

CITY COUNCIL APPEAL ON VISTA DEL MAR 795 THIRD AVENUE

AUGUST 16, 2016

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT







Initial Process

In July 2015 Project Applications were submitted to City

City staff reviewed Project for consistency and compliance

Project presented to Planning Commission on June 22, 2016





Planning Commission Decision

The night of the hearing, Planning Commission took the following actions:

Considered Addendum to UCSP EIR 06-01

Approved Design Review Permit DR15-0015 with conditions

Approved Tentative Map PCS15-0006





Appeal

Appeal filed by the Corridor Coalition on July 6, 2016

Appeal is on the procedure, actions and approval of the Project and Addendum by the Planning Commission

The basis for the Appeal are:

 the statements and evidence relied upon by the Planning Commission were inaccurate;
there is new information not previously available that supports denial of the Project; and
the findings of the Planning Commission are not supported by the information provided.





Response to Appeal

Staff's response to the Appeal:

Statements, as basis for the Appeal, are unsupportable and do not represent cause to reverse the Planning Commission's decision

Appeal does not provide any specific evidence of claims

Appeal provides very little in terms of new information





Response to Appeal

Response to first claim related to inaccurate information and evidence

The Planning Commission's decision was based on:

Accurate interpretation of the goals, objectives and policies of General Plan and regulations/development standards of the UCSP and Chula Vista Municipal Code

The Planning Commission considered all the information related to the Project, the goals, objectives, and policies of the City's General Plan, Urban Core Specific Plan, as well as the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act.





Response to Appeal

Response to claim that the appeal provides new information:

Appeal does not provide any new reliable information Appeal is based on same information presented to City and Planning Commission

Appeal packet has the following components:

- Appeal Application Form
- Glenda de Vaney's Disclosure Statement
- Earl Jentz's Disclosure Statement
- Martha Coulsen's Disclosure Statement
- Appeal Letter signed by Mr. Everett DeLano with the following attachments:

Letter to City from Evelyn Heidelberg on Appril 15, 2016
Letter to City from Everett DeLano on June 22, 2016
Letter and materials to City from Evelyn Heidelberg on June 22, 2016





Response to Appeal

The only information in the appeal that is new is related to:

Claim that Geological evaluation of the project does not meet requirements Geological assessment was prepared according to standard process Report will incorporate technical information at building permit stage

Claim that staff did not make studies available to Appellant's Counsel All studies were made available to Appellant Appellant was able to review all information prior to hearing





Project Description and Analysis

The rest of this presentation contains:

Description of the Site and Project

Public Participation process

Analysis of Project and how it is consistent with General Plan and UCSP

Description of Project Amenities

Exception on the Floor Area Ration and required findings

Environmental Determination

Staff's Recommendation





Proposed Vista del Mar Project DR15-0015















WHITTING AND A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF





LOOKING NORTH

٠ **Sob Burns Cleaners** Diamon BankofrAmerica Financial Center ala Gairona 1817

LOOKING WEST

3rd Are MangadesAuto k in the Box ARCO 🗩 Chase.ATIN Alliance Training Cen West@pastHomeltoans

LOOKING SOUTH



LOOKING EAST





PROJECT DESCRIPTION



- 3 to 5-story (34 to 60 feet in height) structure •
- 71 residential condominium units (1 and 2 bedrooms) •
- 616 Sq. Ft. of commercial space •
- 1,700 Sq. Ft. public plaza at corner of Third & K •
- 1,770 Sq. Ft. residential fitness center •
- 1,004 Sq. Ft. of lobby & elevator space •
- 2,572 Sq. Ft. of residential lounge space •
- 142 fully-enclosed parking spaces (subterranean & street-level) •
- 17,646 square-feet of common & private open space •
- Open terrace on second floor •
- 8,500 square-feet of landscaped space •



STUDIO E

2258 First Arenus

Son Diego, California 92/01

miltimationshireducers

T (40235592 F 640359511

Vista del Mar Vista del Mar Martinette Marti

ULTIODER Baadwith HEREITE Beadwith

Architectural Site Plan

A1.0



Keynotes Standard particip stat (If x 181, 199-

- 1.08 1.12 Excelling single family have
- 1.14 Handcap parking stat Existing commercial trailiting 1.16
- 210 Bicycle rieds Mekal ferior separating public & resident parting 2.12
- Vehicle gale, swing up, submatic operation with Rate key switch per CVFD 2.13 Metal gate with partic hardware
- 2258 Firet Avenue Son Diego, California 92/01 miltinationshipstoon

T ORDERED F DRITTARD

STUDIO E

ARCHITECTS

Floor Plan Legend & Notes

Storage Anal: refer to Title Sheer (Sheet 751) for numerical summary

Noise loces shall be related at all sparsed enhances, the listly entrance, the residual backge enhances. In this sector loces are the description gaves enhances are second access with CVPE obtains. Any advention galase that extends with a Khon King Mark. A hitser one second noise mich the participting paragraph attemt and where the second noise mich the parameters with COVE backs and the second second access with COVE SUUL 401. 1.1 .

> Vista del Mar Bid & K Street LLC 795 Thed Ave. Chula Vista Project 14118 10/15/2015 Design Review 12/15/2015 Resubriche 63/15/2016 Resubriche 14/08/2014 Resultmittal

Parking Lower Level

A2.0



STUDIO E Keynotes Disordant parking stall (R' x 18'), typ. Excelling single family forms ARCHITECTS Hundoop parking stall Motorcycle parking stall (2' + 6') 2258 First Access Existing continuerial building 4 cutoic pant track bis (2 of) 4 matric paint twoschrig frim (2 ml) Son Diego, California 92/01 Existing first hydract to remain Proposal fire hydraet Received Knoc Box per CHTD millimation directors

T ORIENTER F DRITTAREE

Floor Plan Legend & Notes

Boycle rick

Atorage Assa; infer to Title Bheel plinesi T81) for numerical summary

Cost-in-place rated concrete plantar for buffication

- Now losses shall be initialized and starvest entremes, the today entremes, the motion lossing entremes, the free according on the CPTO balance. Any entremes, gates that according on the CPTO balance and parking granges and the second variable accounts in the parking granges and the second second second according to the according on the second second second according on the second second according on the second second second according to the second second second according to the second s

3rd & K Street LLC 795 Theid Ave. Chula Vista Vista del Mar Project 14118 10/15/2015 Design Review 12/15/2015 Resubriche 63/15/2016 Resubriche 14/08/2014 Resultminut

Parking Upper Level & Level 1

A2.1



Keynotes

1.12 Existing single lamity home Existing commencial building 1.18 2.08 2.06

Marter per landscape Biofilization planter per civil and landscope

Full height building wait to screen pailor beloony from adjacent alogie family 2.18

14.03 Tracking care 2 shallon provided

3298 First Avenue Son Diego, California 92/01 miltinationshipstoon

T ORDERED F DRITTARD

STUDIO E

ARCHITECTS

Floor Plan Legend & Notes

Biorage Area, roler to Talle Street (Ohnet TU1) for numerical automaty

Social bases parallillo recepted a di observato la control d'una di la recepted a di observato la receptación control mona andi di tre controllaria para estado control social di la control de la control de la control de la control recento di anticazia a colora en la filma que trang aporga al al la recento di anticazia e colora en la control que parago al al la control de evolución de la della di enterescipante for accontinuos evolución de la della di enterescipante for accontendado e garregri in accontanza atti-CBC (1002.402).

Second Floor Plan





Keynotes

STUDIO E





East Elevation – View from Church Ave



West Elevation – View from Third Ave



South Elevation – View from K Street



North Elevation – View from the North



View from North East







PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Notice of Application First Neighborhood Meeting – October 15, 2016 – CV High School Second Neighborhood Meeting – Dec. 16, 2016 – Hilltop Dr. Elementary 100 Letters from Neighbors

Comment Letter from Heidelberg/Jentz Richard Schulman w/HechtSolberg Letter Mr. Peter Watry Letter



PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Public Comments opposed to Project:

- Project should comply with UCSP and should not request too many deviations.
- Project is too tall and bulky for the adjacent Single-Family Residential (SFR) neighborhood.
- Reduce building FAR.
- Project should respect residents privacy; balconies will view into the SFR's.
- New residents will take over street parking.
- Traffic will increase and create problems at the intersection of Third and K and residential streets.
- Project construction will create dust and noise.
- Building will block sunlight and view of sunsets.
- Parking garage will attract homeless.



PUBLIC PARTICIPATION



Comments in Favor of Project:

- New housing is needed in the western part of Chula Vista; •
- Existing housing is in terrible conditions and unsafe; ٠
- Western Chula Vista needs to change; ٠
- It's difficult to find somewhere to live and everything is so old; ٠
- There are many people who cannot afford to buy a house. ٠
- Parking is difficult everywhere, not just around Third and K. ٠
- Project would serve as an upgrade to the area. ٠
- Project will improve the neighborhood and make it more modern. ۲





PROJECT REVISIONS

- Building height and mass have been reduced.
- Residential units has been reduced from 80 to 71.
- Floor Area Ratio has been reduced from 2.3 to 2.0.
- All required parking has been provided on-site and enclosed.
- 14 residential and guest parking spaces have been added.
- Balconies have been removed and others have been modified.
- Landscaping at property line and second floor terrace has been increased to provide effective screening.





PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE

Notice of the public hearing was sent to the local newspaper for publishing on June 8th; notice was also mailed on June 9th to:

- All property owners and residents within 500 ft. from the site
- All the attendees to the first and second neighborhood meeting
- All the persons who submitted letters on the project





PROJECT ANALYSIS

- UCSP Development Standards
- Special Provisions of the NTCD
- Incentives and Amenities
- Exception to FAR

UCSP Development Standards

Landscaping	15% Min. of Site (6,861 Sq. Ft.)	19% (8,500 sq. ft.)
Open Space Required	Not required by C1 District regulations.	17,646 sq. ft.
Parking Required:	128 spaces	142 spaces
Building FAR:	Base: 1.0 (45,738 sq. ft.) Incentive Bonus: Several Options Dev. Exception: Allowed w/findings	Base: 1.0 Incentive bonus: 0.5 Dev. Exception: 0.5 Proposed FAR: 2.0
Rear (East):	10 Feet and 0 along street	10 Ft. and 5'-4" along Church Av
South Side:	10 Feet	10 Feet
Front: North Side:	10 feet 0 feet; 10 feet	10 Feet 0 Feet; 13 feet
Building Setbacks:	10 Eret	10 East
Building Height	60 ft. Max.	34 - 60 ft. (3 to 5 Stories)
Development Standard	C1 District	Project Proposal


NTCD Special Provisions

Minimum Side/Rear Setbacks	Project Proposal
Side yard: 10 Ft. from Property line Rear yard: 20 Ft. from street center line	13'-1" Feet 35'-4" Feet
Building Stepback	Project Proposal
15 Ft. for every 35 Ft. in building height	Building is 45 Ft. from property line at 35 Ft. in height
Landscaping	Project Proposal
1 to 3 Small shade trees for every 3,000 Sq. Ft. within rear and side yard (3 trees required within the setbacks)	Project rear and side yard is 2,580, proposal is for approx. 26 trees
Exterior Lighting	Project Proposal
Lighting shall focus within the property	Applicant shall prepare a lighting plan showing all lighting within the property
Fencing	Project Proposal
6 Ft. fence shall be placed on the property line.	Decorative concrete masonry wall and fence along property lines
Balconies	Project Proposal
Building design shall be cognizant of adjacent low density uses. (i.e. avoid balconies overlooking rear yards).	Project was designed to be cognizant of adjacent SFR's by being set-back approx. 45 Ft. from property line and screened by two landscaped planters



Building Cross Section – View from K Street





INCENTIVES AND AMENITIES ZONING

- o Enclosed Parking -10% (4,574 sq. ft.)
- o Public Plaza 10% (4,574 sq. ft.)
- o LEED Gold Certification 30% (13,721 sq. ft.)

Base FAR = 1.0 FAR with three amenities = 1.5





DEVELOPMENT EXCEPTIONS

Project requests one exception to the FAR limit in the amount of 0.5 or 22,738 square-feet

Exceptions may be granted by the Planning Commission in cases where all of the following four findings are made:

1. The proposed development will not adversely affect the goals and objectives of the Specific Plan and General Plan.





DEVELOPMENT EXCEPTIONS

2. The proposed development will comply with all other regulations of the Specific Plan.





DEVELOPMENT EXCEPTIONS

 The proposed development will incorporate one or more of the Urban Amenities Incentives in section F - Urban Amenities Requirements and Incentives, of this chapter.



DEVELOPMENT EXCEPTIONS

4. The exception or exceptions are appropriate for this location and will result in a better design or greater public benefit than could be achieved through strict conformance with the Specific Plan development regulations.

Evidence to support findings is addressed and substantiated in the Analysis Section of PC Report and City Council Resolution.





ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION

Project was reviewed for compliance with CEQA;

It was determined Proposed Project was adequately covered by FEIR 06-01;

It was determined that only minor technical changes/additions to document are necessary; therefore,

An Addendum to UCSP FEIR 06-01 was prepared.



CONCLUSION

- Vista del Mar Project represents the first opportunity for development of a mixed used project (C1 District).
- Site will be developed with a quality project.
- Project is consistent with the vision, objectives and policies of the General Plan.
- Project has been designed to meet the UCSP development regulations and guidelines.
- Project will provide new investment, modern housing facilities and site improvements.
- The Project is well planned and incorporates the principals of Smart Growth





RECOMMENDATION

That the City Council approve the resolution to:

Deny the appeal from the Corridor Coalition, and Affirm the Planning Commission decision to consider the Addendum and approve the Project





Building Cross Section – View from K Street



Building Cross Section – View from K Street