Animal Control Fees	Ι		(0% - 30%)	
Police Department Fees	III	(	70% - 100%)	
Fire Department Fees, operational permits	II	(	(30% - 70%)	
Fire Department Fees, construction permits	III	(	70% - 100%)	
Parking Fees	II	(	(30% - 70%)	
<b>Community Services</b>				
Library Department Fees	Ι		(0% - 30%)	
Recreation Department Fees	II	(	(30% - 70%)	
Development Services				
Building Fees, except subsidized permits ¹	III	`	70% - 100%)	
Building Fees, subsidized permits ¹	II		(30% - 70%)	
Engineering Fees	III	`	70% - 100%)	
Planning Fees, except subsidized permits 2	III	`	70% - 100%)	
Planning Fees, subsidized permits ²	II	(	(30% - 70%)	
Sewer Fees				
Construction & Connection	III	`	70% - 100%)	
Sewer Service	III	(*	70% - 100%)	