NEW ISSUE - BOOK-ENTRY RATING

S&P: "\_\_\_"

(See "CONCLUDING INFORMATION - Rating on the Bonds" herein)

In the opinion of Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation ("Bond Counsel"), under existing statutes, regulations, rulings and judicial decisions, and assuming certain representations and compliance with certain covenants and requirements described more fully herein, interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is not an item of tax preference for purposes of calculating the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds is exempt from State of California personal income tax. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any other tax consequences related to the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Bonds. See "TAX MATTERS" herein.

# \$65,600,000\*

# CHULA VISTA MUNICIPAL FINANCING AUTHORITY 2017 LEASE REVENUE BONDS

Dated: Date of Delivery

Due: As shown on the inside cover page

The Chula Vista Municipal Financing Authority 2017 Lease Revenue Bonds (the "Bonds") are being issued to finance infrastructure, facilities and equipment and pay the costs incurred in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. The Bonds are payable from the revenues pledged under the Indenture, as defined herein, consisting primarily of Base Rental Payments (the "Base Rental Payments") to be made by the City of Chula Vista (the "City") to the Chula Vista Municipal Financing Authority (the "Authority") as rental for certain City-owned property (the "Leased Property") pursuant to a Lease Agreement, as defined herein, and from certain funds held under the Indenture and insurance or condemnation awards. The City is required under the Lease Agreement to make Base Rental Payments in each fiscal year in consideration of the use and possession of the Leased Property from any source of available funds in an amount sufficient to pay the annual principal and interest due on the Bonds, subject to abatement, as described herein. See "SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE BONDS" and "RISK FACTORS" herein.

Interest on the Bonds is payable semiannually on November 1 and May 1 of each year, commencing November 1, 2017. The Bonds are not subject to optional redemption prior to maturity. See "THE BONDS - General Provisions" and "THE BONDS - Redemption" herein.

THE BONDS ARE LIMITED OBLIGATIONS OF THE AUTHORITY PAYABLE SOLELY FROM BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS AND OTHER FUNDS HELD UNDER THE INDENTURE. THE BONDS ARE NOT A DEBT, OBLIGATION OR LIABILITY OF THE CITY, THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR ANY OF ITS POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS (OTHER THAN THE AUTHORITY), NOR DO THEY CONSTITUTE A PLEDGE OF THE FAITH AND CREDIT OR THE TAXING POWER OF ANY OF THE FOREGOING (INCLUDING THE AUTHORITY AND THE CITY). THE AUTHORITY DOES NOT HAVE ANY TAXING POWER. THE BONDS DO NOT CONSTITUTE AN INDEBTEDNESS WITHIN THE MEANING OF ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY DEBT LIMITATION OR RESTRICTION. THE CITY'S OBLIGATION TO MAKE BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS IS AN OBLIGATION PAYABLE FROM THE CITY'S GENERAL FUND OR ANY OTHER SOURCE OF FUNDS LEGALLY AVAILABLE TO THE CITY TO MAKE BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS. THE OBLIGATION OF THE CITY TO MAKE BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A DEBT OF THE CITY WITHIN THE MEANING OF ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY DEBT LIMIT OR RESTRICTION OR ANY OBLIGATION FOR WHICH THE CITY IS OBLIGATED TO LEVY OR PLEDGE ANY FORM OF TAXATION, OR FOR WHICH THE CITY HAS LEVIED OR PLEDGED ANY FORM OF TAXATION.

The cover page contains certain information for quick reference only. It is not a summary of the issue. Potential investors must read the entire Official Statement to obtain information essential to the making of an informed investment decision. See "RISK FACTORS" herein for a discussion of special risk factors that should be considered in evaluating the investment quality of the Bonds.

The Bonds are offered, when, as and if issued, subject to the approval as to their legality by Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, Newport Beach, California, Bond Counsel. Certain legal matters will be passed on for the City and the Authority by the City Attorney, and by Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, Newport Beach, California, as Disclosure Counsel and for the Underwriter by Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, San Francisco, California. It is anticipated that the Bonds, in book-entry form, will be available for delivery on or about July 11, 2017 through the facilities of The Depository Trust Company (see "APPENDIX E - THE BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM" herein).

The date of the Official Statement is \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

J.P.Morgan

<sup>\*</sup> Preliminary, subject to change.

# \$65,600,000\*

# CHULA VISTA MUNICIPAL FINANCING AUTHORITY 2017 LEASE REVENUE BONDS

# MATURITY SCHEDULE (Base CUSIP† 17132E)

<b>Maturity Date</b>	Principal	Interest			
<u>May 1</u>	<b>Amount</b>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Yield</u>	<b>Price</b>	CUSIP®†
2018					
2019					
2020					
2021					
2022					
2023					
2024					
2025					
2026					
2027					

<sup>\*</sup> Preliminary, subject to change.

<sup>†</sup> CUSIP® is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by CUSIP Global Services, managed by S&P Capital IQ on behalf of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP numbers have been assigned by an independent company not affiliated with the Authority, the City, the Municipal Advisor or the Underwriter and are included solely for the convenience of the holders of the Bonds. None of the Authority, the City, the Municipal Advisor or the Underwriter is responsible for the selection or use of these CUSIP numbers, and no representation is made as to their correctness on the Bonds or as indicated above. The CUSIP number for a specific maturity is subject to being changed after the execution and delivery of the Bonds as a result of various subsequent actions including, but not limited to, a refunding in whole or in part of such maturity or as a result of the procurement of secondary market portfolio insurance or other similar enhancement by investors that is applicable to all or a portion of certain maturities of the Bonds.

#### GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT

*Use of Official Statement.* This Official Statement is submitted in connection with the offer and sale of the Bonds referred to herein and may not be reproduced or used, in whole or in part, for any other purpose. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract with the purchasers of the Bonds.

*Effective Date.* This Official Statement speaks only as of its date, and the information and expressions of opinion contained in this Official Statement are subject to change without notice. Neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale of the Bonds will, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the City or any other parties described in this Official Statement.

Estimates and Forecasts. When used in this Official Statement and in any continuing disclosure by the City, any press release and any oral statement made with the approval of an authorized officer of the City or any other entity described or referenced herein, the words or phrases "will likely result," "are expected to," "will continue," "is anticipated," "estimate," "project," "forecast," "expect," "intend" and similar expressions identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in such forward-looking statements. Any forecast is subject to such uncertainties. Inevitably, some assumptions used to develop the forecasts will not be realized and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur. Therefore, there are likely to be differences between forecasts and actual results, and those differences may be material.

Limit of Offering. No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized by the Authority or the City to give any information or to make any representations in connection with the offer or sale of the Bonds other than those contained herein and if given or made, such other information or representation must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the Authority, the City, the Municipal Advisor or the Underwriter. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy nor shall there be any sale of the Bonds by a person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such an offer, solicitation or sale.

**Preparation of this Official Statement.** The information contained in this Official Statement has been obtained from sources that are believed to be reliable, but this information is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness. The information and expressions of opinions herein are subject to change without notice and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the City since the date hereof. This Official Statement is submitted in connection with the sale of the Bonds referred to herein and may not be reproduced or used, in whole or in part, for any other purpose, unless authorized in writing by the City. All summaries of the Bonds, the Lease Agreement, the Indenture or other documents, are made subject to the provisions of such documents and do not purport to be complete statements of any or all of such provisions. Reference is hereby made to such documents on file with the City Clerk for further information. See "INTRODUCTION - Summaries Not Definitive."

The Underwriter has provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement: The Underwriter has reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, its responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Underwriter does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

**Bonds are Exempt from Securities Laws Registration.** The issuance, sale and delivery of the Bonds has not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, in reliance upon exemptions for the execution, sale and delivery of municipal securities provided under Section 3(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 3(a)(12) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

**Stabilization of Prices.** In connection with this offering, the Underwriter may overallot or effect transactions which stabilize or maintain the market price of the Bonds at a level above that which might otherwise prevail in the open market. Such stabilizing, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time. The Underwriter may offer and sell the Bonds to certain dealers and others at prices lower than the public offering prices set forth on the inside cover page hereof and said public offering prices may be changed from time to time by the Underwriter.

*City Website.* The City maintains a website. The information on such website is not part of this Official Statement and is not intended to be relied on by investors with respect to the Bonds unless specifically set forth or incorporated herein.

#### CITY OF CHULA VISTA, CALIFORNIA

#### CITY COUNCIL AND AUTHORITY BOARD MEMBERS

Mary Casillas Salas, Mayor John McCann, Councilmember, District 1 Patricia Aguilar, Councilmember, District 2 Stephen Padilla, Councilmember, District 3 Mike Diaz, Councilmember, District 4

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **CITY STAFF**

Gary Halbert, City Manager Maria Kachadoorian, Deputy City Manager/Chief Financial Officer Kelley Bacon, Deputy City Manager Kelly Broughton, *Director of Development Services* Eric Crockett, Director of Economic Development William Valle, Director of Engineering and Capital Projects Jim Geering, Fire Chief David Bilby, *Director of Finance/Treasurer* Courtney Chase, Director of Human Resources Edward Chew, Director of Information Technology Services Betty Waznis, Director of Library Roxana Kennedy, Chief of Police Richard Hopkins, Director of Public Works Kristi McClure Huckaby, Director of Recreation Glen R. Googins, City Attorney Donna Norris, CMC, City Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_

#### PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

#### **Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel**

Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation Newport Beach, California

### **Municipal Advisor**

Harrell & Company Advisors, LLC Orange, California

#### **Trustee**

U.S. Bank National Association Los Angeles, California

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1	Pension Plans	38
The City and the Authority	1	Defined Contribution Pension Plan	45
Purpose	1	Other Post Employment Benefits	46
Security and Sources of Repayment	2	Risk Management	48
Limited Obligation		City Investment Policy and Portfolio	49
No Reserve Fund		Outstanding Indebtedness of the City	
Legal Matters	3	Joint Financing Agreement with Respect to the	
Professional Services		Chula Vista Bayfront	51
Offering of the Bonds	3	USOC Training Facility	
Summaries Not Definitive	3	Estimated Direct and Overlapping Debt	
THE FINANCING PLAN	4	Financial Statements	
Estimated Sources and Uses of Funds		RISK FACTORS	<b>5</b> 0
The Project	4	The Base Rental Payments  Natural Hazards	
THE LEASED PROPERTY	7		
THE BONDS	Q	State BudgetLimited Recourse on Default; No Acceleration	
General Provisions		Enforcement of Remedies	
Redemption		Bankruptcy	
Scheduled Debt Service on the Bonds		Constitutional Limitation on Taxes and	03
Scheduled Debt Service on the Bolids	10	Expenditures	61
SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE BONDS		Federal Legislation	
General	11	Early Redemption Risk	
Base Rental Payments; Abatement	11	Loss of Tax Exemption	
No Reserve Fund	12	IRS Audit of Tax-Exempt Bond Issues	
Insurance Relating to the Property	12	Secondary Market Risk	
Remedies on Default	13	Secondary Warket Risk	09
Encumbrances	14	TAX MATTERS	69
CITY OF CHULA VISTA	14	LEGAL MATTERS	71
General Information	14	Enforceability of Remedies	71
General Organization	14	Approval of Legal Proceedings	71
Governmental Services		Absence of Litigation	71
Community Facilities and Services	16	CONCLUDING INFORMATION	72
Transportation	16	CONCLUDING INFORMATION	
Population	17	Rating on the Bonds	
Per Capita Personal Income		Underwriting	
Employment		The Municipal Advisor	
Industry	19	Continuing Disclosure	
Largest Employers	20		
Commercial Activity		Execution	/4
	20		
Building Activity		APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL	
Building Activity	22	APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS	
FINANCIAL INFORMATION	22 22	LEGAL DOCUMENTS	
FINANCIAL INFORMATIONFiscal Policies	22 22 22	LEGAL DOCUMENTS  APPENDIX B - CITY AUDITED FINANCIAL	ı
FINANCIAL INFORMATION  Fiscal Policies	22 22 22 25	LEGAL DOCUMENTS	ı
FINANCIAL INFORMATION	22 22 22 25 25	LEGAL DOCUMENTS  APPENDIX B - CITY AUDITED FINANCIAL	,
FINANCIAL INFORMATION	22 22 25 25 25	LEGAL DOCUMENTS  APPENDIX B - CITY AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	,
FINANCIAL INFORMATION  Fiscal Policies  Budgetary Process and Administration  Economic Conditions and Outlook  Revenues and Expenditures  Ad Valorem Property Taxes	22 22 25 25 27	LEGAL DOCUMENTS  APPENDIX B - CITY AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  APPENDIX C - FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT	
FINANCIAL INFORMATION  Fiscal Policies  Budgetary Process and Administration  Economic Conditions and Outlook  Revenues and Expenditures  Ad Valorem Property Taxes  Local Taxes	22 22 25 25 27 30	LEGAL DOCUMENTS  APPENDIX B - CITY AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  APPENDIX C - FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT  APPENDIX D - PROPOSED FORM OF BONI	
FINANCIAL INFORMATION  Fiscal Policies  Budgetary Process and Administration  Economic Conditions and Outlook  Revenues and Expenditures  Ad Valorem Property Taxes  Local Taxes  Motor Vehicle License Fees	22 22 25 25 27 30 34	LEGAL DOCUMENTS  APPENDIX B - CITY AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  APPENDIX C - FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT	
FINANCIAL INFORMATION  Fiscal Policies  Budgetary Process and Administration  Economic Conditions and Outlook  Revenues and Expenditures  Ad Valorem Property Taxes  Local Taxes  Motor Vehicle License Fees  Public Facilities Development Impact Fees	22 22 25 25 27 30 34 37	LEGAL DOCUMENTS  APPENDIX B - CITY AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  APPENDIX C - FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT  APPENDIX D - PROPOSED FORM OF BONI	D
FINANCIAL INFORMATION  Fiscal Policies  Budgetary Process and Administration  Economic Conditions and Outlook  Revenues and Expenditures  Ad Valorem Property Taxes  Local Taxes  Motor Vehicle License Fees	22 22 25 25 27 30 34 37 37	LEGAL DOCUMENTS  APPENDIX B - CITY AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  APPENDIX C - FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT  APPENDIX D - PROPOSED FORM OF BONICOUNSEL OPINION	D

#### OFFICIAL STATEMENT

\$65,600,000\*

# CHULA VISTA MUNICIPAL FINANCING AUTHORITY 2017 LEASE REVENUE REFUNDING BONDS

This Official Statement which includes the cover page, the inside cover page and appendices (the "Official Statement"), is provided to furnish certain information concerning the sale of the Chula Vista Municipal Financing Authority (the "Authority") 2017 Lease Revenue Bonds (the "Bonds").

#### INTRODUCTION

This Introduction contains only a brief description of this issue and does not purport to be complete. The Introduction is subject in all respects to more complete information in the entire Official Statement and the offering of the Bonds to potential investors is made only by means of the entire Official Statement and the documents summarized herein. Potential investors must read the entire Official Statement to obtain information essential to the making of an informed investment decision with respect to the Bonds (see "RISK FACTORS" herein). For definitions of certain capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined, and the terms relating to the Bonds, see the summary included in "APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS" herein.

## The City and the Authority

The City of Chula Vista (the "City") is located on San Diego Bay in Southern California, 8 miles south of the City of San Diego and 7 miles north of the Mexico border in an area generally known as "South Bay." The City encompasses approximately 50 square miles. Based on population, Chula Vista is the second largest city in San Diego County (see "CITY OF CHULA VISTA" herein).

The Authority is a joint exercise of powers authority organized and existing under and by virtue of the Joint Exercise of Powers Act, constituting Articles 1 through 4 (commencing with Section 6500) of Chapter 5, Division 7, Title 1 of the Government Code of the State of California (the "Joint Powers Act"). The City and the Housing Authority of the City of Chula Vista formed the Authority by the execution of a joint exercise of powers agreement on June 11, 2013.

Pursuant to the Joint Powers Act, the Authority is authorized to issue lease revenue bonds to provide funds to acquire or construct and to refinance public capital improvements and to provide for, such revenue bonds to be repaid from lease payments, such as the Base Rental Payments described herein.

The Authority is governed by a five-member Board which consists of all members of the City Council. The Mayor serves as the Chair of the Authority. The City Manager acts as the Executive Director.

# **Purpose**

The Bonds are being issued to finance infrastructure, facilities and equipment and to pay the costs of issuance of the Bonds. See "THE FINANCING PLAN" herein.

<sup>\*</sup> Preliminary, subject to change.

#### **Security and Sources of Repayment**

The Bonds are secured under an Indenture, dated as of July 1, 2017, (the "Indenture"), by and among the Authority, the City and U.S. Bank National Association, Los Angeles, California, as trustee (the "Trustee") (see "APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS" herein).

The Bonds are payable from the revenues pledged under the Indenture. The revenues consist primarily of Base Rental Payments (the "Base Rental Payments") to be made by the City to the Authority as the rental for certain city facilities (the "Leased Property") and from certain funds held under the Indenture and investment earnings thereon, and from net proceeds of insurance or condemnation awards. See "THE LEASED PROPERTY" herein.

Pursuant to a Site Lease, dated as of July 1, 2017 (the "Site Lease"), by and between the Authority and the City, the City will lease the Leased Property to the Authority. The Authority will sublease the Leased Property back to the City under the Lease Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2017, by and between the City and the Authority (the "Lease Agreement"). The Base Rental Payments are to be made pursuant to the Lease Agreement.

All of the Authority's right, title and interest in and to the Lease Agreement (apart from certain rights to receive Additional Rental, as defined therein, to the extent payable to the Authority and to indemnification), including the right to receive Base Rental Payments under the Lease Agreement, will be assigned to the Trustee under the Indenture and under the Assignment Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2017 (the "Assignment Agreement"), by and between the Authority and the Trustee, for the benefit of Bondholders.

In general, the City is required under the Lease Agreement to pay to the Authority the Base Rental Payments for use and possession of the Leased Property which amounts are calculated to be sufficient in both time and amount to pay, when due, the principal of and interest on the Bonds.

The City's obligation to pay Base Rental Payments under the Lease Agreement is subject, however, to events of abatement as described therein. The City is required to make the Base Rental Payments from legally available funds. The City will covenant in the Lease Agreement to take such actions as may be necessary to include all Base Rental Payments in its annual budgets and to make the necessary annual appropriations for all such Base Rental Payments subject to complete or partial abatement of such Base Rental Payments resulting from a taking of the Leased Property (either in whole or in part) under the powers of eminent domain or resulting from title defect or damage or destruction of all or any portion of the Leased Property.

For a summary of the Indenture and the Lease Agreement, see "APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS" herein. Certain capitalized terms used in this Official Statement and not otherwise defined have the meanings given them in "APPENDIX A."

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, the Indenture, the Site Lease, the Lease Agreement and the Assignment Agreement have been duly approved by the Authority and constitute the legal, valid and binding obligations of the Authority enforceable against the Authority in accordance with their respective terms. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, the Indenture, the Site Lease, the Lease Agreement and the Assignment Agreement have been duly approved by the City and constitute legal, valid and binding obligations of the City enforceable against the City in accordance with their respective terms, however, the rights of the owners of the Bonds and the enforceability of the Bonds, the Indenture, the Site Lease, the Lease Agreement and the Assignment Agreement may be subject to bankruptcy, insolvency, moratorium and other similar laws affecting creditors' rights heretofore or hereafter enacted and their enforcement may be subject to the exercise of judicial discretion in accordance with general principles of equity and by the limitations on legal remedies against municipalities in the State of California (see "RISK FACTORS - Limited Recourse on Default; No Acceleration" herein). The proposed form of Bond Counsel's opinion expected to be delivered upon the issuance of the Bonds is attached hereto as "APPENDIX D."

#### **Limited Obligation**

THE BONDS ARE LIMITED OBLIGATIONS OF THE AUTHORITY PAYABLE SOLELY FROM AND SECURED BY A PLEDGE OF BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS AND CERTAIN FUNDS AND ACCOUNTS HELD UNDER THE INDENTURE. THE AUTHORITY HAS NO TAXING POWER.

THE CITY'S OBLIGATION TO MAKE BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS IS AN OBLIGATION PAYABLE FROM THE CITY'S GENERAL FUND OR ANY OTHER SOURCE OF FUNDS LEGALLY AVAILABLE TO THE CITY TO MAKE BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS. THE OBLIGATION OF THE CITY TO MAKE BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A DEBT OF THE CITY WITHIN THE MEANING OF ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY DEBT LIMIT OR RESTRICTION OR ANY OBLIGATION FOR WHICH THE CITY IS OBLIGATED TO LEVY OR PLEDGE ANY FORM OF TAXATION, OR FOR WHICH THE CITY HAS LEVIED OR PLEDGED ANY FORM OF TAXATION.

#### No Reserve Fund

The Authority will not fund a reserve fund for the Bonds.

#### **Legal Matters**

Certain legal matters relating to the issuance of the Bonds are subject to the approving opinion of Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, Newport Beach, California, Bond Counsel. Certain legal matters will be passed on for the City and the Authority by Glen R. Googins, as City Attorney and by Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, Newport Beach, California, as Disclosure Counsel, and for the Underwriter by its counsel, Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, San Francisco, California. Fess payable to Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel are contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds.

#### **Professional Services**

U.S. Bank National Association, Los Angeles, California, serves as Trustee under the Indenture.

Harrell & Company Advisors, LLC (the "Municipal Advisor") has advised the City as to the financial structure and certain other financial matters relating to the Bonds. Fees payable to the Municipal Advisor are contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds.

# Offering of the Bonds

**Authority for Issuance and Delivery.** The Bonds are to be issued in accordance with applicable provisions of the California Government Code, the Indenture and by Resolution No. \_\_\_\_\_ of the Authority adopted on June 6, 2017.

**Offering and Delivery of the Bonds.** The Bonds are offered, when, as and if issued, subject to the approval as to their legality by Bond Counsel. It is anticipated that the Bonds, in book-entry form, will be available for delivery on or about July 11, 2017 through the facilities of The Depository Trust Company.

#### **Summaries Not Definitive**

The summaries and references contained herein with respect to the Indenture, the Site Lease, the Lease Agreement, the Assignment Agreement, the Bonds and other statutes or documents do not purport to be comprehensive or definitive and are qualified by reference to each such document or statute, and references to the Bonds are qualified in their entirety by reference to the form thereof included in the Indenture. Copies of the documents described herein are available for inspection during the period of initial offering of the

Bonds at the offices of the Municipal Advisor. Copies of these documents may be obtained after delivery of the Bonds at the trust office of the Trustee, U.S. Bank National Association, Los Angeles, California or from the City Clerk, City of Chula Vista, 276 Fourth Avenue, Chula Vista, California 91910.

#### THE FINANCING PLAN

#### **Estimated Sources and Uses of Funds**

The proceeds from the sale of the Bonds are anticipated to be applied as follows:

#### **Sources of Funds**

Par Amount of Bonds Net Original Issue Premium Total Sources

#### **Uses of Funds**

Acquisition and Construction Fund Underwriter's Discount Costs of Issuance Fund (1) Total Uses

# The Project

On November 8, 2016 Chula Vista voters approved Measure P, authorizing a one-half cent sales tax increase on retail sales within the City for a period of 10 years ("Measure P Sales Tax"). The City Council adopted a Infrastructure, Facilities and Equipment Expenditure Plan (the "Plan") on December 6, 2016 relating to the expenditure of the Measure P Sales Tax. In addition to funding pay-as-you-go capital items from annual revenue, the Plan accelerates large-scale projects by financing those items and allocating the Measure P Sales Tax to fund City lease payments which, in turn, will pay the related debt service. The Plan proposed for funding infrastructure and equipment that represent one-time expenditures and not ongoing commitments. Therefore, the Plan focuses on the areas where one-time funds could be used to address critical deferred maintenance or replacement of city infrastructure improving safety and reducing risk to the City as well as strengthening the City's overall financial condition by avoiding costly emergency repairs or further deterioration of existing failing infrastructure.

The following are the highest priority items for funding under the Plan:

- Pave, maintain and repair neighborhood streets and fix potholes
- Upgrade or replace aging police, fire and 9-1-1 emergency response facilities, vehicles and equipment
- Replace storm drains to prevent sinkholes
- Upgrade irrigation systems to conserve water and save energy
- Make essential repairs to older libraries, senior center and recreation centers
- Improve traffic signal systems

Expenses include fees and expenses of Bond Counsel, Municipal Advisor, Disclosure Counsel, Trustee, rating fees, costs of printing the Official Statement, and other costs of issuance of the Bonds.

#### • Repair sports fields and courts and park infrastructure

The total expected funding from Measure P Sales Tax is \$178 million over the 10 year authorization. Using a combination of bond proceeds and annual revenue, the City anticipates funding \$165 million of infrastructure, facilities and equipment. The Plan can be amended at any time by the City Council and there is no assurance as to which facilities and equipment will be funded and in what amounts but, based on current expectations, the following are estimates of what facilities and equipment will be financed:

Fire Stations Repairs/Replacement	\$22,839,549
Fire Response Vehicles (Apparatus)	19,847,580
Fire Safety Equipment	5,197,913
Police Response Vehicles	12,951,470
Public Safety Communication Systems (Dispatch and Regional Communication	
Systems)	7,849,290
Police Facility Repairs	1,000,000
Streets (Arterials/Collectors/Residential)	24,474,861
Other Public Infrastructure (Storm Drains, Drainage Systems, Sidewalks, Trees etc.)	23,012,955
Sports Fields and Courts	16,966,595
Non-Safety Vehicles (i.e. Public Works Crews)	11,195,100
Public Facilities (i.e. Senior Center, Recreation Centers, Libraries, Living Coast	
Discovery Center, Womens Club etc.)	7,522,558
Traffic Signal Systems	7,000,000
Park Infrastructure (Playground Equipment, Gazebos, Restrooms, Benches,	
Parking etc.)	5,682,740
Other Public Infrastructure. (Storm Drains, Drainage Systems, Sidewalks, Trees etc.)	23,012,955
Total	<u>\$165,540,611</u>

The City is permitted at any time to substitute one or more other capital projects included in the Plan for one or more of the components of the Project.

The Bonds are being issued to fund approximately \$71 million of the identified infrastructure, facilities and equipment needs (collectively, the "Project") shown below:

<u>Infrastructure</u>	
Pavement Rehabilitation	\$15,000,000
Park Infrastructure Rehabilitation	2,000,000
Sports Fields and Courts Rehabilitation	7,500,000
New Storm Drains and Sidewalks	3,500,000
New Traffic Signals	3,000,000
<u>Facilities</u>	
2 New Fire Stations	15,000,000
Animal Care Center Renovation	3,000,000
Civic Center Library Renovation	1,500,000
Recreation Center/Senior Center Renovation	3,000,000
Police Headquarters Repairs	1,000,000
Equipment	
New Fire Apparatus and Vehicles	10,100,000
New Police Vehicles	3,000,000
New Emergency Radios	1,200,000
New Public Works Vehicles	2,000,000
Total	\$70,800,000

# THE LEASED PROPERTY

#### **Description of the Leased Property**

Pursuant to the terms of the Site Lease, the City will lease certain real property and the improvements thereon owned by City (the "Leased Property") to the Authority. Pursuant to the terms of the Lease Agreement, the Authority will lease the Leased Property back to the City. The City commissioned an appraisal of the fair market value and fair rental value of the Leased Property, which is shown below for the various components of the Leased Property.

	Appraised	Annual Fair Rental	Year of		
	<u>Value</u>	<u>Value</u>	<b>Construction</b>	Acres	<u>Facilities</u>
South Chula Vista Library	\$ 17,490,000	\$1,140,000	1995	6.0	38,600 SF, single story public library
Otay Recreation Center	5,210,000	340,000	1999	1.4	15,000 SF, recreation center with gymnasium and separate classroom building
Norman Senior Center	6,260,000	410,000	1992	1.4	24,000 SF two-story recreation building with offices on second floor and one-story gymnasium
Downtown Parking Garage	16,570,000	1,080,000	1984	3.3	208,000 SF parking garage with 2 levels of parking plus rooftop parking in the City's downtown commercial district
Animal Care Facility	4,820,000	310,000	2000	2.6	18,000 SF animal care facility with kennels, together with bungalows used for office and education
Salt Crash Description Contain	10 000 000	1 240 000	2006	15 0	19,500 recreation center including a gymnasium, with improved park facilities including a soccer field, playground, tennis courts and basketball courts
Salt Creek Recreation Center	19,080,000	1,240,000	2006	15.8	- 100-1-10 10-1 10 10-10
Mountain Hawk Park	10,180,000	610,000		12.9	Improved park facilities including a playground, splash pad, basketball courts and concrete amphitheater seating
					16,000 SF recreation center with improved park facilities including a
Veteran's Park	16,170,000	1,050,000	2006	10.0	playground, courts and fields
Sunset View Park	9,950,000	600,000		11.8	Improved park facilities including a playground, sports fields and courts
Santa Venetia Park	9,975,000	600,000		7.5	Improved park facilities including a playground, sports fields and courts
					5,900 SF recreation and community center including a gymnasium, with improved park facilities including a duck pond, skateboard area,
Heritage Park	18,740,000	1,220,000	2001	10.8	playground and basketball courts
	\$134,445,000	\$8,600,000			

Some of the facilities located on the Leased Property will be improved or renovated with a portion of the proceeds of the Bonds, however, the City does not expect such repairs or renovations to impair its ability to pay Base Rental Payments.

The component properties of the Leased Property are not located in a 100-year Flood Plain, with the exception of the Salt Creek Recreation Center. The facilities are not currently insured for earthquake or flood (see "RISK FACTORS - Natural Hazards").

#### **Substitution or Release of Property**

Under the terms of the Lease Agreement, the City may substitute other property for the Leased Property, or any portion thereof, and may release portions of the Leased Property provided that certain conditions set forth in the Lease Agreement are met. See "APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS - LEASE AGREEMENT - NO CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES; USE OF THE LEASED PROPERTY; SUBSTITUTION OR RELEASE - Substitution or Release of the Leased Property."

#### THE BONDS

#### **General Provisions**

**Payment of the Bonds.** Interest on the Bonds is payable at the rates per annum set forth on the inside front cover page hereof, on November 1, 2017 and each May 1 and November 1 thereafter (each, an "Interest Payment Date") until maturity. The Bonds will be issued in the form of fully registered Bonds in the principal amount of \$5,000 each or any integral multiple thereof. Interest on the Bonds will be computed on the basis of a year consisting of 360 days and twelve 30-day months. Principal on the Bonds is payable on the dates and in the amounts set forth on the inside front cover page hereof.

Interest on the Bonds is payable from the Interest Payment Date next preceding the date of authentication thereof unless (i) a Bond is authenticated on or before an Interest Payment Date and after the close of business on the fifteenth day of the month preceding such Interest Payment Date (a "Record Date"), in which event it will bear interest from such Interest Payment Date, (ii) a Bond is authenticated on or before the first Record Date, in which event interest thereon will be payable from the Closing Date, or (iii) interest on any Bond is in default as of the date of authentication thereof, in which event interest thereon will be payable from the date to which interest has been paid in full. Interest is payable on each Interest Payment Date to the persons in whose names the ownership of the Bonds is registered on the Registration Books at the close of business on the immediately preceding Record Date, except as provided below. Interest shall be paid by check of the Trustee mailed by first class mail, postage prepaid, on each Interest Payment Date to the Bond Owners at their respective addresses shown on the Registration Books as of the close of business on the preceding Record Date.

**Book-Entry System.** DTC will act as securities depository for the Bonds. The Bonds will be issued as fully registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. Interest on and principal of the Bonds will be payable when due by wire of the Trustee to DTC which will in turn remit such interest and principal to DTC Participants (as defined herein), which will in turn remit such interest and principal to Beneficial Owners (as defined herein) of the Bonds (see "APPENDIX E - THE BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM" herein). As long as DTC is the registered owner of the Bonds and DTC's book-entry method is used for the Bonds, the Trustee will send any notices to Bond Owners only to DTC.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as securities depository with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the Authority or the Trustee. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor securities depository is not obtained, Bonds are required to be printed and delivered as described in the Indenture.

# Redemption

**No Optional Redemption.** The Bonds are not subject to optional redemption prior to their respective stated maturities.

[Discuss if we can eliminate Special Mandatory Redemption]

**Special Mandatory Redemption From Net Insurance Proceeds.** The Bonds are subject to redemption, in whole or in part, on any date, in Authorized Denominations, from and to the extent of any Net Insurance Proceeds received with respect to all or a portion of the Leased Property, deposited by the Trustee in the Redemption Fund pursuant to the Indenture, at a Redemption Price equal to the principal amount of the Bonds to be redeemed, plus accrued interest thereon to the date of redemption, without premium.

"Net Insurance Proceeds" is defined in the Lease Agreement as any insurance proceeds or condemnation award in excess of \$50,000, paid with respect to any of the Leased Property, remaining after payment therefrom of all reasonable expenses incurred in the collection thereof.

See "SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE BONDS - Insurance Relating to the Property." There can be no assurance that insurance proceeds will be adequate to redeem all of the Bonds following an insured loss (see "RISK FACTORS - The Base Rental Payments - Insurance" herein).

Notice of Redemption. The Trustee on behalf and at the expense of the Authority shall send by first class mail, or if the Owner of such Bonds is a depository, by such method as acceptable to such depository, notice of any redemption to the respective Owners of any Bonds designated for redemption at their respective addresses appearing on the Registration Books, to the Securities Depositories and to one or more Information Services by such manner of delivery as then acceptable to such entities, at least 30 but not more than 60 days prior to the date fixed for redemption. Such notice shall state the date of the notice, the redemption date, the redemption place and the redemption price and shall specify the CUSIP numbers, the Bond numbers and the maturity or maturities (in the event of redemption of all of the Bonds of such maturity or maturities in whole) of the Bonds to be redeemed, and shall require that such Bonds be then surrendered at the Trust Office of the Trustee for redemption at the redemption price, giving notice also that further interest on such Bonds will not accrue from and after the redemption date. Such notice of redemption may also state that no representation is made as to the accuracy or correctness of the CUSIP numbers printed therein or on the Bonds. Neither the failure to receive any notice so mailed, nor any defect in such notice, shall affect the validity of the proceedings for the redemption of the Bonds or the cessation of accrual of interest thereon from and after the date fixed for redemption.

So long as DTC is the registered Owner of the Bonds, all redemption notices will be provided only to DTC as the Owner and not to the Beneficial Owners of the Bonds. See "APPENDIX E - THE BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM."

**Effect of Redemption.** If, on the date fixed for redemption, moneys for the Redemption Price of all the Bonds to be redeemed, together with interest to said date, shall be held by the Trustee so as to be available therefor on such date, and, if notice of redemption thereof shall have been sent and not canceled, then, from and after said date, interest on said Bonds shall cease to accrue and become payable. All moneys held by or on behalf of the Trustee for the redemption of Bonds shall be held in trust for the account of the Owners of the Bonds so to be redeemed without liability to such Owners for interest thereon.

**Partial Redemption.** Upon surrender of any Bonds redeemed in part only, the Authority shall execute and the Trustee shall authenticate and deliver to the Owner thereof, at the expense of the Authority, a new Bond or Bonds in authorized denominations equal in aggregate principal amount representing the unredeemed portion of the Bonds surrendered.

# **Scheduled Debt Service on the Bonds**

The following is a schedule of Base Rental Payments and therefore the total scheduled debt service on the Bonds, assuming no special mandatory redemption prior to maturity.

			Semi-Annual	
Period Ending	<b>Principal</b>	<u>Interest</u>	<b>Total</b>	Fiscal Year Total*
November 1, 2017				
May 1, 2018				
November 1, 2018				
May 1, 2019				
November 1, 2019				
May 1, 2020				
November 1, 2020				
May 1, 2021				
November 1, 2021				
May 1, 2022				
November 1, 2022				
May 1, 2023				
November 1, 2023				
May 1, 2024				
November 1, 2024				
May 1, 2025				
November 1, 2025				
May 1, 2026				
November 1, 2026				
May 1, 2027				

<sup>\*</sup> Fiscal Years ending June 30.

#### SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE BONDS

#### General

The Bonds are payable from and secured by a pledge of Base Rental Payments to be made by the City to the Authority as the rental for the Leased Property and certain funds held under the Indenture and investment earnings thereon, and from Net Insurance Proceeds.

As security for the Bonds, pursuant to the Assignment Agreement, the Authority will assign to the Trustee for the payment of the Bonds the Authority's rights, title and interest in the Lease Agreement (with certain exceptions), including the right to receive Base Rental Payments to be made by the City under the Lease Agreement.

THE BONDS ARE LIMITED OBLIGATIONS OF THE AUTHORITY PAYABLE SOLELY FROM AND SECURED BY A PLEDGE OF BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS AND CERTAIN FUNDS AND ACCOUNTS HELD UNDER THE INDENTURE. THE AUTHORITY HAS NO TAXING POWER.

#### **Base Rental Payments; Abatement**

The City is required to pay to the Authority specified amounts for use of the Leased Property, which are equal to the principal of and interest due on the Bonds. The Lease Agreement requires the City to make Base Rental Payments to the Authority at least 5 Business Days preceding each Interest Payment Date. Base Rental Payments to be paid by the City are assigned and are to be transmitted directly to the Trustee. The Indenture provides that the Base Rental Payments will be deposited in Base Rental Payment Fund maintained by the Trustee under the Indenture and applied to pay the principal and interest on the Bonds.

The City will covenant in the Lease Agreement to take such action as may be necessary to include all Base Rental Payments in its annual budgets and to make annual appropriations for all such Base Rental Payments. The Lease Agreement provides that the several actions required by such covenants are deemed to be and shall be construed to be duties imposed by law and that it is the duty of each and every public official of the City to take such action and do such things as are required by law in the performance of the official duty of such official to enable the City to carry out and perform the covenants in the Lease Agreement agreed to be carried out and performed by the City.

The City's obligation to make Base Rental Payments will be abated in whole or in part to the extent of substantial interference with use and possession of the Leased Property arising from damage, destruction, title defect or taking by eminent domain or condemnation of the Leased Property. Abatement would not constitute a default under the Lease Agreement or the Indenture and the Trustee would not be entitled in such event to pursue remedies against the City. See "RISK FACTORS - Base Rental Payments - Abatement" herein.

Under the Lease Agreement, the City will agree to pay certain taxes, assessments, utility charges, and insurance premiums charged with respect to the Leased Property and expenses related to the Bonds, including the fees and expenses of the Trustee. The City is responsible for repair and maintenance of the Leased Property during the term of the Lease Agreement. The City may at its own expense in good faith contest such taxes, assessments and utility and other charges if certain requirements set forth in the Lease Agreement are satisfied including obtaining an opinion of counsel that the Leased Property will not be subjected to loss or forfeiture.

Should the City default under the Lease Agreement, the Trustee, as assignee of the Authority, may terminate the Lease Agreement and re-lease the Leased Property or may retain the Lease Agreement and hold the City liable for all Base Rental Payments thereunder on an annual basis. Under no circumstances will the Trustee have the right to accelerate Base Rental Payments. The exercise of the remedies provided to the Trustee is

subject to various limitations on the enforcement of remedies against public agencies. See "RISK FACTORS - Limited Recourse on Default; No Acceleration" herein.

See also "RISK FACTORS - Base Rental Payments - Base Rental Payments are Limited Obligations of the City" herein.

THE OBLIGATION OF THE CITY TO PAY BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE AN OBLIGATION FOR WHICH THE CITY IS OBLIGATED TO LEVY OR PLEDGE ANY FORM OF TAXATION OR FOR WHICH THE CITY HAS LEVIED OR PLEDGED ANY FORM OF TAXATION. THE OBLIGATION OF THE CITY TO PAY BASE RENTAL PAYMENTS DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A DEBT OF THE CITY, THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR OF ANY POLITICAL SUBDIVISION THEREOF WITHIN THE MEANING OF ANY CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY DEBT LIMITATION OR RESTRICTION.

The City may enter into other obligations payable from its general fund without the consent of the Bond Owners. To the extent the City issues such obligations, funds available to pay Base Rental Payments may be reduced. See "FINANCIAL INFORMATION - Joint Financing Agreement with Respect to the Chula Vista Bayfront" and "RISK FACTORS - The Base Rental Payments - Base Rental Payments are Limited Obligations of the City" herein.

#### No Reserve Fund

The Authority will not fund a reserve fund for the Bonds.

### **Insurance Relating to the Property**

The Lease Agreement requires the City to maintain comprehensive general public liability and property damage insurance and fire insurance with extended coverage on the Leased Property. The City is also required to maintain rental interruption insurance covering loss of the use of any part of the Leased Property in an amount equal to the maximum remaining scheduled Base Rental Payments due for a period of 24 months. The City is not required under the Lease Agreement to maintain earthquake or flood insurance. The Lease Agreement also requires the City to insure title to the Leased Property in an amount not less than the initial principal amount of the Bonds.

See "APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS - LEASE AGREEMENT - INSURANCE" and "RISK FACTORS - The Base Rental Payments - Insurance" herein.

In accordance with the Indenture, if the Leased Property or any portion thereof is damaged or destroyed, the City shall, as expeditiously as possible, continuously and diligently prosecute or cause to be prosecuted the repair or replacement thereof, unless the City elects not to repair or replace the Leased Property or the affected portion thereof in accordance with the provisions of the Indenture. The Net Insurance Proceeds (other than Net Insurance Proceeds of rental interruption insurance), including the proceeds of any self-insurance, received on account of any damage or destruction of the Leased Property or a portion thereof shall as soon as possible be deposited with the Trustee and be held by the Trustee in a special account and made available for, and, to the extent necessary shall be applied to, the cost of repair or replacement of the Leased Property or the affected portion thereof upon receipt of a Written Request of the City, together with invoices therefor.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the City shall, within 60 days of the occurrence of the event of damage or destruction, notify the Trustee in writing as to whether the City intends to replace or repair the Leased Property or the portions of the Leased Property which were damaged or destroyed. If the City does intend to replace or repair the Leased Property or portions thereof, the City shall deposit with the Trustee the full amount of any insurance deductible to be credited to the special account.

In the event of any damage to or destruction of the Leased Property caused by one of the perils covered by the insurance required by the Lease Agreement which would result in an abatement of rental payments or any portion thereof, then the City shall direct the Trustee in writing to apply the Net Insurance Proceeds (other than Net Insurance Proceeds of rental interruption insurance), together with other legally available funds that the City elects to contribute, to the repair, reconstruction or replacement of the damaged or destroyed portions of the Leased Property; [provided, however, that the City shall not be required to repair or replace any portion of the Leased Property pursuant to the Indenture if such Net Insurance Proceeds, together with any other amounts held under the Indenture and any other legally available funds made available by the City at its election, are sufficient to prepay (i) all of the Outstanding Bonds, or (ii) a portion of the Outstanding Bonds such that the resulting Base Rental Payments in any Rental Period following such partial prepayment are sufficient to pay in such Rental Period the principal of and interest on all Bonds to remain Outstanding immediately after such partial redemption. If the City is not required to replace or repair the Leased Property, or the affected portion thereof, or to use such amounts to redeem Bonds, then such proceeds (and rental interruption insurance proceeds not applied pursuant to the next paragraph) shall, if there is first delivered to the Trustee a Written Certificate of the City to the effect that the annual fair rental value of the Leased Property after such damage or destruction, and after any repairs or replacements made as a result of such damage or destruction, is at least equal to 100% of the maximum amount of Base Rental Payments becoming due under the Lease Agreement in the then current Rental Period or any subsequent Rental Period and the fair replacement value of the Leased Property after such damage or destruction is at least equal to the principal amount of the Outstanding Bonds, be deposited upon written direction of the City to the Trustee into the Reserve Fund to the extent that the amount therein is less than the Reserve Requirement and following such deposit shall be paid to the City to be used for any lawful purpose.]

Proceeds of rental interruption insurance shall be applied to the payment of Base Rental Payments to the extent of any abatement thereof pursuant to the Lease Agreement, and otherwise as directed in writing by the City.

[The proceeds of any award in eminent domain received in respect to the Leased Property shall be deposited by the Trustee in the Redemption Fund and applied to the redemption of Bonds.]

If there are not sufficient insurance proceeds to complete repair of the Leased Property, the Base Rental Payment schedule will be proportionally reduced in accordance with the Lease Agreement. Such reduced Base Rental Payments may not be sufficient to pay principal and interest on the Bonds when due. Such reduction would not constitute a default under either the Indenture or the Lease Agreement.

#### **Remedies on Default**

If the City defaults in performance of its obligations under the Lease Agreement, the Trustee, as assignee of the Authority, may elect not to terminate the Lease Agreement and may re-enter and relet the Leased Property and may enforce the Lease Agreement and hold the City liable for all Base Rental Payments on an annual basis while re-entering and reletting the Leased Property. Such re-entry and reletting shall not effect a surrender of the Lease Agreement. The City, in the event of default, will have no right to any rentals received by the Trustee through reletting of the Leased Property, except amounts in excess of the Base Rental Payments and other amounts due under the Lease Agreement. Alternatively, the Trustee may elect to terminate the Lease Agreement and may re-enter and relet the Leased Property and seek to recover all costs, losses or damages caused by the City's default. In such event, the Lease Agreement provides that the City will be liable for all costs, loss or damage howsoever occurring. See "APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS - LEASE AGREEMENT - DEFAULTS AND REMEDIES."

#### **Encumbrances**

The City may not create any mortgage, pledge, lien, charge or encumbrance upon the Leased Property other than "Permitted Encumbrances." See "APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS - DEFINITIONS AND SUMMARY OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE LEASE - DEFINITIONS."

#### CITY OF CHULA VISTA

#### **General Information**

Chula Vista is located on San Diego Bay in Southern California, 8 miles south of the City of San Diego and 7 miles north of the Mexico border, in an area generally known as "South Bay." Chula Vista's city limits cover approximately 50 square miles. Neighboring communities include the City of San Diego and National City to the north and the City of Imperial Beach and the communities of San Ysidro and Otay Mesa to the south. With a January 2017 estimated population of 267,917, Chula Vista is the second largest city in the County.

The City maintains an internet website (<u>www.chulavistaca.gov</u>) for various purposes, however, none of the information on that website is intended to be relied upon by investors in making any investment decision or to provide any continuing information with respect to the Bonds or any other bonds or obligations of the City.

## **General Organization**

Chula Vista was incorporated as a general law city on March 17, 1911, and operates under the council/manager form of government. It became a charter city in 1949. The City is governed by a five-member council consisting of four members elected by geographic district and a Mayor, each elected at large. The City Attorney is also elected at large. The positions of City Manager and City Clerk are filled by appointments of the Council.

In Fiscal Year 2016-17, the City had 984 authorized full-time staff positions including sworn officers and fire personnel and has budgeted 993.75 positions in Fiscal Year 2017-18. Including part-time personnel, the City employs approximately 1,320 staff.

The members of the City Council, the expiration dates of their terms and key administrative personnel are set forth in the charts below.

#### **CITY COUNCIL**

City Council Member	<b>Term Expires</b>
Mary Casillas Salas, Mayor	December 2018
John McCann, District 1	December 2018
Patricia Aguilar, District 2	December 2018
Stephen Padilla, District 3	December 2020
Mike Diaz, District 4	December 2020

#### **CITY STAFF**

Gary Halbert, City Manager Maria Kachadoorian, Deputy City Manager/Chief Financial Officer Kelley Bacon, Deputy City Manager Kelly Broughton, Director of Development Services Eric Crockett, Director of Economic Development William Valle, Director of Engineering and Capital Projects Jim Geering, Fire Chief David Bilby, *Director of Finance/Treasurer* Courtney Chase, Director of Human Resources Edward Chew, Director of Information Technology Services Betty Waznis, Director of Library Roxana Kennedy, Chief of Police Richard Hopkins, Director of Public Works Kristi McClure Huckaby, Director of Recreation Glen R. Googins, City Attorney Donna Norris, CMC, City Clerk

#### **Governmental Services**

Public Safety and Welfare

For Fiscal Year 2017-18, the City of Chula Vista Police Department has authorized total positions of 333, including sworn officers and non-sworn personnel providing patrol, traffic, animal control and investigations. There are nine fire stations located in and operated by the City, staffed by 136 fire personnel.

#### Community Services

Services provided by the City include building permit and inspection, planning and zoning, landscape and public infrastructure maintenance, street cleaning, traffic signal maintenance and municipal code compliance.

Public Services

Water is supplied to Chula Vista by the Otay Water District and the Sweetwater Water District. Sewer service is provided by the City. Electric power and natural gas are provided by San Diego Gas and Electric.

The Chula Vista Public Library is comprised of three individual libraries connected by a wide-area network. The Library delivers books in English and Spanish, videos and CDs, and community programming to the City's residents nearly every day of the year. The Library contains an Office of Cultural Arts dedicated to advancing the arts and culture in a manner designed to preserve the diverse cultures of the area.

#### Culture and Leisure

Chula Vista is the home to a variety of cultural and educational facilities such as the Chula Vista Heritage Museum, Onstage Playhouse, and the San Diego Junior Theater.

The Chula Vista Recreation Department provides citizens with a variety of park and recreational services on a year round basis. Facilities include nine community and recreation centers, including a youth community center and a senior center. The City also has two community pools open year round, 43 community and neighborhood parks, and a Memorial Bowl with seating for 700 at which the City's Summer Concert Series is hosted. The City also has after-school recreation programs throughout the community.

## **Community Facilities and Services**

Public educational instruction for kindergarten through high school is provided by the Chula Vista Elementary School District and Sweetwater Union High School District. There are also four adult education schools and numerous private schools. In addition to Southwestern College, a two year Community College, there are seven universities or colleges within commuting distance from Chula Vista in the San Diego metropolitan area.

There are two acute-care hospitals, two psychiatric hospitals and three convalescent hospitals in Chula Vista.

Chula Vista is home to the 20,000 seat Sleep Train Amphitheatre, the Living Coast Discovery Center, Aquatica SeaWorld Waterpark, four golf courses, numerous parks and open spaces, and a harbor which includes two marinas, an RV park, and several restaurants.

Chula Vista is the location of a United States Olympic Committee ("USOC") Training Center. This is one of three training centers in the nation and the only year-round training facility. The center is located on a 150-acre property adjacent to the Otay Lake reservoir. In 2016, the City and USOC negotiated an agreement pursuant to which in exchange for the deeding of the facility to the City for one dollar, the City has taken over responsibility for operation of the facility. Under the agreement the City will continue to provide the USOC with access to an elite Olympic training center and may use the facility for other events and activities that would be compatible uses.

# **Transportation**

U.S. Highways 5 (along the coast) and 805 (inland) provide full freeway access from Chula Vista north to San Diego and south to the Mexican border. Commuter rail service is provided by the San Diego Trolley, a light rail system. Eleven bus routes serve Chula Vista.

The City established Chula Vista Express, a three-part commuting program to promote public transportation, carpooling, vanpooling, biking and walking to work as alternatives to driving alone. It offers free bus service from the eastern part of the City to downtown San Diego, and a free shuttle from the eastern part of the City to the H Street Trolley Station and a cash incentive for riding or joining a vanpool or carpool.

Air cargo and passenger flight services are provided at San Diego's Lindbergh International Airport, 12 miles west, which is served by all major airlines. Cargo shipping is available at the Unified Port of San Diego, which serves as a transshipment facility for the region, which includes San Diego, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and Imperial counties, plus northern Baja California, Arizona and points east.

# **Population**

The following table provides a comparison of population growth for Chula Vista and San Diego County between 2013 and 2017.

#### TABLE NO. 1 CHANGE IN POPULATION CHULA VISTA AND SAN DIEGO COUNTY 2013 – 2017

	CHULA VISTA		SAN DIEGO	<b>COUNTY</b>
January 1		Percentage		Percentage
<u>Year</u>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Change</b>
2013	256,366		3,195,215	
2014	260,416	1.6%	3,231,651	1.1%
2015	263,028	1.0	3,266,192	1.1
2016	264,911	0.7	3,286,717	0.6
2017	267,917	1.1	3,316,192	0.9
% Increase Between	2013 - 2017	4.5		3.8

Source: State of California, Department of Finance, "E-4 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State, 2011-2017, with 2010 Census Benchmark" Sacramento, California, May 2017.

### **Per Capita Personal Income**

Per capita personal income information for Chula Vista, San Diego County, the State of California and the United States is summarized in the following table.

# TABLE NO. 2 PER CAPITA PERSONAL INCOME CITY OF CHULA VISTA, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND UNITED STATES 2011 – 2015

<b>Year</b>	Chula Vista	San Diego County (1)	State of California (1)	United States (1)
2011	\$41,900	\$46,374	\$45,820	\$42,453
2012	43,060	47,961	48,312	44,267
2013	42,640	48,938	48,471	44,462
2014	42,880	51,174	50,988	46,414
2015	42,920	53,298	53,741	48,112

<sup>(1)</sup> For San Diego County, State of California and United States, per capita personal income was computed using Census Bureau midyear population estimates. Estimates for 2010-2014 reflect county population estimates available as of March 2016.

Note: All dollar estimates are in current dollars (not adjusted for inflation).

Last updated: November 17, 2016 - new estimates for 2015; revised estimates for 2011-2014.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and City of Chula Vista Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

# **Employment**

As of March 2017 the civilian labor force for the City was approximately 121,700 of whom 115,200 were employed. The unadjusted unemployment rate as of March 2017 was 5.3% for the City as compared to 4.2% for the County and 5.1% for the State. Civilian labor force, employment and unemployment statistics for the City, County, the State and the United States, for the years 2012 through 2016 are shown in the following table:

TABLE NO. 3
CITY OF CHULA VISTA
CIVILIAN LABOR FORCE, EMPLOYMENT AND UNEMPLOYMENT
ANNUAL AVERAGES

<u>Year</u>	Civilian <u>Labor Force</u>	<b>Employment</b>	<u>Unemployment</u>	Unemployment <u>Rate</u>
<u>2012</u>				
City of Chula Vista	121,300	107,500	13,800	11.4%
San Diego County	1,540,400	1,399,900	140,600	9.1
California	18,523,800	16,602,700	1,921,100	10.4
United States	154,975,000	142,469,000	12,506,000	8.1
<u>2013</u>				
City of Chula Vista	121,100	109,300	11,800	9.8
San Diego County	1,543,200	1,422,500	120,700	7.8
California	18,624,300	16,958,700	1,665,600	8.9
United States	155,389,000	143,929,000	11,460,000	7.4
<u>2014</u>				
City of Chula Vista	120,700	110,900	9,700	8.1
San Diego County	1,543,700	1,444,500	99,300	6.4
California	18,755,000	17,348,600	1,406,400	7.5
United States	155,922,000	146,305,000	9,617,000	6.2
<u>2015</u>				
City of Chula Vista	121,100	113,200	7,900	6.5
San Diego County	1,554,800	1,474,400	80,400	5.2
California	18,893,200	17,723,300	1,169,900	6.2
United States	157,130,000	148,834,000	8,296,000	5.3
2016				
City of Chula Vista	122 200	115 000	7 200	5.9
San Diego County	122,200 1,570,400	115,000 1,497,000	7,200 73,500	3.9 4.7
California				
United States	19,102,700	18,065,000	1,037,700	5.4
United States	159,187,000	151,436,000	7,751,000	4.9

Source: California State Employment Development Department and United States Bureau of Labor Statistics.

# **Industry**

The City is located in the San Diego-Carlsbad Metropolitan Statistical Area. As of March 2017, six major job categories constitute 81.0% of the work force. They are government (17.3%), professional and business services (16.3%), educational and health services (14.1%), service producing (13.1%), leisure and hospitality (12.8%), and manufacturing (7.4%). The number of wage and salary workers by industry for each of the years 2013 through 2017 in the Metropolitan Statistical Area is presented in Table No. 4 below.

TABLE NO. 4
SAN DIEGO-CARLSBAD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREA
WAGE AND SALARY WORKERS BY INDUSTRY (1)
2013 - 2017
(in Thousands)

<u>Industry</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>
Government	232.4	234.4	237.6	242.9	249.6
Other Services	48.2	51.0	52.2	53.5	56.7
Leisure and Hospitality	162.4	171.7	179.3	185.5	185.3
Educational and Health Services	181.9	184.6	191.5	197.0	203.2
Professional and Business Services	218.5	224.1	226.6	231.8	235.8
Financial Activities	70.8	69.3	70.0	71.3	74.8
Information	24.1	24.5	23.6	23.4	23.6
Transportation, Warehousing and Utilities	27.2	26.4	27.5	28.8	28.9
Service Producing					
Retail Trade	137.0	140.9	143.6	144.5	144.8
Wholesale Trade	44.4	43.7	43.4	44.1	44.1
Manufacturing					
Nondurable Goods	23.8	24.8	25.9	26.5	27.1
Durable Goods	75.4	75.7	79.3	80.7	80.0
Goods Producing					
Construction	59.0	61.9	66.5	73.8	79.5
Mining and Logging	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Total Nonfarm	1,305.4	1,333.3	1,367.3	1,404.1	1,433.7
Farm	9.7	9.3	9.1	9.1	9.0
Total (all industries)	<u>1,315.1</u>	<u>1,342.6</u>	<u>1,376.4</u>	<u>1,413.2</u>	<u>1,442.7</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> Annually, as of March.

Note: Data may not add due to rounding.

Source: State of California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, "Industry Employment & Labor Force - by month, March 2016 Benchmark."

# **Largest Employers**

The largest employers operating within the City and their respective number of employees as of June 30, 2016 are as follows:

#### TABLE NO. 5 CITY OF CHULA VISTA LARGEST EMPLOYERS

Name of Company	<b>Number of Employees</b>	Product/Service
Sweetwater Union High School District	4,385	Education
Chula Vista Elementary School District	3,245	Education
Rohr Inc./Goodrich Aerospace	2,468	Aerospace Manufacturing
Sharp Chula Vista Medical Center	2,131	Hospital
Southwestern Community College	1,409	Education
Wal-Mart	1,239	General Merchandise
City of Chula Vista	1,195	Government
Scripps Mercy Hospital Chula Vista	1,098	Hospital
Costco	760	General Merchandise
Aquatica	513	Water Park

Source: City of Chula Vista Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

The City is not aware of any significant changes in largest employers within the City since June 30, 2016.

# **Commercial Activity**

The following table summarizes the volume of retail and food services sales and taxable transactions for the City for 2011 through 2015 (the most recent year for which statistics are available for the full year). The City's sales tax receipts increased by approximately 11.2% between Fiscal Year 2012-13 and Fiscal Year 2015-16. See "FINANCIAL INFORMATION - Local Taxes."

#### TABLE NO. 6 CITY OF CHULA VISTA TOTAL TAXABLE TRANSACTIONS (in Thousands) 2011 – 2015

	Retail and Food Services		Retail and Food Services	Total Taxable Transactions		Issued Sales
<b>Year</b>	(\$000's)	% Change	<b>Permits</b>	(\$000's)	% Change	<b>Permits</b>
2011	2,184,654		2,714	2,421,667		4,095
2012	2,258,846	3.4%	2,778	2,501,497	3.3%	4,149
2013	2,333,365	3.3	2,835	2,589,379	3.5	4,182
2014	2,395,041	2.6	2,914	2,667,866	3.0	4,291
2015	2,394,868	(0.0)	N/A	2,687,701	0.7	N/A

Source: California State Board of Equalization, "Taxable Sales in California."

Taxable transactions by type of business for the City are summarized below for 2011 through 2015 (the most recent year for which statistics are available for the full year).

# TABLE NO. 7 CITY OF CHULA VISTA TAXABLE TRANSACTIONS BY TYPE OF BUSINESS (in Thousands) 2011 – 2015

	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015 (1)</u>
Retail and Food Services					
Clothing and Clothing					
Accessories Stores	\$ 139,282	\$ 147,168	\$ 150,789	\$ 154,234	
General Merchandise Stores	657,146	668,390	675,819	683,633	
Food and Beverage Stores	124,929	131,846	139,157	145,854	
Food Services and Drinking Places	297,506	317,320	338,183	363,202	
Home Furnishings and					
Appliance Stores	150,305	150,541	153,461	141,676	
Building Materials and Garden					
Equipment and Supplies	99,766	105,472	109,437	113,633	
Motor Vehicle and Parts Dealers	209,121	230,345	246,160	256,247	
Gasoline Stations	303,189	305,217	304,968	312,153	
Other Retail Group	203,410	202,547	215,390	224,411	
<b>Total Retail and Food Services</b>	2,184,654	2,258,846	2,333,365	2,395,041	\$2,394,868
All Other Outlets	237,013	242,651	256,014	272,825	292,833
Total All Outlets	<u>\$2,421,667</u>	<u>\$2,501,497</u>	<u>\$2,589,379</u>	<u>\$2,667,866</u>	<u>\$2,687,701</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> Beginning in 2015, the State Board of Equalization stopped publishing Industry-level data.

Note: Detail may not compute to total due to rounding.

Source: State Board of Equalization, "Taxable Sales in California."

# **Building Activity**

The following table summarizes building activity valuations for the City of Chula Vista for the Fiscal Years 2011-12 through 2015-16.

# TABLE NO. 8 CITY OF CHULA VISTA BUILDING ACTIVITY AND VALUATION (in Thousands) 2011-12 – 2015-16

Residen	tial Building	Non-Residential Building		
Perm	its Issued	<b>Permits Issued</b>		
<u>Units</u>	<b>Valuation</b>	<b>Buildings</b>	<b>Valuation</b>	
479	\$120,416,023	12	\$ 4,281,013	
954	226,972,213	13	22,328,114	
571	116,869,207	26	53,222,385	
1,014	175,417,974	21	18,222,385	
635	114,755,591	13	25,699,274	
	Perm Units 479 954 571 1,014	479 \$120,416,023 954 226,972,213 571 116,869,207 1,014 175,417,974	Permits Issued         Permit           Units         Valuation         Buildings           479         \$120,416,023         12           954         226,972,213         13           571         116,869,207         26           1,014         175,417,974         21	

Source: City of Chula Vista.

#### FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### **Fiscal Policies**

The City Council has adopted several policies that form the overall framework within which the City's operating budget is formulated and serve as a basis for resource allocation decisions. These policies are summarized below.

#### General

- The City's financial assets will be managed in a sound and prudent manner in order to ensure the continued viability of the organization.
- A comprehensive operating and capital budget for all City funds will be developed annually and presented to the City Council for approval. The purpose of the annual budget will be to (1) identify community needs for essential services, (2) identify the programs and specific activities required to provide these essential services, (3) establish program policies and goals that define the nature and level of program services required, (4) identify alternatives for improving the delivery of program services, (5) identify the resources required to fund identified programs and activities, and enable accomplishment of program objectives, and (6) set standards to facilitate the measurement and evaluation of program performance.
- The City's annual operating budget will be balanced whereby planned expenditures do not exceed anticipated revenues.
- Recurring revenues will fund recurring expenditures. One-time revenues will be used for capital, reserve augmentation, or other nonrecurring expenditures.
- Accounting systems will be maintained in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

- Investment policy and practice will be in accordance with State statutes that emphasize safety and liquidity over yield, including quarterly status reports to the City Council.
- City operations will be managed and budgets prepared with the goal of maintaining an "Available Fund Balance" in the General Fund of no less than 15% of the General Fund operating budget.
- General Fund fiscal status reports reflecting comparisons of actual and projected performance with budget allocations for both revenue and expenditures will be presented to the City Council on a quarterly basis.

#### Reserves

The City will target to maintain a minimum "Operating Reserve" equal to 15% of operating budget to address extraordinary needs of an emergency nature, an "Economic Contingency Reserve" of 5% of operating budget to mitigate service impacts during a significant downturn in the economy and a "Catastrophic Event Reserve" of 3% of operating budget to fund unanticipated expenses related to a major natural disaster in the City.

The City's Operating Reserve for the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2017 is expected to be 11.7% of 2016-17 expenditures and 11.7% of 2017-18 expenditures and the Economic Contingency Reserve is expected to be 2.6% of 2016-17 and 1.9% of 2017-18 expenditures. To date, the Catastrophic Event Reserve has not been funded.

#### Revenue

- The City will endeavor to maintain a diversified and stable revenue base in order to minimize the impact to programs from short-term economic fluctuations.
- Revenue projections will be maintained for the current year and four future fiscal years, and estimates will be based on a conservative, analytical, and objective process.
- In order to maintain flexibility, except as required by law or funding source, the City will avoid earmarking any restricted revenues for a specific purpose or program.
- The City has established user fees to best ensure that those who use a proprietary service pay for that service in proportion to the benefits received. With few exceptions, such as those services provided for low-income residents, fees have been set to enable the City to recover the full cost of providing those services.
- User fees will be reviewed and updated on an ongoing basis to ensure that program costs continue to be recovered and that the fees reflect changes in levels of service delivery.
- The City will recover the cost of new facilities and infrastructure necessitated by new development consistent with State law and the City's Growth Management Program. Development Impact Fees will be closely monitored and updated to ensure that they are maintained at a level adequate to recover costs.
- When considering new development alternatives, the City will attempt to determine the fiscal impact of proposed projects, annexations, etc. and ensure that mechanisms are put in place to provide funding for any projected negative impacts on City operations.

#### **Expenditures**

• Budgetary control will be exercised at the Department/category level, meaning that each department is authorized to spend up to the total amount appropriated for that department within

the expenditure categories of Personnel Costs, Supplies & Services, Other Charges, Utilities, and Capital. Transfers of appropriations between expenditure categories of up to \$15,000 may be approved by the City Manager. Transfers of appropriations between expenditure categories in excess of \$15,000, or between departments require City Council approval.

- Appropriations, other than for capital projects, remaining unspent at the end of any fiscal year will be cancelled and returned to Available Fund Balance with the exception of any appropriations encumbered as the result of a valid purchase order or as approved for a specific project or purpose by the City Council or the City Manager. Appropriations for capital projects will necessarily be carried over from year to year until the project is deemed to be complete.
- The City will establish and maintain equipment replacement and facility maintenance funds as deemed necessary to ensure that monies are set aside and available to fund ongoing replacement needs.
- The City will attempt to compensate non-safety employees at rates above the middle of the labor market as measured by the median rate for similar jurisdictions.

#### Capital

• Major capital projects will be included in a capital improvement program budget (the "CIP Budget") reflecting a five-year period. The CIP Budget will be updated annually and presented to City Council for approval. Resources will be formally appropriated (budgeted) for the various projects on an annual basis in accordance with the five-year plan.

#### **Capital Financing and Debt Management**

- The City will consider the use of debt financing only for one-time capital improvement projects when the project's useful life will exceed the term of the financing and when resources are identified sufficient to fund the debt service requirements. Some exceptions to this CIP driven focus are the issuance of pension obligation bonds, where financial benefits are significantly greater than the costs and where the benefits are determined to be a financially prudent option, and of short-term instruments such as tax and revenue anticipation notes, which are to be used for prudent management purposes. Bonded debt should not be issued for projects with minimal public benefit or support, or to finance normal operating expenditures.
- The City will attempt to limit the total amount of annual debt service payments guaranteed by the General Fund to no more than 10% of estimated General Fund revenues.
- The City will consider requests from developers for the use of debt financing secured by property based assessments or special taxes in order to provide for necessary infrastructure for new development only under strict guidelines adopted by the City Council, which may include minimum value-to-lien ratios and maximum tax burdens.
- The City will strive to minimize borrowing costs by seeking the highest credit rating possible, procuring credit enhancement such as letters of credit or insurance, when cost effective, and maintaining good communications with credit rating agencies regarding the City's fiscal condition.
- The City will diligently monitor its compliance with bond legal covenants, including adherence to continuing disclosure requirements and federal arbitrage regulations.
- In addition to externally financed debt, the City utilizes inter-fund loans whenever possible to reduce borrowing costs or provide for shorter term loans. When interest is charged on internal loans, it is done at the same rate the City earns from its pooled investments.

#### **Planning Documents**

Beginning in 2011, the City prepared a Five-Year Financial Outlook and embarked on a Fiscal Recovery and Progress Plan. The City continues to update the five year outlook, with the most recent completed for the five year period 2016-2020. The City also adopted a Strategic Plan in 2012. The recently developed Long-Term Financial Plan was adopted with the 2016-17 Budget. The overall goal of these planning documents is to provide advance information on the City's financial condition that can be used by decision makers in developing budgets and prioritizing goals as well as responding timely to any projected budget imbalances. In December 2016, the City adopted the Plan for the expenditure of the one-time Measure P Sales Tax (see "THE FINANCING PLAN - The Project").

#### **Budgetary Process and Administration**

An annual budget is adopted by the City Council prior to the first day of the fiscal year. The budget process includes submittal of each department's budget request for the next fiscal year, a detailed review of each department's proposed budget by the City Manager, and a final City Manager recommended budget transmitted to the City Council for its review before the required date of adoption. Once transmitted to the City Council, the proposed budget is made available for public inspection. A public hearing is held to give the public the opportunity to comment upon the proposed budget. Notice of such public hearing is published in a newspaper of general circulation.

The adoption of the budget is accomplished by the approval of a Budget Resolution. The legal level of budgetary control is at the department level. Any budget modification, which would result in an appropriation increase, requires City Council approval. The City Manager and Finance Director are jointly authorized to transfer appropriations up to \$15,000 within a departmental budget. Any appropriation transfers between departments or greater than \$15,000 require City Council approval.

All appropriations which are not obligated, encumbered or expended at the end of the fiscal year lapse and become a part of the unreserved fund balance which may be appropriated for the next fiscal year.

An annual budget is approved by the City Council for the general, special revenue and debt service funds except for the Developer's Deposit Special Revenue Fund, which is used to account for various developer deposits for development projects and is used to fund staff costs and other costs related to specific projects and the Public & Educational Government Fees Special Revenue Fund, which is used to account for the 1% public, education and government access costs. These budgets are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The budgets of the capital projects funds are primarily long-term budgets, which emphasize major programs and capital outlay plans extending over a number of years.

#### **Economic Conditions and Outlook**

Despite a financial outlook that is more strained than it has been in recent years, the City continues to see revenue growth, infrastructure improvements, and growth in commercial and residential development. Positive revenue growth is being outpaced by pension and health care cost increases and will continue to be a challenge in the coming years. The City will continue to implement efficiency measures to help mitigate the impacts of the cost increases and to implement new ways to maximize limited resources while delivering high quality services to the community. A positive note was the approval by voters of Measure P – a temporary, ten-year, half-cent sales tax to fund high priority infrastructure needs. Collection of the sales tax began on April 1, 2017. The sales tax is projected to raise \$178 million over the next ten years.

**Sales Tax.** Sales tax revenue is highly sensitive to economic conditions and reflects the factors that drive taxable sales including the levels of unemployment, consumer confidence, per capita income and business investment. Consumer spending decreased significantly nationwide due to the economic recession, and the City did not see its sales tax reach pre-recession levels until 2013. Between 2013 and 2016, sales tax increased by 16%. The Fiscal Year 2017-18 Proposed Budget (the "2017-18 Proposed Budget") reflects

modest growth in sales tax of 2% compared to the Fiscal Year 2016-17 budget for sales tax. In addition the Measure P Sales Tax is expected to generate \$16.3 million in its first full year of collection in Fiscal Year 2017-18.

**Property Tax.** Property tax revenue fell throughout the economic recession, with Chula Vista being one of the hardest hit areas in San Diego County. During the economic recession the City's assessed valuation dropped over 15% and until 2013-14 was still declining. The City's assessed value in Fiscal Year 2015-16 of \$24.45 billion finally reached the prior Fiscal Year 2008-09 highest value. The positive assessed value trend is anticipated to continue into Fiscal Year 2017-18 as reflected in a budgeted property tax revenue increase of 5.6% (net of a one-time loan repayment from City's Successor Agency) or approximately \$1.7 million compared to the Fiscal Year 2016-17 budget. A similar increase was budgeted for property taxes paid to the City in lieu of motor vehicle license fees (see "Motor Vehicle License Fees" below). The actual assessed value for Fiscal Year 2016-17 reflected a net increase of approximately 5.5%. The trend is anticipated to continue and a 4.5% increase in assessed valuation is projected in fiscal year 2017-18.

**Transient Occupancy Tax.** The City's transient occupancy tax ("TOT") revenues have increased on a yearly basis since 2010. In Fiscal Year 2017-18, the City is projecting an increase of \$661,000, due to modest growth in occupancy and room rates, together with the opening of a new hotel in the City during Fiscal Year 2017-18.

**Staffing Levels.** As revenues have improved, the City has continued the trend of slowly recovering its staffing levels previously reduced as a result of the great recession. Since Fiscal Year 2013-14 the City has been able to achieve a modest 4.6% increase in staffing, managing to generally keep pace with the 3.7% population increase over the same time period, resulting in a slight net increase in Full-time Equivalent ("FTE") positions since 2013-14 to 3.8 FTE positions from 3.7 FTE positions per 1,000 residents.

**Bargaining Unit Agreements.** The Fiscal Year 2017-18 Proposed Budget includes funding for the annualized costs of negotiated salary increases approved for employee groups during Fiscal Year 2016-17 and also reflects an estimated wage inflation of 2% for all employee groups for fiscal year 2017-18. At the time of the development of the Fiscal Year 2017-18 Proposed Budget, the City was in negotiations with the Police Officers' Association and the International Association of Firefighters. Agreements were reached with these groups during the final development of the budget. Staff expects the increase in salaries and benefits as a result of those agreements will be offset by reductions in other expenditures, resulting in no net increase in the total expenditures in the Fiscal Year 2017-18 Proposed Budget. Any additional impact as a result of agreements reached with the City's other labor groups after adoption of the budget will be brought forward for Council approval.

**Pension Costs.** The increase in retirement cost driven by lower investment returns of the California Public Employees Retirement System ("CalPERS") is a significant budgetary challenge facing the City. The payments made to the retirement system equaled 16.2% of the City's General Fund in the Fiscal Year 2016-17 budget and are estimated at \$24.5 million in 2017-18, or 14.7% of the 2017-18 Proposed Budget. Over the last several years CalPERS has made a series of changes that have resulted in higher contribution rates. The impact of these cost increases has been partially offset through negotiations with the City's bargaining groups, and has resulted in the implementation of pension reform. Under the negotiated pension reform, employees have agreed to pay an increased share of pension costs, thereby reducing the impact of pension cost increases to the City's budget. See "Pension Plans."

**Health Care Costs.** Flex/health insurance represents 7.4% of the total Fiscal Year 2017-18 General Fund expenditure budget and accounts for the healthcare costs for permanent employees. The annually increasing flex/health insurance cost is also a challenge that the City will continue to address in future budgets. The Fiscal Year 2017-18 Budget shows a slight decrease in these costs compared to the prior fiscal year adopted budget. In Fiscal Year 2016-17 the anticipated increase in healthcare premiums was estimated at 15%. The actual increase was lower than this estimation as a result of the City's switch in health insurance providers in 2017. This is carried forward into the first half of Fiscal Year 2017-18, which results in the slight decrease

in comparison to the prior year adopted budget. The 2017-18 Budget assumes a 10% increase in healthcare premiums in calendar year 2018.

# **Revenues and Expenditures**

The City's General Fund Budget includes programs which are provided on a largely city-wide basis. The programs and services are financed primarily by the City's share of property taxes, sales tax, revenues from the State, and charges for services provided.

#### Revenues

The largest components of budgeted Fiscal Year 2017-18 General Fund revenues (including transfers) are sales tax (20.3%), property tax (20.3%), property tax in lieu of motor vehicle license fees (12.5%) and Measure P Sales Tax (9.8%).

The revenues in Table No. 9 that follows are categorized as:

- Property Taxes and Property Tax in Lieu of Motor Vehicle License Fees;
- Sales Taxes, including the Measure P Sales Tax;
- Other Taxes, detailed in Table No. 15 "Tax Revenues by Source," which include utility users tax, transient occupancy tax, franchise fees, business licenses and other taxes such as documentary transfer tax;
- Licenses and Permits, which includes construction building permits and engineering permits;
- Fines, Forfeitures and Penalties, which includes municipal and vehicle code violations;
- Use of Money and Property, which includes rental income for various City facilities and investment income;
- Intergovernmental Revenue;
- Charges for Services, comprised of charges such as plan checking, building inspection and other municipal services, animal shelter contracts, services to the Port of San Diego, recreation program fees and staff services reimbursement;
- Other Revenue, which includes charges to other funds for overhead and administration, and reimbursements for costs relating to staffing for open space and assessment district maintenance and capital improvements, and
- Transfers In from the Gas Tax Fund, Traffic Safety Fund, Asset Seizure Fund, Proposition 42 Fund, Sewer Service Fund and other funds to reimburse for qualifying expenditures or overhead.

#### **Expenditures**

The expenditures in Table No. 9 that follows are categorized by governmental function. Each function generally includes salaries and benefits and materials and supplies.

Salaries and benefits include direct personnel costs, benefits, health insurance costs and workers' compensation and unemployment insurance costs. Materials and supplies include non-personnel operating costs and contract professional services.

Transfers Out are primarily transfers to the debt service funds for the General Fund share of payments on outstanding debt not paid for using Public Facilities Development Impact Fees (see "Public Facilities Development Impact Fees" below).

The City provides both police and fire services. These public safety expenditures represent approximately 48.6% of the total budgeted General Fund expenditures (including transfers) for Fiscal Year 2017-18.

As noted, Table No. 9 provides a comparison of results for Fiscal Years 2014-15 and 2015-16, and the budgets for Fiscal Year 2016-17 and 2017-18. Historical financial information is shown in Table No. 26.

TABLE NO. 9
CITY OF CHULA VISTA
GENERAL FUND REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	
	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Budget</b>	<b>Budget</b>	
Revenues:					
Property Tax	\$ 29,705,939	\$ 30,443,378	\$ 31,403,073	\$ 33,849,178	
Property Tax in Lieu of MVLF	17,779,353	18,934,656	19,692,436	20,844,039	
Sales Tax	30,394,291	34,180,296	33,182,552	33,767,466	
Measure P Sales Tax (1)	-	-	-	16,320,000	
Other Taxes	22,858,848	24,173,583	24,278,998	24,743,434	
Licenses and Permits	1,281,656	1,301,243	1,321,085	1,524,632	
Intergovernmental Revenue	1,933,114	2,530,464	1,828,662	1,722,934	
Fines, Forfeitures and Penalties	1,638,251	1,249,457	1,020,700	1,075,423	
Use of Money & Property	2,832,039	2,879,878	2,731,601	2,421,115	
Charges for Services (2)	9,430,097	9,264,462	7,079,282	8,255,255	
Other Revenue	4,116,777	2,302,038	2,194,224	1,034,065	
Reimbursements from Other Funds	9,273,303	10,064,380	9,791,546	9,823,215	
Transfers In (2)	9,994,525	9,036,494	11,937,171	11,206,503	
Total Revenues	141,238,193	146,360,289	146,461,330	166,587,259	
Expenditures:					
General Government	20,841,178	22,242,694	24,840,539	25,338,530	
Public Safety - Police	46,484,920	49,151,324	50,003,989	53,331,216	
Public Safety - Fire	26,024,758	26,987,659	27,266,415	27,711,512	
Public Works	27,822,644	28,139,011	25,878,341	26,178,086	
Recreation and Library	7,273,387	7,747,274	8,206,199	8,227,037	
Planning and Building	2,464,305	2,276,098	2,671,459	2,708,162	
Capital Outlay	1,081,105	2,235,590	1,595,081	150,211	
Transfers Out	6,082,780	6,335,351	5,999,307	22,942,505 (1)	
Total Expenditures	138,075,077	145,115,001	146,461,330	166,587,259	
N. Ch	2.162.116	1 245 200			
Net Change in Fund Balances	3,163,116	1,245,288	17.200 611	15.055.200	
Beginning Unassigned Fund Balance (3)	14,511,252	16,412,878	17,299,644	17,855,389	
Change in Reserves	(1,261,490)	214,202			
Ending Unassigned Fund Balance (3)	\$ 16,412,878	\$ 17,872,368	\$ 17,299,644	\$ 17,855,389	

<sup>(1)</sup> Voter-approved sales tax transferred to Measure P Capital Project Fund (see "Local Taxes" below).

Source: City of Chula Vista.

The City budgets charges for ambulance services in a separate fund and transfers in the revenues to the General Fund. These revenues are shown as Charges for Services in the audited financial statements.

<sup>(3)</sup> Does not include Committed or Assigned Fund Balance. See "Financial Statements - GASB Statement No. 54" herein.

# **Ad Valorem Property Taxes**

Taxes are levied for each fiscal year on taxable real and personal property which is situated in the City as of the preceding January 1. For assessment and collection purposes, property is classified either as "secured" or "unsecured," and is listed accordingly on separate parts of the assessment roll. The "secured roll" is that part of the assessment roll containing State assessed property and real property having a tax lien which is sufficient, in the opinion of the assessor, to secure payment of the taxes. Other property is assessed on the "unsecured roll."

Property taxes on the secured roll are due in two installments, on November 1 and February 1 of the fiscal year. If unpaid, such taxes become delinquent on December 10 and April 10, respectively, and a 10% penalty attaches to any delinquent payment. In addition, property on the secured roll with respect to which taxes are delinquent is sold to the State on or about June 30 of the fiscal year. Such property may thereafter be prepaid by payment of the delinquent taxes and the delinquency penalty, plus a prepayment penalty of 1½% per month to the time of prepayment. If taxes are unpaid for a period of five years or more, the property is subject to sale by the County Tax Collector.

Property taxes on the unsecured roll become delinquent, if unpaid on August 31. A 10% penalty attaches to delinquent taxes on property on the unsecured roll, and an additional penalty of  $l\frac{1}{2}$ % per month begins to accrue on November 1 of the fiscal year. The County of San Diego has four ways of collecting delinquent unsecured personal property taxes: (1) a civil action against the taxpayer; (2) filing a certificate in the office of the County Clerk specifying certain facts in order to obtain a judgment lien on certain property of the taxpayer; (3) filing a certificate of delinquency for record in the County Recorder's Office, in order to obtain a lien on certain property of the taxpayer; and (4) seizure and sale of personal property, improvements or possessory interests belonging or assessed to the assessee.

**Taxable Property and Assessed Valuation.** Set forth in Table No. 10 are assessed valuations for secured and unsecured property within the City. Article XIIIA of the California Constitution prescribes the method for determining the full cash value of real property and the maximum ad valorem tax on real property. The full cash value, once established, is subject to annual adjustment to reflect inflation at a rate not to exceed 2% or a reduction in the California Consumer Price Index. There may also be declines in valuations if the California Consumer Price Index is negative.

Proposition 8 provides for the assessment of real property at the lesser of its originally determined (base year) full cash value compounded annually by the inflation factor, or its full cash value as of the lien date, taking into account reductions in value due to conditions in the real estate market, damage, destruction, obsolescence or other factors causing a decline in market value. Reductions based on Proposition 8 do not establish new base year values, and the property may be reassessed as of the following lien date up to the lower of the then-current fair market value or the factored base year value. The City saw significant Proposition 8 reductions in property values between 2008 and 2012, reducing assessed values by 19%. Assessed values began to recover in Fiscal Year 2013-14, but did not exceed pre-recession levels until Fiscal Year 2016-17. See "RISK FACTORS - Constitutional Limitation on Taxes and Expenditures - Article XIIIA" and "- Proposition 8 Adjustments" herein.

TABLE NO. 10 CITY OF CHULA VISTA GROSS ASSESSED VALUE OF ALL TAXABLE PROPERTY

Fiscal Year	Secured	<b>Unsecured</b>	<b>Total</b>
2010-11	\$20,727,034,672	\$508,410,557	\$21,235,445,229
2011-12	20,622,452,438	531,510,997	21,153,963,435
2012-13	20,459,110,877	483,686,031	20,942,796,908
2013-14	21,179,757,717	466,551,192	21,646,268,909
2014-15	22,642,031,835	448,408,518	23,090,440,353
2015-16	24,001,104,385	454,894,256	24,455,998,641
2016-17	25,328,420,138	447,654,371	25,776,074,509

Source: San Diego County Auditor-Controller.

**Property Tax Collections.** A five year history of property tax levies and collections for the City is set forth in Table No. 11. The Board of Supervisors of the County approved the implementation of the Alternative Method of Distribution of Tax Levies and Collections and of Tax Sale Proceeds (known as the "Teeter Plan"), as provided for in Section 4701 et seq. of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State. Under the Teeter Plan, the County apportions secured property taxes and assessments on an accrual basis when due (irrespective of actual collections) to participating local political subdivisions for which the County acts as the levying or collecting agency. The City does not participate in the Teeter Plan. As a result, the County apportions to the City only the secured property taxes actually collected, including penalties and interest paid on delinquent installments of property taxes.

TABLE NO. 11
CITY OF CHULA VISTA
PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS

		Current	Percentage	Collections in	Total	Percentage
Fiscal	<b>Total Tax</b>	Tax	of Levy	Subsequent	Tax	of
<u>Year</u>	Levy (1)	<b>Collections</b>	Collected	Years (2)	<b>Collections</b>	<b>Levy</b>
2011-12	\$25,373,780	\$24,669,632	97.22%	\$(35,474)	\$24,634,158	97.09%
2012-13	25,352,454	24,982,072	98.54	117,973	25,100,045	99.00
2013-14	26,063,753	25,758,225	98.83	39,776	25,798,001	98.98
2014-15	27,726,666	27,398,740	98.82	36,404	27,435,143	98.95
2015-16	29,083,269	28,800,156	99.03	68,973	28,869,128	99.26

<sup>(1)</sup> Levy amounts do not include supplemental taxes.

Source: City of Chula Vista.

<sup>(2)</sup> Collection amounts represent delinquencies collected for all prior years during the current tax year. Total delinquent collections are reduced by any refunds processed from prior year tax collections.

**Largest Taxpayers.** The largest property taxpayers in the City as of June 30, 2016 are as shown in Table No. 12.

#### TABLE NO. 12 CITY OF CHULA VISTA LARGEST PROPERTY TAXPAYERS

	Assessed	Percent
<u>Taxpayer</u>	<b>Valuation</b>	of Total
Rohr Inc.	\$ 234,306,153	0.96%
JPB Development	189,353,459	0.77
Brisa Acquisitions LLC	118,281,029	0.48
Regulo Place Apartments Invest	100,283,129	0.41
Vista Pacific Villas LP	91,963,717	0.38
Olympic Pointe West Communities	88,422,185	0.36
BRE FMCA LLC	76,405,081	0.31
HCA Properties	75,089,426	0.31
Chula Vista Center LP	73,462,792	0.30
Equity Residential	68,087,322	0.28
Total	\$1,115,654,293	4.56%

Source: City of Chula Vista.

Redevelopment - Related Property Tax Considerations. The California Redevelopment Law (Part 1 of Division 24 of the Health and Safety Code of the State) authorized the redevelopment agency of any city or county to receive an allocation of tax revenues resulting from increases in assessed values of properties within designated redevelopment project areas (the "incremental value") occurring after the year the project area was formed. In effect, local taxing authorities, such as the City, realized tax revenues only on the assessed value of such property at the time the redevelopment project was created for the duration of such redevelopment project. Although Assembly Bill No. 26 ("AB X1 26"), enacted on June 29, 2011 as Chapter 5 of Statutes of 2011, statutorily dissolved redevelopment agencies as of February 1, 2012, the enforceable obligations of dissolved redevelopment agencies continue to be paid from property taxes derived from such incremental value until the enforceable obligations are paid in full in accordance with Parts 1.8 (commencing with Section 34161) and 1.85 (commencing with Section 34170) of Division 24 of the California Health and Safety Code (as such statutory provisions may be amended from time to time the "Dissolution Act").

The City had formed several redevelopment projects prior to the State's enactment of the Dissolution Act. Table No. 13 sets forth total assessed valuations and redevelopment agency incremental values.

# TABLE NO. 13 CITY OF CHULA VISTA TOTAL AND NET PROPERTY TAX VALUATIONS

	Total	Redevelopment	NT-4	D4
Fiscal Year	Assessed <u>Valuation</u>	Agency <u>Incremental Value</u>	Net <u>Value</u>	Percent <u>Change</u>
2010-11	\$21,235,445,229	\$(1,172,995,829)	\$20,062,449,400	(3.9)%
2011-12	21,153,963,435	(1,212,102,912)	19,941,860,523	(0.6)
2012-13	20,942,796,908	(1,143,033,852)	19,799,763,056	(0.7)
2013-14	21,646,268,909	(1,255,372,303)	20,390,936,606	1.7
2014-15	23,090,440,553	(1,260,053,981)	21,830,386,572	8.3
2015-16	24,455,998,641	(1,327,278,438)	23,128,720,203	5.9
2016-17	25,776,074,509	(1,371,791,234)	24,404,283,275	5.5

Source San Diego County Auditor-Controller.

In the first year after redevelopment agencies were statutorily dissolved, the Dissolution Act established a process for determining the liquid assets that redevelopment agencies should have shifted to their successor agencies when they were dissolved, and the amount that should be available for remittance by the successor agencies to their respective county auditor-controller for distribution to affected taxing entities within the project areas of the former redevelopment agencies. This determination process is commonly known as the "due diligence review process" and was required to be completed through the final step (review by the State Department of Finance) by November 9, 2012 with respect to affordable housing funds and by April 1, 2013 with respect to non-housing funds. Generally, redevelopment agencies were required to remit to their respective county auditor-controller the amount of unobligated balances determined by the State Department of Finance. In turn, such remitted unobligated balances were distributed to taxing entities within the applicable redevelopment project area (including the City with respect to its redevelopment projects) in proportion to such taxing entity's share of property tax revenues in the tax rate area for the applicable fiscal year.

The Dissolution Act also provides for proceeds of the sale of land owned by redevelopment agencies at the time of their statutory dissolution to be remitted to the applicable county auditor-controller for distribution to the affected taxing entities within the applicable redevelopment project area (including the City with respect to its redevelopment projects) in proportion to such taxing entity's share of property tax revenues in the tax rate area for the applicable fiscal year.

Further, under the Dissolution Act, taxing entities with jurisdictions within a redevelopment project, such as the City, are to receive distributions (in proportion to such taxing entity's share of property tax revenues in the tax rate area for the applicable fiscal year) of residual amounts of property taxes attributable to incremental value of such redevelopment projects on each June 1 and January 2, after payment of: (i) tax sharing obligations established previously pursuant to the Community Redevelopment Law, (ii) enforceable obligations of the successor agency to the former redevelopment agency, and (iii) an administrative cost allowance to such successor agency. As enforceable obligations of the former redevelopment agency and its successor agency are paid and retired, residual amounts of property tax revenues attributable to redevelopment project area incremental value are expected to increase over time.

The table below summarizes the distributions received by the City of its share of (i) unobligated balances determined pursuant to the due diligence review process described above, (ii) proceeds of the sale of land owned by the City's redevelopment agency at the time of its dissolution, and (iii) residual amounts of property taxes derived from its redevelopment projects since the statutory dissolution of the City's redevelopment agency on February 1, 2012. These amounts are included in property tax revenues in Table No. 15.

#### TABLE NO. 14 CITY OF CHULA VISTA

# CITY SHARE OF DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW PROCEEDS, LAND SALE PROCEEDS, AND RESIDUAL PROPERTY TAXES ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

T. 177	Residual Property	Land Sale/Due Diligence Review	T. ( )
<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Taxes</u>	<b>Proceeds</b>	<u>Total</u>
2012/13	\$1,276,823	\$ -	\$1,276,823
2013/14	1,055,004	-	1,055,004
2014/15	805,449	46,483	851,932
2015/16	957,989	-	957,989
2016/17 (1)	1,005,383	51,510	1,056,893
2017/18 (2)	1,231,542	-	1,231,542

<sup>(1)</sup> Estimated.

Source: City of Chula Vista and Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Chula Vista, based on information compiled from San Diego County Auditor-Controller.

#### **Local Taxes**

In addition to ad valorem taxes on real property, the City receives the following non-real estate local taxes (see "RISK FACTORS - Constitutional Limitation on Taxes and Expenditures - Proposition 62" and "-Proposition 218" herein).

**Sales and Use Taxes.** Sales tax is collected and distributed by the State Board of Equalization. Each local jurisdiction receives an amount equal to 1% of taxable sales within its jurisdiction. In November 2016, voters in the City approved "Measure P," the levy of an additional 1/2% sales tax for a 10-year term, commencing April 1, 2017. This new sales tax increases the amount of sales tax received by the City starting late in the 2016-17 Fiscal Year. The first full year of Measure P Sales Tax will occur in Fiscal Year 2017-18. The City has approved a policy to transfer the additional sales tax out of the General Fund to the Measure P Fund, where it will be expended to service the debt that was issued to fund authorized improvements (such as Base Rental Payments due with respect to the Bonds) and to pay direct costs relating to other City-wide capital projects, facilities and equipment expenditures.

The figures shown in Table No. 15 for sales tax revenues include property tax that the City received in lieu of sales tax because of the "Triple Flip." See "RISK FACTORS - State Budget - State Legislative Shifts of Property Tax Allocation" herein. The City treated the Triple Flip property tax revenue as sales tax in its financial statements. There was a final one-time Triple Flip adjustment payment in 2015-16, of which the City received approximately \$4 million.

In addition, the City receives a portion of a ½ cent sales tax increase approved by voters in 1993 pursuant to Proposition 172. Sales tax generated by this increase is used to offset certain expenses for public safety.

**Utility Users Tax.** A utility users tax ("UUT") is levied on gas and electric customers based on usage (.01103 per therm for gas; .00300 per kilo watt for electricity) and telephone services based on gross receipts. The UUT was first levied in 1970 and the last increase in tax rates was in 1979. A class action lawsuit was filed against the City contending that a tax on wireless phone use was not covered in the

<sup>(2)</sup> Fiscal Year 2017-18 Proposed Budget.

implementing UUT ordinance. A preliminary settlement agreement was entered into in April 2013 for rebates to affected wireless phone users who paid the UUT of their wireless phone bills from April 2010 to April 2013. The court approved the final settlement on December 12, 2013.

At June 30, 2012, the City had recorded \$7.3 million of disputed UUT as "deferred revenue" on its balance sheet. As of June 30, 2013, the City recorded another \$4.1 million as "deferred revenue," moved \$8 million of disputed UUT to a liability account in accordance with the settlement agreement, and recorded \$900,000 of prior deferred revenue to pay expenses of the UUT litigation, leaving \$2.5 million in UUT that had been collected in the "deferred revenue" account. Under the terms of the settlement, a portion of the \$8 million was applied to pay legal fees and expenses and a portion was paid to the claims administrator for disbursement to the affected class of wireless phone users. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, the balance of the funds were earmarked as separate from the General Fund and used for the benefit of Chula Vista citizens to address communications, police services, fire services, libraries, parks and recreation services. Pursuant to the settlement, starting March 1, 2014 the UUT rate on phone service was reduced from 5% to 4.75%.

The City recognized a total \$10.5 million of deferred UUT revenue in 2013-14, which is reflected in Table No. 15.

**Franchise Fees.** The City levies a franchise fee on its cable television, trash collection and utility franchises. The City increased its franchise fees in 2014-15.

Business License Tax. The City levies a business license tax based on number of employees.

**Transient Occupancy Tax.** The City levies a 10% transient occupancy tax on hotel and motel bills.

**Property Transfer Taxes.** The City receives a documentary stamp tax which is assessed for recordation of real property transfers.

There is no time limit established for the collection of the utility users tax or the transient occupancy tax. There is also no expiration for the levy of sales tax pursuant to Proposition 172. See "RISK FACTORS - The Base Rental Payments" and "Constitutional Limitation on Taxes and Expenditures - Proposition 218" herein.

TABLE NO. 15 CITY OF CHULA VISTA TAX REVENUES BY SOURCE

% of 2017-18

					Budget	Budget	Proposed
	<u>2012-13</u>	<u>2013-14</u>	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	Budget (3)
Property Tax	\$ 27,876,534	\$ 28,492,215	\$ 29,705,939	\$ 30,443,378	\$ 31,403,073	\$ 33,849,178	20.3%
Property Tax In Lieu of VLF (1)	16,253,826	16,773,957	17,779,353	18,934,656	19,692,436	20,844,039	12.5
Sales Tax	28,627,785	29,171,174	30,394,291	34,180,296	33,182,552	33,767,466	20.3
Measure P Sales Tax	-	-	-	-	-	16,320,000	9.8
Franchise Fees	9,266,768	8,845,067	10,831,671	11,709,977	11,795,951	11,968,646	7.2
Utility Users Tax (2)	4,428,794	17,525,294	6,364,691	5,844,248	6,379,964	5,860,328	3.5
Transient Occupancy Tax	2,471,252	2,632,774	3,136,847	3,827,244	3,654,779	4,316,267	2.6
Business License Tax	1,260,622	1,328,554	1,407,145	1,538,595	1,424,643	1,424,643	0.9
Property Transfer Tax	1,125,252	949,603	1,118,494	1,253,479	1,023,661	1,173,550	0.7
Total	\$ 91,310,833	\$105,718,638	\$100,738,431	\$107,731,873	\$108,557,059	\$129,524,117	77.8%

<sup>(1)</sup> See "Motor Vehicle License Fees" below. For comparison purposes, these amounts are included in "Taxes" for all years.

Source: City of Chula Vista.

The City began recording a portion of the utility users' tax as deferred revenue in Fiscal Year 2010-11. In 2012-13, the City recognized \$900,000 of deferred revenue to pay expenses related to the settlement described above regarding the utility users tax on wireless phone use. In 2013-14, the remaining \$10.5 million of deferred revenue was recognized.

<sup>(3)</sup> Including transfer in from other funds.

#### **Motor Vehicle License Fees**

The City receives a portion of VLF collected state-wide. The total VLF budgeted for Fiscal Year 2017-18 is approximately \$20.8 million, all of which is included in the City's Fiscal Year 2017-18 Proposed Budget as intergovernmental revenues, but will be received through an in lieu payment from State property tax revenues. Although the VLF is shown in Table No. 15 in all years as "Property Tax In Lieu of VLF" for comparison purposes, the property tax portion of the VLF was phased in over several years, and in the City's financial statements, the City reflected the VLF in "Intergovernmental Revenues" in Fiscal Year 2012-13 and in all other years in "Taxes."

# **Public Facilities Development Impact Fees**

The City assesses certain fees on new development. One such fee is the Public Facilities Development Impact Fee, or "PFDIF." These revenues are recorded in a Development Impact Fee Fund. See "APPENDIX B - CITY AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS." The City utilizes the PFDIF to offset the cost of constructing or financing certain public facilities, such as the City's Civic Center complex and the Police Headquarters, including paying a portion of the lease payments related to the financing of these improvements. See "Outstanding Indebtedness of the City" below.

The receipt of the PFDIF is dependent upon building activity in the City and such revenues were significantly reduced during the recession years. Over the last eight years PFDIF revenues have ranged from a high of \$18 million in Fiscal Year 2005-06 to a low of \$695,793 in Fiscal Year 2008-09. Such amounts have not always been adequate in recent years to pay the proportionate share of lease payments as expected and such amounts have instead been funded with the PFDIF fund balance or interfund loans made to the PFDIF fund. The accumulated balance of PFDIF revenues at June 30, 2018 is expected to be approximately \$[3.9] million and the interfund loans due to other funds from the PFDIF is expected to be \$\text{million}.

Fiscal <u>Year</u>	PFDIF Revenues (1)
2008-09	\$ 695,793
2009-10	1,610,071
2010-11	4,208,203
2011-12	3,122,330
2012-13	6,808,865
2013-14	4,554,723
2014-15	5,371,592
2015-16	6,473,891
2016-17 Estimate	
2016-17 Projected	5,300,000

<sup>(1)</sup> Does not include investment income/market value decline in investment value or reimbursements from other funds for prior expenditures.

Source: City of Chula Vista.

The City is projecting approximately \$5.3 million in PFDIF fee revenues for Fiscal Year 2017-18 as compared to a total of approximately \$5.5 million in annual lease payments on all City financings which could be paid from such revenues (see "Outstanding Indebtedness of the City"). While the City has projected that future development will stabilize and believes that annual PFDIF revenues, or accumulated PFDIF revenues, will be available to pay a portion of the lease payments referenced above, there can be no guarantee that building activity will occur as anticipated, and as a result, the City General Fund may be

required to pay a greater share of lease payments than currently anticipated by the City. However, to mitigate future fluctuations in PFDIF revenues from again impacting the General Fund, the City expects to reserve \$[5.5] million (one year's share of debt service on PFDIF – eligible projects) of the current \$[3.9] million fund balance.

# Personnel

Employee salaries and benefits account for over 70% of the City's General Fund estimated expenditures for Fiscal Year 2017-18. Table No. 16 sets forth historical employee information for the City as of June 30 in each of the last five fiscal years and budgeted for 2017-18 based on authorized, budgeted full-time equivalent positions.

#### TABLE NO. 16 CITY OF CHULA VISTA CITY PERSONNEL

Fiscal Year	Number of Full Time Permanent Employees	Employees Per Thousand Population
2012-13	932	3.70
2013-14	950	3.70
2014-15	961	3.70
2015-16	966	3.60
2016-17	984	3.70
2017-18	994	3.80

Source: City of Chula Vista.

# **Employee Relations and Collective Bargaining**

City employees are represented by five labor unions and associations: the Chula Vista Employees' Association ("CVEA"), the Chula Vista Police Officers' Association ("POA"), the International Association of Fire Fighters ("IAFF"), the Western Council of Engineers ("WCE") and Mid Managers and Professional Association ("MMPA"). CVEA is the largest association, representing approximately 45.5% of all City employees. Currently 95% of all City employees are covered by negotiated agreements. Current negotiated agreements of CVEA and WCE expire June 30, 2017 and the City is negotiating with these two bargaining groups. The current negotiated agreement with MMPA expires June 30, 2018. The current negotiated agreement with POA expires June 30, 2020 and the current negotiated agreement with IAFF expires December 31, 2019.

#### **Pension Plans**

This caption contains certain information relating to CalPERS. The information is primarily derived from information produced by CalPERS, its independent accountants and its actuaries. The City has not independently verified the information provided by CalPERS and does not guarantee the accuracy of the information provided by CalPERS.

The comprehensive annual financial reports of CalPERS are available on its Internet website at <a href="https://www.calpers.ca.gov">www.calpers.ca.gov</a>. The CalPERS website also contains CalPERS' most recent actuarial valuation reports and other information concerning benefits and other matters. The textual reference to such Internet website is provided for convenience only. None of the information on such Internet website is incorporated by reference herein. The City cannot guarantee the accuracy of such information. Actuarial assessments are

"forward-looking" statements that reflect the judgment of the fiduciaries of the pension plans, and are based upon a variety of assumptions, one or more of which may not materialize or be changed in the future.

**Plan Description.** The City provides retirement benefits, disability benefits, periodic cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries (the "Plans"). The Plans are part of an agent multiple-employer plan administered by CalPERS, which acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating public employers within the State. Benefit provisions are established by State statute and by City contracts with employee bargaining groups. The Plans as described herein cover three separate employee groups – Miscellaneous, Safety Fire and Safety Police.

California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013. On September 12, 2012, the Governor signed into law the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 ("PEPRA"), which made changes to CalPERS Plans, most substantially affecting new employees hired after January 1, 2013 (the "Implementation Date"). For non-safety CalPERS participants hired after the Implementation Date, PEPRA changed the normal retirement age by increasing the eligibility for the 2% age factor from age 55 to 62 and increased the eligibility requirement for the maximum age factor of 2.5% to age 67.

PEPRA also: (i) requires all new participants enrolled in CalPERS after the Implementation Date to contribute at least 50% of the total annual normal cost of their pension benefit each year as determined by an actuary to a maximum of 8% of salary, (ii) requires CalPERS to determine the final compensation amount for employees based upon the highest annual compensation earnable averaged over a consecutive 36-month period as the basis for calculating retirement benefits for new participants enrolled after the Implementation Date, and (iii) caps "pensionable compensation" for new participants enrolled after the Implementation Date at 100% of the federal Social Security contribution and benefit base for members participating in Social Security or 120% for members not participating in Social Security, while excluding previously allowed forms of compensation under the formula such as payments for unused vacation, annual leave, personal leave, sick leave, or compensatory time off.

**Benefit Tiers.** In 2011 the City established two tiers of benefits for employees in each of the employee plans (Miscellaneous, Safety Fire and Safety Police), based on date of hire ("Tier 1" and "Tier 2"). Benefits were reduced for Tier 2 employees hired on or after April 22, 2011. Due to PEPRA, the City added a benefit tier in each employee group for employees subject to PEPRA ("PEPRA Tier"). Ultimately, PEPRA is expected to reduce the City's long-term pension obligation as existing employees retire and new employees are hired to replace them.

The Plans' provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2016, are summarized as follows:

	Miscellaneous Plan		
	<u>Tier 1</u>	<u>Tier 2</u>	PEPRA Tier
Benefit Formula	3% at 60	2% at 60	2% at 62
Benefit Vesting Schedule	5 years service	5 years service	5 years service
Benefit Payments	monthly for life	monthly for life	monthly for life
Retirement Age	50	50	52
Monthly Benefits, as a % of Eligible			
Compensation	2.0% to 3.0%	1.092% to 2.418%	1.0% to 2.5%
Required Employee Contribution Rates	8.0%	7.0%	6.75%
Required Employer Contribution Rates	28.119%	28.119%	28.119%

	Safety Fire Plan		
	<u>Tier 1</u>	Tier 2	PEPRA Tier
Benefit Formula	3% at 50	3% at 55	2.7% at 57
Benefit Vesting Schedule	5 years service	5 years service	5 years service
Benefit Payments	monthly for life	monthly for life	monthly for life
Retirement Age	50	50	50
Monthly Benefits, as a % of Eligible			
Compensation	3.0%	2.4% to 3.0%	2.0% to 2.7%
Required Employee Contribution Rates	9.0%	9.0%	12.25%
Required Employer Contribution Rates	30.431%	30.431%	30.431%
	Safety Police Plan		
	<u>Tier 1</u>	Tier 2	PEPRA Tier
Benefit Formula	3% at 50	3% at 55	2.7% at 57
Benefit Vesting Schedule	5 years service	5 years service	5 years service
Benefit Payments	monthly for life	monthly for life	monthly for life
Retirement Age	50	50	50
Monthly Benefits, as a % of Eligible			
Compensation	3.0%	2.4% to 3.0%	2.0% to 2.7%
Required Employee Contribution Rates	9.0%	9.0%	12.25%
Required Employer Contribution Rates	30.431%	30.431%	30.431%

**Funding Policy.** Active members in the Plans are required to contribute a percent of their annual covered salary as shown in the charts above. All employees pay their own employee contributions towards retirement.

**Actuarial Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Total Pension Liability.** The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2014 actuarial valuations, rolled forward to June 30, 2015, using standard update procedures, were determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Valuation Date	June 30, 2014
Measurement Date	June 30, 2015
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry-Age Normal Cost Method
Actuarial Assumptions:	

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Discount Rate} & 7.65\% \\ \text{Inflation} & 2.75\% \\ \text{Projected Salary Increase} & \text{Varies} \, ^{(1)} \\ \text{Investment Rate of Return} & 7.65\% \, ^{(2)} \\ \text{Mortality} & {}^{(3)} \end{array}$ 

Post Retirement Benefit Increase Contract cost of living adjustment up to 2.75% until Purchasing Power Protection Allowance Floor on Purchasing Power applies, 2.75% thereafter

Net of pension plan investment and administrative expenses, including inflation.

<sup>(1)</sup> Varies by entry age and service.

<sup>(3)</sup> The mortality table used was developed based on CalPERS' specific data. The table includes 20 years of mortality improvements using Society of Actuaries Scale BB. For more details on this table, please refer to the CalPERS 2014 Experience Study.

All other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2014 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study ("Experience Study") for the period 1997 to 2011. Further details of the Experience Study can be found on the CalPERS website under Forms and Publications.

**Recent Changes in Actuarial Assumptions.** In March 2012, CalPERS voted to decrease the investment rate of return used in future actuarial valuations from 7.75% to 7.5%. This change was implemented over a two-year period beginning with the 2013-14 rates.

In April 2013, CalPERS voted to raise employer rates roughly 50% over the next seven years, replacing current actuarial methods. Over five years, the new method increases employer rates to the level needed to project 100% funding in 30 years.

Also in April 2013, CalPERS approved a recommendation to change the amortization and smoothing policies. Prior to this change, CalPERS employed an amortization and smoothing policy, which spread investment returns over a 15-year period while experience gains and losses were amortized over a rolling 30-year period. Effective with the June 30, 2013 valuations, CalPERS will no longer use an actuarial value of assets and will employ an amortization and smoothing policy that will spread rate increases or decreases over a five-year period, and will amortize all experience gains and losses over a fixed 30-year period.

The new amortization and smoothing policy was used for the first time in the June 30, 2013 actuarial valuations. These valuations were performed in the fall of 2014 and affect employer contribution rates beginning in Fiscal Year 2015-16.

In February 2014, CalPERS adopted new demographic assumptions regarding improved mortality rates. According to CalPERS, this could result in rates as much as 2% to 5% higher. The impact is phased in and affects rates beginning in Fiscal Year 2016-17.

On December 21, 2016, the CalPERS Board of Administration approved an incremental lowering of the discount rate from 7.5% to 7.0% over the next three Fiscal Years. For Fiscal Years 2017-18, 2018-19 and 2019-20, the Board of Administration approved discount rates of 7.375%, 7.25% and 7.0%, respectively. While the full impact of the discount rate changes on the City is not yet clear, CalPERS expects such changes to increase average employer rates by approximately 1% to 3% of normal cost as a percent of payroll for most miscellaneous retirement plans and by approximately 2% to 5% for most safety plans. CalPERS also expects the discount rate changes to result in increased unfunded accrued liability payments for employers, and estimates that many employers will see such payments increase by 30% to 40%.

**Contribution Rates.** The contribution requirements of Plan members and the City are established by CalPERS.

The City's percentage of payroll for CalPERS payments for Fiscal Years 2010-11 through 2016-17 are shown in the table below. These rates do not include the employees' contribution rates. The rates include both the employer's normal cost and an unfunded actuarial liability rate.

TABLE NO. 17 EMPLOYER RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTION RATES

Fiscal Year	<b>Miscellaneous</b>	<b>Safety Plans</b>
2010-11	19.599%	22.654%
2011-12	22.702	26.134
2012-13	23.668	26.492
2013-14	25.437	27.316
2014-15	26.235	28.857
2015-16	28.119	30.431
2016-17	29.693	33.691

Source: California Public Employees' Retirement System.

CalPERS modified the calculation of the contribution rates beginning in Fiscal Year 2017-18. CalPERS now represents only the employer's normal cost as a percentage of payroll, and includes a dollar amount for the amortization of the unfunded actuarial liability (UAL). Shown in Table No. 18 are the CalPERS projections of the normal cost and amortization of the UAL for the City. For comparison, the normal cost for 2016-17 was 11.828% for the Miscellaneous Plan and 20.181% for the Safety Plans (combined Safety Fire and Safety Police members' Plans).

TABLE NO. 18
PROJECTED EMPLOYER RETIREMENT CONTRIBUTIONS

	Miscel	laneous	Safet	ty Plans
Fiscal Year	Normal Cost	<b>Amortize UAL</b>	Normal Cost	<b>Amortize UAL</b>
2017/18	11.376%	\$ 9,751,783	19.656%	\$ 6,012,543
2018/19	11.400	11,432,907	19.700	7,590,374
2019/20	11.400	13,206,119	19.700	9,257,465
2020/21	11.400	14,360,895	19.700	10,265,818
2021/22	11.400	15,491,672	19.700	11,310,785

Projected by CalPERS based on various assumptions as of August 2016, does not reflect change in the discount rate approved in December 2016 which as described above is expected to increase employer contributions.

Source: California Public Employees' Retirement System.

**Annual Pension Costs.** A five-year history of the City's required annual pension costs is shown in the table below. The required contribution was determined as part of an annual actuarial valuation. The most recent actuarial assumptions are described under the caption "Actuarial Methods and Assumptions Used to Determine Total Pension Liability."

TABLE NO. 19
FIVE-YEAR TREND INFORMATION FOR ANNUAL PENSION COSTS
ALL TIERS COMBINED

	Annual Pension
Fiscal Year	Cost (APC)
2012-13	\$18,188,432
2013-14	16,215,564
2014-15	20,818,356
2015-16	21,054,501
2016-17	22,250,000

**Pension Liabilities.** The City's net pension liability for the Plans is measured as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2015, using the annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2014 rolled forward to June 30, 2015 using standard update procedures. The City's changes in net pension liability for the Plans between June 30, 2014 and 2015 was as follows:

TABLE NO. 20 NET PENSION LIABILITY BY PLAN

	Miscellaneous Plan			
	]	Increase (Decrease	e)	
	Total Pension Liability (a)	Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability/(Assets) (c)=(a)-(b)	
Balance at: 6/30/2014 (Valuation Date) (1)	\$458,852,790	\$322,894,262	\$135,958,528	
Changes Recognized for the Measurement Period:				
Service Cost	8,570,421	-	8,570,421	
Interest on the Total Pension Liability	34,103,210	-	34,103,210	
Difference Between Expected and Actual Experience	925,960	-	925,960	
Change of Assumptions	(8,500,698)	-	(8,500,698)	
Plan to Plan Resource Movement	-	5,268	(5,268)	
Contribution from the Employer	-	13,499,144	(13,499,144)	
Contributions from Employees	-	3,679,749	(3,679,749)	
Net Investment Income	-	7,195,063	(7,195,063)	
Benefit Payments including Refunds of Employee Contributions	(19,539,333)	(19,539,333)	-	
Administrative Expense	<del>_</del>	(368,694)	368,694	
Net Changes During 2014-15	15,559,560	4,471,197	11,088,363	
Balance at: 6/30/2015 (Measurement Date) (1)	<u>\$474,412,350</u>	<u>\$327,365,459</u>	<u>\$147,046,891</u>	

<sup>(1)</sup> The fiduciary net position includes receivables for employee service buybacks, deficiency reserves, fiduciary self-insurance and OPEB expense. This may differ from the plan assets reported in the funding actuarial valuation report.

(Continued on following page)

**Safety Plans (combined Fire and Police)** 

-	Increase (Decrease)			
	Total Pension Liability <u>(a)</u>	Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability/(Assets) (c)=(a)-(b)	
Balance at: 6/30/2014 (Valuation Date) (1)	\$403,302,535	\$320,136,917	\$83,165,618	
Changes Recognized for the Measurement Period:				
Service Cost	9,646,806	-	9,646,806	
Interest on the Total Pension Liability	29,979,452	-	29,979,452	
Difference Between Expected and Actual Experience	460,215	-	460,215	
Change of Assumptions	(7,813,969)	-	(7,813,969)	
Contribution from the Employer	-	7,555,357	(7,555,357)	
Contributions from Employees	-	3,230,989	(3,230,989)	
Net Investment Income	-	6,999,744	(6,999,744)	
Benefit Payments including Refunds of Employee Contributions	(17,767,853)	(17,767,853)	-	
Administrative Expense	<del>_</del>	(359,830)	359,830	
Net Changes During 2014-15	14,504,651	(341,593)	14,846,244	
Balance at: 6/30/2015 (Measurement Date) (1)	<u>\$417,807,186</u>	\$319,795,324	<u>\$98,011,862</u>	

<sup>(1)</sup> The fiduciary net position includes receivables for employee service buybacks, deficiency reserves, fiduciary self-insurance and OPEB expense. This may differ from the plan assets reported in the funding actuarial valuation report.

**Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate.** The following presents the City's net pension liability, calculated using the discount rate of 7.65%, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1% lower (6.65%) or 1% higher (8.65%) than the current rate:

	<b>Miscellaneous</b>	<b>Safety Combined</b>
Net Pension Liability 1% Decrease in Discount Rate to 6.65%	\$211,893,960	\$158,181,753
Net Pension Liability at Current Discount Rate of 7.65%	\$147,046,891	\$98,011,862
Net Pension Liability 1% Increase in Discount Rate to 8.65%	\$93,560,698	\$48,967,839

See Note 11 of the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report included in "APPENDIX B" for further information about the Plans.

# **Defined Contribution Pension Plan**

The City provides pension plan benefits for all of its part-time employees through a defined contribution plan (Public Agency Retirement Plan). In a defined contribution plan, benefits depend solely on amounts contributed to the plan plus investment earnings. The plan is administered by Public Agency Retirement Services. All part-time employees are eligible to participate from the date of employment. Federal legislation requires contributions of at least 7.5% to a retirement plan, and City Council resolved to match

the employees' contributions of 3.75%. The City's contributions for each employee (and interest earned by the accounts) are fully vested immediately.

For the year ended June 30, 2016, the City's total payroll and covered payroll for the Public Agency Retirement Plan was \$2,734,164. The City made employer contributions of \$102,531, and employees contributed \$102,531 (both calculated at 3.75% of current covered payroll).

# **Other Post Employment Benefits**

**Plan Description.** The City provides a Retiree Healthcare Plan, a single employer defined benefit plan, which allows retirees to purchase healthcare coverage under the City's medical plan. Retirees pay 100% of the premiums. Retirees not eligible for Medicare pay the same healthcare premiums as active employees, even though retiree's healthcare costs are greater than that of active employees. This results in an implied subsidy of retiree's healthcare costs by the City. In Fiscal Year 2011-12, the City entered into an agreement with various bargaining groups eliminating the subsidized retiree health care rates for employees hired under the Tier 2 Plan. Employees hired under the PEPRA Tier Plan are also not eligible for this benefit. The post employment benefit is a single-employer plan. The plan has not been audited and therefore, there is no audited benefit plan report available.

**Eligibility.** Employees are eligible for retiree health benefits if they retire from the City on or after age 50 (unless disabled) and are eligible for PERS pension. The benefits are available only to employees who retired from the City. The benefits terminate at age 65. Membership of the plan consisted of the following at June 30, 2016:

	<b>Police</b>	<u>Fire</u>	<b>Miscellaneous</b>	<u>Total</u>
Eligible active employees	221	159	609	989
Enrolled eligible retirees	44	17	201	262

The information above does not reflect current retirees that are not yet enrolled in the healthcare plan but are eligible to enroll in the plan at a later date.

**Funding Policy.** The City offers an implied subsidy benefit paid from the City's General Fund. The City's contribution is based on pay-as-you-go. Tier 1 retirees pay 100% of their individual (subsidized) premiums. Tier 2 and PEPRA Tier retirees will pay 100% of the unsubsidized (unblended) health care premiums.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation. The City's annual other post employment benefit ("OPEB") cost (expense) is calculated based on the annual required contribution ("ARC") of the employer, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 45. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years. The following table shows the components of the City's annual OPEB cost for Fiscal Years 2011-12 through 2015-16, the amount actually contributed to the plan, and changes in the City's net OPEB obligation for these benefits.

TABLE NO. 21 ANNUAL OPEB COST AND NET OPEB OBLIGATION

	<u>2011-12</u>	<u>2012-13</u>	2013-14	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>
Annual required contribution	\$1,803,000	\$1,974,000	\$2,100,000	\$1,920,000	\$2,039,000
Interest on net OPEB obligation	151,000	187,000	241,000	295,000	337,000
Adjustment to the annual required contribution	(285,000)	(460,000)	(607,000)	(767,000)	(912,000)
Net OPEB cost	1,669,000	1,701,000	1,734,000	1,448,000	1,464,000
Contribution made	(537,000)	(359,000)	(392,000)	(389,000)	(434,000)
Increase in net OPEB liability	1,132,000	1,342,000	1,342,000	1,059,000	1,030,000
Net OPEB liability, beginning of the year	3,553,000	4,685,000	6,027,000	7,369,000	8,428,000
Net OPEB liability, end of year	\$4,685,000	\$6,027,000	\$7,369,000	\$8,428,000	\$9,458,000

Source: City of Chula Vista Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

The City's annual OPEB cost and the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the plan for Fiscal Years 2011-12 through 2015-16, and the net OPEB obligation as of June 30 of each Fiscal Year were as follows:

TABLE NO. 22 OPEB COSTS AND NET OPEB OBLIGATION

		Percentage of	
Fiscal	Annual	<b>Annual OPEB Cost</b>	Net OPEB
<u>Year</u>	OPEB Cost	<b>Contributed</b>	<b>Obligation</b>
2011-12	\$1,669,000	32%	\$4,685,000
2012-13	1,701,000	21	6,027,000
2013-14	1,734,000	23	7,369,000
2014-15	1,448,000	27	8,428,000
2015-16	1,464,000	30	9,458,000

Source: City of Chula Vista Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

**Funded Status and Funding Progress.** Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress presents information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for the benefits.

#### TABLE NO. 23 SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS (1)

Actuarial Valuation Date <u>June 30</u>	Entry Age Actuarial Accrued <u>Liability</u>	Actuarial Value of <u>Assets</u>	Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	Funded <u>Ratio</u>	Covered <u>Payroll</u>	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered <u>Payroll</u>
2009	\$11,885,000	\$ -	\$11,885,000	0.0%	\$69,087,000	17.2%
2012	13,081,000	-	13,081,000	0.0	62,923,000	20.8
2014	12,877,000	-	12,877,000	0.0	58,224,000	22.1

The next actuarial valuation will be dated June 30, 2016 and is expected to be completed in November 2017.

Source: City of Chula Vista Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

**Actuarial Methods and Assumptions.** Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and the plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

The actuarial cost method used for determining the benefit obligation is the Entry Age Normal Cost Method. The current actuarial assumptions included a 4.0% discount rate, the inflation rate for HMO's starts at 7.5% (the increase in 2016 premiums over 2015) and grades down to 5.0% (2021 premiums over 2020) and remains at 5.0% into the future. This assumption means healthcare is assumed to increase, on the average, 6.75% a year for HMOs/PPOs Non-Medicare and 6.95% a year for HMOs/PPOs Medicare a year for the next six years after 2014. The general inflation assumption rate is 3% and is assumed that healthcare will level off at 1.5% over general inflation. The City's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is being amortized as a level percentage of projected payroll over a closed 30 years.

# **Risk Management**

The City is self-insured for the first \$500,000 per occurrence for its general liability losses including personal injury, property damage, errors and omissions, automobile liability and employment practices liability. For those losses between \$500,000 and \$2,500,000 per occurrence the City pools its liabilities through its membership in the San Diego Pooled Insurance Program Authority ("SANDPIPA"). Insurance for losses in excess of the \$2,500,000 up to \$47,000,000 is purchased on a group basis by the member cities.

SANDPIPA is a joint powers authority comprised of twelve San Diego County cities. The Board of Directors consists of one staff representative (and an alternate) from each of the member cities as designated by the city's governing body. Each member city has equal representation on the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors is liable for all actions of SANDPIPA.

The SANDPIPA Board of Directors establishes an Executive Committee that is responsible for the administration and operation of the risk management programs of SANDPIPA, subject to the control of the Board. The Executive Committee consists of the Board President, Vice-President, Treasurer and a member at-large nominated by the Board President and approved by a vote of the Board. The Executive Committee is responsible for the oversight of all SANDPIPA operations, including preparation and submittal of the Pool's annual budget to the Board for its review and approval.

Annual pool premiums and assessments are approved by the Board of Directors and are adjusted annually based on the member city's incurred losses; the member's share of such losses and other expenses as a proportion of all member's losses; historical contributions to reserves (including reserves for incurred but not reported losses); the cost to purchase excess liability insurance and other coverage and a proportionate share of administrative expenses.

The City is self-insured for the first \$1,000,000 per occurrence for workers' compensation liabilities. Excess workers' compensation coverage is obtained through participation in the CSAC Excess Insurance Authority's Excess Workers' Compensation Program. As of June 30, 2016, there were 167 member entities participating in the program that offers per occurrence coverage up to \$5,000,000 through pooled resources and from \$5,000,000 to statutory limits via group purchased excess insurance policies.

Only the probable amounts of loss as estimated by the City's Risk Manager and Attorney, including an estimate of incurred-but-not reported losses, have been recorded as liabilities in the financial statements. There were no reductions in insurance from the prior year and there were no insurance settlements that exceeded coverage in each of the past three years.

The aggregate change in the balance of claims payable as recorded in the Governmental Activities were as follows:

	Beginning of Fiscal Year <u>Liability</u>	Claims and Changes in <u>Estimates</u>	Claims <u>Payments</u>	Balance at Fiscal <u>Year End</u>
2011-12	\$22,432,093	\$3,372,465	\$(3,614,694)	\$22,189,864
2012-13	22,189,864	3,288,127	(4,456,532)	21,021,459
2013-14	21,021,459	5,186,700	(3,846,924)	22,361,235
2014-15	22,361,235	4,470,778	(4,221,708)	22,610,305
2015-16	22,610,305	6,077,047	(6,218,413)	22,468,939

Source: City of Chula Vista Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

# **City Investment Policy and Portfolio**

The City administers a pooled investment program, except for those funds which are managed separately by trustees appointed under bond indentures. This program enables the City to combine available cash from all funds and to invest cash that exceeds current needs. Under the City's Investment Policy and in accordance with the Government Code, the City may invest in the following types of investments subject to certain limitations on maturity and amount:

Bankers' Acceptances, Negotiable Certificates of Deposits, Commercial Paper, State and Local Agency Bonds, U.S. Treasury Obligations, U.S. Agency Securities, Repurchase Agreements, Medium-Term Corporate Notes, Time Certificates of Deposits, Money Market Funds, Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) and the Investment Trust of California (CalTrust).

As of March 31, 2017, the book value (unaudited) of the Chief Financial Officer's investment program (excluding funds held under bond indentures) was \$236,485,733. The diversification of the Chief Financial Officer's investment portfolio assets as of such date is shown in the following table.

Type of Investment	% of Combined Portfolio
Federal Securities	29.6%
Pooled Investments	30.3
Corporate Notes	16.7
Commercial Paper	3.8
Asset Backed Securities	2.3
Cash/Time Deposits	<u>16.4</u>
Unrealized Gain on Fair Market Value	0.6
Accrued Interest	0.3
	100.0%

The weighted average maturity of the investment portfolio was 1.91 years. The current yield of the investment portfolio at March 31, 2017 (at cost) was 1.43%

It has been the City's general practice to purchase investments and hold them until their maturity. Given this practice, the City does not expect its rate of return on the investment portfolio to be affected by fluctuations in the market value of investments.

# **Outstanding Indebtedness of the City**

In addition to the Bonds, the City will have the following outstanding indebtedness as of June 30, 2017, exclusive of obligations to be paid from specifically pledged revenues, such as revenue bonds, tax allocation bonds, assessment district, special tax bonds or Section 108 Loans. The City has never defaulted in the payment of any of its obligations.

The City anticipates the issuance of additional debt during Fiscal Year 2017-18. Such debt is expected to include \$\_\_\_\_ million in Clean Renewable Energy Bonds secured by lease payments to be made by the General Fund, funded with energy cost savings. Lease payments on such obligations in the approximate annual amount of \$\_\_\_\_ for a period of [17 years] are anticipated to be payable beginning in 2018-19.

		Original	Amount	Final
	Category of Indebtedness	<b>Obligation</b>	<b>Outstanding</b>	<b>Maturity</b>
(1)	2014 Refunding Certificates of Participation (Police Facility Project)	\$45,920,000	\$41,170,000	2032
(2)	2015 Refunding Certificates of Participation (Civic Center Project)	34,330,000	31,840,000	2034
(3)	2016 Refunding Certificates of Participation (Civic Center Project)	8,600,000	8,600,000	2036
(4)	2016 Lease Revenue Refunding Bonds	25,885,000	24,415,000	2033
(5)	Notes Payable	7,052,850	3,805,585	2026
(6)	Capital Leases	3,077,211	2,127,598	2031
(7)	Capital Leases	1,285,053	1,173,985	2025
(8)	Compensated Absences		2,368,027	N/A

<sup>(1)</sup> The City delivered its 2014 Refunding Certificates of Participation to refinance its outstanding 2002 Certificates of Participation, which originally provided funds to construct the City's Police Headquarters. Approximately 44.4%, of the annual lease payments will be funded from the PFDIF (approximately \$1,643,000 of a total \$3,700,000), subject to the availability of funds.

<sup>(2)</sup> The City delivered the 2015 Certificates to provide funds to refinance its outstanding 2004 Certificates of Participation and a portion of the 2006 Certificates of Participation. Approximately \$1,230,000 of the approximate \$2,920,000 annual lease payments for the 2015 Certificates will be

funded from the PFDIF, subject to the availability of funds and an additional \$595,000 will be funded from residential construction taxes.

- (3) The City delivered its 2016 Refunding Certificates of Participation to refinance its outstanding 2006 Certificates of Participation, which originally provided a portion of the funds to construct the City's Civic Center. Approximately 77.8%, of the annual lease payments will be funded from the PFDIF (approximately \$220,000 through 2026 and \$794,000 beginning in 2027), subject to the availability of funds.
- (4) The City issued the 2016 Lease Refunding Revenue Bonds to provide funds to refinance its outstanding 2010 Certificates of Participation which originally provided a portion of the funds to construct the City's Civic Center and to refinance other outstanding debt. Approximately 71.5%, of the annual lease payments will be funded from the PFDIF (approximately \$1,516,000 of a total \$2,120,000), subject to the availability of funds.
- (5) (a) In September, 2007, the City Council authorized the City's participation in the California Energy Commission (CEC) and the SDG&E On-Bill Financing program. These loans would bridge the financial gap between energy conservation project capital costs and the available rebates for energy conservation equipment. As of June 30, 2015, the outstanding balance is \$3,213,211. (b) In December 2012, the City entered into a lease purchase agreement to purchase energy conservation equipment relating to the Municipal Street Lighting Retrofit Project. As of June 30, 2017, the outstanding balance will be \$2,355,551. Annual payments for these obligations total approximately \$648,000.
- (6) (a) In August 2013, the City entered into a lease purchase agreement to purchase energy conservation equipment relating to the Municipal Solar Project. As of June 30, 2017, the outstanding balance will be \$1,561,416. (b) The City has capitalized leases for the purchase of fire apparatus and computer equipment. As of June 30, 2017, the outstanding balance of these obligations will be \$566,182. Annual lease payments for these leases total \$435,000.
- (7) During 2016, the City capitalized leases for the purchase of additional fire apparatus. The annual lease payments commenced in Fiscal Year 2016-17, and total \$152,000.
- (8) Represents that portion of compensated absences June 30, 2016 not expected to be paid during the current year.

# Joint Financing Agreement with Respect to the Chula Vista Bayfront

The San Diego Unified Port District ("Port District") and the City have worked cooperatively for some years in furtherance of a Chula Vista Bayfront Master Plan to address the development/redevelopment of the Chula Vista Bayfront located in western Chula Vista along the southern portion of the San Diego Bay, on land primarily owned by the Port District. The first major planned development would be a 1,450-room resort hotel and conference center project ("RHCC"). Over 1,500 condominiums are also planned. The City and the Port District entered into a financing agreement in May 2012 (the "2012 Financing Agreement") to address resources to be committed by the City and the Port District to address the expected difference between the developer investment and the costs of the project, which includes the conference center and substantial public infrastructure expense ("Public Facilities"). Taken together, the Public Facilities may cost over \$200 million. Under the 2012 Financing Agreement, the City committed to use certain new revenue to be generated by the RHCC from a variety of sources including TOT applicable to the RHCC, net of expected operation and maintenance costs associated with the RHCC project. The Port District also committed certain revenues under the 2012 Financing Agreement.

In 2015, the Port District identified a potential developer for the RHCC project, RIDA Development Corporation ("RIDA"). The City, the Port District and RIDA have been in discussions to see if an agreement

acceptable to all parties can be finalized. Based on these discussions, an amendment to the 2012 Financing Agreement will be required. The City Council voted in November 2016 to amend the 2012 Financing Agreement, in which it committed to use current TOT generated from the existing RV park as well as an amount equivalent to the funds currently received from the Port District to reimburse the City for the cost of providing municipal public services in the tidelands. There are additional commitments of funds required by the Port District, however, as of this date, the governing board of the Port District has not voted to make any commitments to the RHCC project beyond the 2012 Financing Agreement. The Port District and the City are also expected to finance or otherwise provide for the cost of the Public Facilities as a condition of constructing and operating the RHCC project.

If an agreement with RHCC is entered into, an entity such as a joint powers authority to be created by the City and the Port District will likely issue bonds to fund the construction of the Public Facilities which will be secured by the City's and Port District's contribution of the new revenue streams as well as some existing revenues that the Port District and the City may contribute. The security for the payment may take the form of a lease agreement payable from the City's General Fund but calling for annual payments in amounts not greater than the amounts generated with respect to specific, identified revenue streams. Under the 2012 Financing Agreement, the City's contribution would not exceed the new revenue generated – that is, there would be no General Fund backfill if the revenues are less than projections. However, based on the amendment approved in November 2016, in addition to the new revenues, the City agreed to make an annual commitment of existing General Fund revenues of up to \$1,200,000 (2016 dollars projected to increase 3% in future years), to support the RHCC project. Additionally, if a project is constructed, there will be additional General Fund costs for services, such as police and fire, for the Bayfront area that may be offset from General Fund revenue sources generated by other future projects within the Bayfront area.

Taken as a whole, the Bayfront project and the City's financial commitment would be material to the General Fund of the City. Negotiations among the parties are ongoing and are subject to numerous legal and practical impediments and conditions, and there can be no assurance that any transaction will be entered into or performed, if entered into. Likewise, it is possible that any transaction may involve different terms from those outlined above, but, currently, the City does not anticipate approving an agreement with greater risk to obligations funded from the General Fund of the City than as described above.

# **USOC Training Facility**

As described under the heading "CITY OF CHULA VISTA - Community Facilities and Services," the City and USOC have executed an agreement pursuant to which the USOC had deeded the USOC training facility to the City, and the City will operate it, while providing for its continued use for portions of the year for U.S. Olympic athletes. The City has contracted with a third party to operate the facility and does not expect to commit any significant amount of City funds to the operation.

# **Estimated Direct and Overlapping Debt**

Set forth below is a direct and overlapping debt report (the "Debt Report") prepared by California Municipal Statistics, Inc. as of June 30, 2016. The Debt Report is included for general information purposes only and the City makes no representation as to its completeness or accuracy. Any inquiries concerning the scope and methodology of procedures carried out to compile the information presented should be directed to California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

The Debt Report generally includes long-term obligations sold in the public credit markets by public agencies whose boundaries overlap the boundaries of the City in whole or in part. Such long-term obligations are not payable from the City's General Fund nor are they necessarily obligations secured by property within the City. In many cases, long-term obligations issued by a public agency are payable only from the general fund or other revenues of such public agency.

# TABLE NO. 24 CITY OF CHULA VISTA DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING DEBT AS OF JUNE 30, 2016

2015-16 Assessed Valuation: \$24,455,998,641

2015-16 Assessed Valuation: \$24,455,998,641			
	Total Debt		City's Share of
	6/30/16	% Applicable (1)	Debt 6/30/16
OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DEBT:			
Metropolitan Water District	\$ 92,865,000	0.996%	\$ 924,935
Otay Municipal Water District, I.D. No. 27	4,580,000	99.995	4,579,771
Southwestern Community College District	336,243,676	51.547	173,323,528
Sweetwater Union High School District	398,811,637	61.601	245,671,957
Chula Vista City School District	52,885,000	81.179	46,078,460
Chula Vista City School District Schools Facilities Improvement Dist 1	40,640,000	77.874	31,655,781
National School District	18,000,000	3.926	706,680
City of Chula Vista Community Facilities Districts	137,605,000	100.	137,605,000
Sweetwater Union High School District Community Facilities Districts	124,594,206	15.812-100.	117,125,675
City of Chula Vista 1915 Act Bonds	6,235,000	100.	6,235,000
California Statewide Communities Development Authority 1915 Act Bonds	499,496	100.	499,496
TOTAL OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DEBT			\$ 764,406,283 <sup>(3)</sup>
DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT:			
San Diego County General Fund Obligations	\$307,830,000	5.526%	\$ 17,010,686
San Diego County Pension Obligation Bonds	649,860,000	5.526	35,911,264
San Diego County Superintendent of Schools Obligations	13,295,000	5.526	734,682
Southwestern Community College District Certificates of Participation	980,000	51.547	505,161
Sweetwater Union High School District General Fund Obligations	41,850,000	61.601	25,780,019
Chula Vista City School District Certificates of Participation	165,785,000	87.179	144,529,705
City of Chula Vista Certificates of Participation	102,440,000	100%	102,440,000
TOTAL GROSS DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT			\$ 326,911,517
OVERLAPPING TAX INCREMENT DEBT (Successor Agency):	\$ 37,465,000	99.099-100.%	\$ 37,280,746
TOTAL DIRECT DEBT TOTAL OVERLAPPING DEBT			<b>\$ 102,440,000</b> \$1,026,158,546
COMBINED TOTAL DEBT			\$1,128,598,546 (2)

<sup>(1)</sup> The percentage of the overlapping debt applicable to the city is estimated using taxable assessed property value. Applicable percentages were estimated by determining the portion of the overlapping district's assessed value that is within the boundaries of the city divided by the district's total taxable assessed value.

#### Ratios to 2015-16 Assessed Valuation:

Total Overlapping Tax and Assessment Debt	3.13%
Total Direct Debt (\$102,440,000)	0.42%
Combined Total Debt	4.61%
Ratios to Redevelopment Successor Agency Incremental Valuation Total Overlapping Tax Increment Debt	

Source: California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

# **Financial Statements**

The City's accounting policies conform to generally accepted accounting principles and reporting standards set forth by the State Controller. The audited financial statements also conform to the principles and standards for public financial reporting established by the National Council of Government Accounting and the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

<sup>(2)</sup> Excludes tax and revenue anticipation notes, enterprise revenue, mortgage revenue and non-bonded capital lease obligations. Qualified Zone Academy Bonds are included based on principal due at maturity.

<sup>(3)</sup> Since June 30, 2016, Southwestern Community College and the Sweetwater Union High School District have each issued refunding bonds. The School Facilities Improvement District No. 1 of the Chula Vista Elementary School District has issued an additional \$45 million of general obligation bonds for new projects and the National School District has issued \$8.1 million of general obligation bonds for new projects.

**Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation.** The government-wide financial statements are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures are recorded only when payment is due.

The City retained the firm of Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP, Certified Public Accountants, Brea, California, to examine the general purpose financial statements of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016. The following tables summarize the audited Balance Sheet and Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance of the City's General Fund for the last five fiscal years.

See "APPENDIX B" hereto for the audited financial statements for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2016. The City has not requested, and the auditor has not provided, any review or update of such statements in connection with their inclusion in this Official Statement.

**GASB Statement No. 54.** The City was required to implement Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB") Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definition, for the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2011. GASB Statement No. 54 establishes fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The initial distinction that is made in reporting fund balance information is identifying amounts that are considered nonspendable, such as fund balance associated with inventories. GASB Statement No. 54 also provides for additional classification as "restricted," "committed," "assigned," and "unassigned" based on the relative strength of the constraints that control how specific amounts can be spent.

GASB Statements No. 68 and 71. Reporting obligations under GASB Statement No. 68 - Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions - an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27 ("GASB No. 68"), and GASB Statement No. 71 - Pension Transitions for Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date - an amendment of GASB No. 68, commenced with financial statements for Fiscal Year 2014-15. Under GASB No. 68, an employer reports the net pension liability, pension expense and deferred outflows/deferred inflows of related to pensions in its financial statements as part of its financial position. The result of the implementation of these standards was to decrease the governmental activities net position at July 1, 2014 by \$214.4 million and to decrease the business-type activities net position at July 1, 2014 by \$28.7 million. The audited financial statements of the City for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2016 included in "APPENDIX B" contain additional information about the retirement liability and the application of GASB No. 68.

See Notes 1 and 16 in the City's audited financial statements attached in "APPENDIX B" for a discussion of additional accounting changes and prior period adjustments.

Set forth in Table No. 25 below is the General Fund balance sheet for the last five fiscal years and Table No. 26 below presents a five year history of General Fund revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances.

# TABLE NO. 25 CITY OF CHULA VISTA GENERAL FUND BALANCE SHEET

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Assets:					
Pooled cash and investments	\$17,403,991	\$24,347,238	\$20,276,201	\$20,402,711	\$16,094,309
Receivables:					
Accounts	2,397,608	1,673,960	792,147	2,066,125	2,631,053
Taxes	9,560,463	7,911,510	7,378,291	8,030,250	12,995,472
Accrued interest	26,988	25,816	27,374	-	-
Deferred loans	92,874	79,182	65,454	65,454	62,884
Allowance for uncollectible loans	-	-	-	(65,454)	(62,884)
Other	34,641	-	-	-	-
Prepaid costs	-	-	-	32,906	38,788
Due from other governments	505,049	188,542	844,196	275,123	614,891
Due from other funds	3,006,662	4,073,822	2,937,494	4,096,758	3,832,041
Due from Successor Agency	10,207,797	9,002,419	9,297,040	-	-
Due from agency fund	-	94,016	-	-	-
Advances to other funds	1,581,814	1,621,446	1,661,076	1,496,657	1,488,267
Inventories and prepaid costs	49,595	104,344	61,805	-	-
Restricted Assets:					
Cash and investments with fiscal agents	-	-	-	1,274,067	-
Due from Successor Agency of Chula Vista RDA				9,591,661	9,885,147
Total Assets	<u>\$44,867,482</u>	<u>\$49,122,295</u>	<u>\$43,341,078</u>	<u>\$47,266,258</u>	<u>\$47,579,968</u>
Linkiliting Deformed Inflower of Decoupoes					
Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances:					
Liabilities:					
Accounts payable	\$ 5,549,046	\$ 2,027,105	\$ 6,712,402	\$ 1,744,436	\$ 2,315,153
Accrued liabilities	Ψ 3,342,040	4,127,118	φ 0,712,402	5,492,633	3,193,649
Retention payable	_	212,667	_	5,472,033	1,089
Settlement payable	_	8,000,000	_	_	-
Pass-through payable	_	-	_	8,229	_
Deferred revenue	11,279,378	6,786,230	_		_
Total Liabilities	16,828,424	21,153,120	6,712,402	7,245,298	5,509,891
1 our Balonetes	10,020,121	21,133,120	0,712,102	7,213,270	
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:					
Unavailable revenues			3,669,767	3,898,935	4,677,420
<b>Total Deferred inflows of resources</b>			3,669,767	3,898,935	4,677,420

Continued on next page.

# TABLE NO. 25 CITY OF CHULA VISTA GENERAL FUND BALANCE SHEET

Continued from previous page.

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Fund Balances (1):					
Nonspendable:					
Prepaid costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 61,805	\$ 32,906	\$ 38,788
Notes and loans	-	-	5,854,271	5,889,439	-
Due from Successor Agency of Chula Vista RDA	-	-	-	-	5,834,630
Advances to other funds	-	-	1,508,736	1,496,657	1,488,267
Committed to:					
Capital projects	-	-	1,839,650	3,226,070	2,072,436
Economic contingency			3,600,000	3,600,000	3,600,000
San Diego Authority for Freeway Emergency	-	-	695,951	695,951	664,659
Legal counsel	-	-	80,000	80,000	46,050
Assigned to:					
General government	-	-	535,776	916,473	1,677,574
Public safety	-	-	1,106,960	939,669	1,181,062
Parks and recreation	-	-	152,853	116,375	170,661
Public works	-	-	101,975	122,650	836,035
Library	-	-	41	5,000	185
Public liability	-	-	-	2,587,957	1,909,942
Nonspendable (2)	8,799,026	7,481,079	-	-	-
Restricted (2)	-	750,951	-	-	-
Committed (2)	4,375,207	2,298,088	-	-	-
Assigned (2)	2,895,545	6,648,922	-	-	-
Unassigned (2)	11,969,280	10,790,135	14,511,252	16,412,878	17,872,368
<b>Total Fund Balances</b>	\$28,039,058	<u>\$27,969,175</u>	\$32,958,909	\$36,122,025	<u>\$37,392,657</u>
Total liabilities, Deferred Inflows of					
Resources, and Fund Balances	<u>\$44,867,482</u>	<u>\$49,122,295</u>	<u>\$43,341,078</u>	<u>\$47,266,258</u>	<u>\$47,579,968</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> See "GASB Statement No 54" above.

Source: City of Chula Vista Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> Change in financial statement presentation in 2014 to show individual components of the fund balance commitments and designations.

TABLE NO. 26
CITY OF CHULA VISTA
GENERAL FUND
STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES

	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>
Revenues:					
Taxes	\$ 85,167,221	2)\$ 75,841,123 (1)	\$105,718,638	\$100,738,431	\$107,731,873
Intergovernmental	2,029,529	19,542,065 (1)	2,477,213	1,933,114	2,530,464
Licenses and permits	1,222,769	1,395,519	1,315,445	1,281,656	1,301,243
Charges for services	7,794,981	8,357,509	9,257,946	9,430,097	9,264,462
Fines and forfeitures	1,355,769	1,002,946	1,009,736	1,638,251	1,249,457
Use of money and property	2,916,631	2,201,490	2,522,893	2,832,039	2,879,878
Miscellaneous	11,587,469	13,023,676	11,580,545	12,811,856	11,988,931
Total Revenues	112,074,369	121,364,328	133,882,416	130,665,444	136,946,308
Expenditures:					
Current:					
General government	19,615,371	22,742,279	20,586,160	23,305,483	24,518,792
Public safety	64,440,238	66,359,410	68,776,426	72,509,678	76,138,983
Public works	25,219,618	26,014,418	27,092,607	27,822,644	28,139,011
Parks and recreation	3,244,286	3,362,558	3,588,693	3,746,349	4,057,799
Library	3,435,325	3,182,483	3,336,380	3,527,038	3,689,475
Capital outlay	280,627	1,172,734	849,234	1,081,105	2,235,590
Total Expenditures	116,235,465	122,833,882	124,229,500	131,992,297	138,779,650
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues					
Over (Under) Expenditures	(4,161,096)	(1,469,554)	9,652,916	(1,326,853)	(1,833,342)
Other Financing Sources (Uses):					
Transfers in	9,850,345	9,661,447	9,571,300	9,994,525	9,036,494
Transfers out	(13,390,590)	(4,910,795)	(14,234,482)	(6,082,780)	(6,335,351)
Capital leases				578,224	377,487
<b>Total Other Financing Sources (Uses):</b>	(3,540,245)	4,750,652	(4,663,182)	4,489,969	3,078,630
Net Change in Fund Balances	(7,701,341)	3,281,098	4,989,734	3,163,116	1,245,288
Fund Balances, Beginning of Year, as					
previously reported	35,740,399	24,688,077	27,969,175	32,958,909	36,122,025
Restatements					25,344
Fund Balances, Beginning of Year, as restated	35,740,399	24,688,077	27,969,175	32,958,909	36,147,369
Fund Balances, End of Year	\$ 28,039,058	\$ 27,969,175	\$ 32,958,909	\$ 36,122,025	\$ 37,392,657

The City reflected the Property Taxes In-Lieu of Motor Vehicle Fees in "Intergovernmental Revenues" in Fiscal Year 2012-13, and in all other years in "Taxes." See "Local Taxes" and "Motor Vehicle License Fees" above.

Source: City of Chula Vista Comprehensive Annual Financial Reports.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> Includes one-time recognition of \$10.5 million in deferred UUT revenue. See "Local Taxes" above and corresponding \$8.0 million required transfer out of the General Fund.

# RISK FACTORS

The purchase of the Bonds involves investment risk. If a risk factor materializes to a sufficient degree, it could delay or prevent payment of principal of and/or interest on the Bonds. Such risk factors include, but are not limited to, the following matters and should be considered, along with other information in this Official Statement, by potential investors.

# **The Base Rental Payments**

Base Rental Payments are Limited Obligations of the City. The Base Rental Payments and other payments due under the Lease Agreement (including a proportionate share of the costs of improvement, repair and maintenance of the Leased Property and taxes, other governmental charges and assessments levied against the Leased Property) are not secured by any pledge of taxes or other revenues of the City but are payable from yearly appropriations of any funds lawfully available to the City. In the event the City's revenue sources are less than its total obligations, the City could choose to fund other services before paying Base Rental Payments and other payments due under the Lease Agreement. The same result could occur if, because of State Constitutional limits on expenditures, the City is not permitted to appropriate and spend all of its available revenues (see "Constitutional Limitation on Taxes and Expenditures" below). To the extent these types of events or other events adversely affecting the funds available to the City occur in any year, the funds available to pay Base Rental Payments may be decreased.

The City has the capacity to enter into other obligations which may constitute additional charges against its revenues. To the extent that additional obligations are incurred by the City, the funds available to the City to pay Base Rental Payments may be decreased (see "FINANCIAL INFORMATION - Outstanding Indebtedness of the City" and "- Joint Financing Agreement with Respect to the Chula Vista Bayfront" herein).

Abatement. Except to the extent that amounts are available (i) in the Base Rental Payment Fund under the Indenture, (ii) from proceeds of rental interruption insurance, or (iii) as payments due from third parties due to a delay in reconstructing the Leased Property, the amount of Base Rental Payments and Additional Payments, as defined in "APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS," shall be abated during any period in which by reason of damage, destruction or taking by eminent domain or condemnation of the Leased Property or defects in the title with respect to the Leased Property there is substantial interference with the use and possession of all or a portion of the Leased Property by the City. The amount of such abatement shall be such that the resulting Base Rental Payments, exclusive of the amounts described above, do not exceed the fair rental value (as determined by the City and the Authority in a written certificate to the Trustee) for the use and possession of the portion of the Leased Property not damaged, destroyed, interfered with or taken. Such abatement shall continue for the period commencing with such damage, destruction, interference or taking and ending with the substantial completion of the replacement or work of repair or the removal of the title defect causing such interference with use. The Lease Agreement shall continue in full force and effect following an event of abatement and the City waives any right to terminate the Lease Agreement by virtue of an abatement event.

In the event that such funds are insufficient to make all payments due on the Bonds during the period that the Leased Property, or portion thereof, is being restored, then all or a portion of such payments may not be made and no remedy is available to the Trustee or the Owners under the Lease Agreement or Indenture for nonpayment under such circumstances. Failure to pay principal, premium, if any, or interest with respect to the Bonds as a result of abatement of the City's obligation to make Base Rental Payments under the Lease Agreement is not an event of default under the Indenture or the Lease Agreement. In the event that Base Rental Payments are abated due to damage caused by earthquake or flood, such abatement may continue indefinitely since the Lease Agreement does not require earthquake or flood insurance, and the City cannot be compelled to repair or replace the damaged Leased Property or to redeem the Bonds but will covenant in the Indenture to repair or replace the Leased Property from other lawfully available funds to the extent that the Net Insurance Proceeds are insufficient. See "APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL

LEGAL DOCUMENTS - LEASE AGREEMENT - RENTAL PAYMENTS - Rental Abatement" and "-INDENTURE - SECURITY FOR BONDS; FLOW OF FUNDS; INVESTMENTS - Application of Net Insurance Proceeds."

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Lease Agreement and the Indenture specifying the extent of abatement of Base Rental Payments and the application of other funds in the event of the City's failure to have use and occupancy of the Leased Property, such provisions may be superseded by operation of law, and, in such event, the resulting Base Rental Payments of the City may not be sufficient to pay all of the remaining principal and interest represented by the Bonds.

**Insurance.** The Lease Agreement obligates the City to obtain and keep in force various forms of insurance to assure repair or replacement of the Leased Property in the event of damage or destruction to or title defect affecting the Leased Property and to maintain rental interruption insurance in an amount equal to maximum annual Base Rental Payments in any 24 month period (see "APPENDIX A - SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS - LEASE AGREEMENT - INSURANCE" herein). The Lease Agreement does not require earthquake or flood insurance. See "Natural Hazards" below. The City makes no representation as to the ability of any insurer to fulfill its obligations under any insurance policy provided for in the Lease Agreement. In addition, certain risks may not be covered by such property insurance (see "SOURCES OF PAYMENT FOR THE BONDS - Insurance Relating to the Property" herein).

In the event the Leased Property is partially or completely damaged or destroyed due to any uninsured or underinsured event, it is likely that Base Rental Payments will be partially or completely abated. <u>Further, with respect to an insured event,</u> if any Leased Property so damaged or destroyed is not repaired or replaced within the period during which the proceeds of rental interruption insurance are available, abatement could prevent the City from timely paying Base Rental Payments and the Authority from paying the principal of and interest on the Bonds when due. The rental interruption insurance will only be payable following an insured loss and in the event of an uninsured loss such as earthquake or flood, no amounts will be available under the rental interruption insurance policy.

Discovery of a Hazardous Substance That Would Limit the Beneficial Use of the Leased Property. In general, the owners and lessees of a parcel may be required by law to remedy conditions of the property relating to releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances. The federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 sometimes referred to as CERCLA or the Superfund Act, is the most well-known and widely applicable of these laws but California laws with regard to hazardous substances are also stringent and similar. Under many of these laws, the owner (or lessee) is obligated to remedy a hazardous substance condition of property whether or not the owner (or lessee) had any involvement in creating or handling the hazardous substance. The effect, therefore, should the Leased Property be affected by a hazardous substance, might be to limit the beneficial use of the Leased Property upon discovery and during remediation. The City is not aware of any such condition on the Leased Property.

# **Natural Hazards**

**Seismic Considerations.** According to the Public Safety Element of the City's General Plan, the City is located in a seismically active region and could be impacted by a major earthquake originating from the numerous faults in the area. Traces of the potentially active La Nacion fault zone are known to cross the City in a generally north-south direction within the central portion of the City. The nearest active faults are the Rose Canyon fault, located approximately 14 miles northwest of the City, and the Coronado Bank fault, located approximately 30 miles from the City. Other active faults in the region are located more than 60 miles from the City. Seismic hazards encompass potential surface rupture, ground shaking, liquefaction and landslides.

Strong vibrations due to earthquakes can cause liquefaction of certain soil types. Areas of the City in close proximity to San Diego Bay and the Sweetwater and Otay River Valley have shallow groundwater tables and poorly consolidated granular sediments potentially subject to seismically-induced liquefaction. A portion of the City is also subject to landslides in the event of an earthquake.

A major earthquake could cause widespread destruction and significant loss of life in a populated area such as the City. If an earthquake were to substantially damage or destroy taxable property within the City, a reduction in taxable values of property in the City and a reduction in revenues available to the General Fund to make Base Rental Payments would be likely to occur. Seismic activity may also reduce or eliminate the use and occupancy of the Leased Property by the City. The City carries no earthquake insurance on City facilities, including the Leased Property.

**Flooding and Tsunamis.** Portions of the City are located in a 100-year flood plain. Portions of the City are also located along the Pacific Ocean and the City could be subject to impacts from tsunamis in the event of an earthquake occurring off-shore. If either a flood or a tsunami were to substantially damage or destroy taxable property within the City, a reduction in taxable values of property in the City and a reduction in revenues available to the General Fund to make Base Rental Payments would be likely to occur.

There is no assurance that, in the event of a natural disaster, sufficient City reserves or Federal Emergency Management Agency assistance would be available for the repair or replacement of the Leased Property.

# **State Budget**

The following information concerning the State's budgets has been obtained from publicly available information which the City, the Municipal Advisor and the Underwriter believe to be reliable; however, neither the City, the Municipal Advisor nor the Underwriter guarantees the accuracy or completeness of this information and has not independently verified such information. Furthermore, it should not be inferred from the inclusion of this information in this Official Statement that the principal of or interest on the Bonds is payable by or the responsibility of the State of California.

**State Budget.** Information about the State budget is regularly available at various State-maintained websites. Text of proposed and adopted budgets may be found at the website of the Department of Finance, <a href="www.dof.ca.gov">www.dof.ca.gov</a>, under the heading "California Budget." An impartial analysis of the budget is posted by the Office of the Legislative Analyst at <a href="www.lao.ca.gov">www.lao.ca.gov</a>. In addition, various State of California official statements, many of which contain a summary of the current and past State budgets and the impact of those budgets on cities in the State, may be found at the website of the State Treasurer, <a href="www.treasurer.ca.gov">www.treasurer.ca.gov</a>. The information referred to is prepared by the respective State agency maintaining each website and not by the City, and the City can take no responsibility for the continued accuracy of these internet addresses or for the accuracy, completeness or timeliness of information posted there, and such information is not incorporated herein by these references.

According to the State Constitution, the Governor of the State (the "Governor") is required to propose a budget to the State Legislature (the "Legislature") by no later than January 10 of each year, and a final budget must be adopted by the vote of each house of the Legislature no later than June 15, although this deadline has been routinely breached in the past. The State budget becomes law upon the signature of the Governor, who may veto specific items of expenditure.

Prior to Fiscal Year 2010-11, the State budget had to be adopted by a two-thirds vote of each house of the Legislature. However, in November 2010, the voters of the State passed Proposition 25, which reduced the vote required to adopt a budget to a majority vote of each house and which provided that there would be no appropriation from the current budget or future budget to pay any salary or reimbursement for travel or living expenses for members of the Legislature for the period during which the budget was presented late to the Governor.

Potential Impact of State of California Financial Condition on the City. During the most recent recession, the State faced a structural deficit that resulted in substantial annual deficits and reductions in expenditures. Although the State has had a budget surplus in the more recent fiscal years, according to the State there remain a number of major risks and pressures that threaten the State's financial condition, including the threat of recession, potential changes to federal fiscal policies and unfunded long-term liabilities of more than \$200 billion. These risks and financial pressures could result in future reductions or deferrals in amounts payable to the City. The State's financial condition and budget policies affect local public agencies throughout California. To the extent that the State budget process results in reduced revenues to the City, the City will be required to make adjustments to its budget. State budget policies can also impact conditions in the local economy and could have an adverse effect on the local economy and the City's major revenue sources.

No prediction can be made by the City as to whether the State will encounter budgetary problems in future fiscal years, and if it were to do so, it is not clear what measures would be taken by the State to balance its budget, as required by law. In addition, the City cannot predict the final outcome of future State budget negotiations, the impact that such budgets will have on City finances and operations or what actions will be taken in the future by the Legislature and the Governor to deal with changing State revenues and expenditures. There can be no assurance that actions taken by the State to address its financial condition will not materially adversely affect the financial condition of the City. Current and future State budgets will be affected by national and State economic conditions and other factors over which the City has no control.

**State Legislative Shifts of Property Tax Allocation.** From time to time, the State has realigned certain property tax revenue to deal with its budget problems. Since 1992-93, the State has required that local agencies including cities remit a portion of property taxes received to augment school funding. These funds are deposited in each county's Education Revenue Augmentation Fund ("ERAF"). These property taxes (approximately 17.5%) are permanently excluded from the City's property tax revenues.

On July 24, 2009, the California legislature approved amendments to the 2009-10 Budget to close its anticipated \$26.3 billion budget shortfall. The approved amendments included borrowing from local governments by withholding of the equivalent of 8% of Fiscal Year 2008-09 property related tax revenues from cities' and counties' property tax collections under provisions of Proposition 1A (approved by the voters in 2004), which the State was required to repay with interest within three years. The first (and to date, only) shift occurred in Fiscal Year 2009-10. The City's share of the withholding was \$4,488,610. Fiscal Year 2012-13 was the first year that another shift was allowable, but the State has not implemented another borrowing yet.

In addition, certain other provisions in the State budget have resulted in a realignment of property tax revenues:

On March 2, 2004, voters approved a bond initiative formally known as the "California Economic Recovery Act." This act authorized the issuance of \$15 billion in bonds to finance the Fiscal Year 2002-03 and Fiscal Year 2003-04 State budget deficits, which would be payable from a fund to be established by the redirection of tax revenues through the "Triple Flip." Under the "Triple Flip," one-quarter of local governments' 1% share of the sales tax imposed on taxable transactions within their jurisdiction will be redirected to the State. In an effort to eliminate the adverse impact of the sales tax revenue redirection on local government, the legislation provides for property taxes in the ERAF to be redirected to local government. Because the ERAF moneys were previously earmarked for schools, the legislation provides for schools to receive other state general fund revenues. The swap of sales taxes for property taxes terminated once the deficit financing bonds were repaid in September 2015. The City treated the Triple Flip property tax revenue as sales tax in its financial statements.

The City also received a portion of Department of Motor Vehicles license fees ("VLF") collected statewide. Several years ago, the State-wide VLF was reduced by approximately two-thirds. However, the State continued to remit to cities and counties the same amount that those local agencies would have received if the VLF had not been reduced, known as the "VLF backfill." The State VLF backfill was phased out and as of 2011-12 all of the VLF is now received through an in lieu payment from State property tax revenues.

# Limited Recourse on Default; No Acceleration

If an event of default occurs and is continuing under the Lease Agreement, there is no remedy of acceleration of any Base Rental Payments which have not come due and payable in accordance with the Lease Agreement. The City will continue to be liable for Base Rental Payments as they become due and payable in accordance with the Lease Agreement if the Trustee does not terminate the Lease Agreement, and the Trustee would be required to seek a separate judgment each year for that year's defaulted Base Rental Payments. Any such suit for money damages would be subject to limitations on legal remedies against cities in California, including a limitation on enforcement of judgments against funds or property needed to serve the public welfare and interest. In addition, the enforcement of any remedies provided in the Lease Agreement and the Indenture could prove both expensive and time-consuming.

The Lease Agreement permits the Trustee to take possession of and re-lease the Leased Property in the event of a default by the City under the Lease Agreement. Even if the Trustee could readily re-lease the Leased Property, the rents may not be sufficient to enable it to pay principal and interest on the Bonds in full when due. Any such re-leasing of the Leased Property would be subject to existing encumbrances thereon. See "THE LEASED PROPERTY" herein.

# **Enforcement of Remedies**

The enforcement of any remedies provided in the Lease Agreement and the Indenture could prove both expensive and time consuming. The rights and remedies provided in the Lease Agreement and the Indenture may be limited by and are subject to the limitations on legal remedies against cities, including State constitutional limits on expenditures, and limitations on the enforcement of judgments against funds needed to serve the public welfare and interest; by federal bankruptcy laws, as now or hereafter enacted; applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, or similar laws relating to or affecting the enforcement of creditors' rights generally, now or hereafter in effect (see "Bankruptcy" below); equity principles which may limit the specific enforcement under State law of certain remedies; the exercise by the United States of America of the powers delegated to it by the Constitution; the reasonable and necessary exercise, in certain exceptional situations, of the police powers inherent in the sovereignty of the State and its governmental bodies in the interest of serving a significant and legitimate public purpose; and the limitations on remedies against municipal entities in the State. Bankruptcy proceedings or the exercise of powers by the federal or State government, if initiated, could subject the Owners of the Bonds to judicial discretion and interpretation of their rights in bankruptcy or otherwise, and consequently may entail risks of delay, limitation or modification of their rights.

The legal opinions to be delivered concurrently with the delivery of the Bonds (including Bond Counsel's legal opinion) will be qualified as to the enforceability of the Bonds, the Indenture, the Site Lease, the Lease Agreement, the Assignment Agreement and other related documents, by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, arrangement, fraudulent conveyance and other laws relating to or affecting creditors' rights, to the application of equitable principles, to the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases, and to the limitation on legal remedies against public agencies in the State. See "Bankruptcy" below.

# **Bankruptcy**

In addition to the limitations on remedies contained in the Indenture and the Lease Agreement, the rights and remedies in the Lease Agreement may be limited and are subject to the provisions of federal bankruptcy laws, as now or hereafter enacted, and to other laws or equitable principles that may affect the enforcement of creditors' rights.

Under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code (Title 11, United States Code) (the "Bankruptcy Code"), which governs bankruptcy proceedings of public entities such as the City, no involuntary bankruptcy petition may be filed against a public entity. However, upon satisfaction of certain prerequisite conditions, a voluntary bankruptcy petition may be filed by the City. The filing of a bankruptcy petition results in a stay against enforcement of certain remedies under agreements to which the bankrupt entity is a party. A bankruptcy filing by the City could thus limit remedies under the Lease Agreement. A bankruptcy debtor may choose to assume or reject executory contracts and leases, such as the Lease Agreement. However, a debtor may not assume or reject executory contracts to loan money or to make a financial accommodation, such as the Indenture. In the event of rejection of a lease by debtor lessee, the leased property is returned to the lessor and the lessor has a claim for a limited amount of the resulting damages.

Under the Indenture, the Trustee holds a security interest in the revenues in the funds pledged thereunder, including Base Rental Payments, for the benefit of the Owners of the Bonds, but such security interest arises only when the Base Rental Payments are actually received by the Trustee following payment by the City. The Leased Property itself is not subject to a security interest, mortgage or any other lien in favor of the Trustee for the benefit of the Owners of the Bonds. In the event of a bankruptcy filed by the City and the subsequent rejection of the Lease Agreement by the City, the Trustee would recover possession of the Leased Property and would have a claim for damages against the City. The Trustee's claim would constitute a secured claim only to the extent of revenues in the possession of the Trustee; the balance of such claim would be unsecured.

Such a bankruptcy could adversely affect the payments under the Indenture. Among the adverse effects might be: (i) the application of the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, which, until relief is granted, would prevent collection of payments from the City or the commencement of any judicial or other action for the purpose of recovering or collecting a claim against the City and could prevent the Trustee from making payments from funds in its possession; (ii) the avoidance of preferential transfers occurring during the relevant period prior to the filing of a bankruptcy petition; (iii) the existence of unsecured or secured debt which may have priority of payment superior to that of the Owners of the Bonds; and (iv) the possibility of the adoption of a plan (the "Plan of Adjustment") for the adjustment of the City's debt without the consent of the Trustee or all of the Owners of the Bonds, which Plan of Adjustment may restructure, delay, compromise or reduce the amount of any claim of the Owners of the Bonds if the Bankruptcy Court finds that the Plan of Adjustment is fair and equitable and in the best interests of creditors.

In a bankruptcy of the City, if a material unpaid liability is owed to CalPERS or any other pension system (collectively the "Pension Systems") on the filing date, or accrues thereafter, such circumstances could create additional uncertainty as to the City's ability to make Base Rental Payments. Given that municipal pension systems in California are usually administered pursuant to state constitutional provisions and, as applicable, other state and/or city law, the Pension Systems may take the position, among other possible arguments, that their claims enjoy a higher priority than all other claims, that Pension Systems have the right to enforce payment by injunction or other proceedings outside of a City bankruptcy case, and that Pension System claims cannot be the subject of adjustment or other impairment under the Bankruptcy Code because that would purportedly constitute a violation of state statutory, constitutional and/or municipal law. It is uncertain how a bankruptcy judge in a City bankruptcy would rule on these matters. In addition, this area of law is presently very unsettled as issues of pension underfunding claim priority, pension contribution enforcement, and related bankruptcy plan treatment of such claims (among other pension-related matters) have been the subject of litigation in the Chapter 9 cases of several California municipalities, including the Cities of Stockton and San Bernardino.

The Authority is a public agency and, like the City, is not subject to the involuntary procedures of the Bankruptcy Code. The Authority may also seek voluntary protection under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code. In the event the Authority were to become a debtor under the Bankruptcy Code, the Authority would be entitled to all of the protective provisions of the Bankruptcy Code as applicable in a Chapter 9 proceeding. Such a bankruptcy could adversely affect the payments under the Indenture. Among the adverse effects might be: (i) the application of the automatic stay provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, which, until relief is granted, would prevent collection of payments from the Authority or the commencement of any judicial or other action for the purpose of recovering or collecting a claim against the Authority; (ii) the avoidance of preferential transfers occurring during the relevant period prior to the filing of a bankruptcy petition; (iii) the existence of unsecured or court-approved secured debt which may have priority of payment superior to that of the Owners of the Bonds; and (iv) the possibility of the adoption of a plan for the adjustment of the Authority's debt without the consent of the Trustee or all of the Owners of the Bonds, which plan may restructure, delay, compromise or reduce the amount of any claim of the Owners of the Bonds if the Bankruptcy Court finds that the Plan is fair and equitable. However, the bankruptcy of the Authority, and not the City, should not affect the Trustee's rights under the Lease Agreement. The Authority could still challenge the assignment, and the Trustee and/or the Owners of the Bonds could be required to litigate these issues to protect their interests.

# **Constitutional Limitation on Taxes and Expenditures**

**State Initiative Measures Generally.** Under the California Constitution, the power of initiative is reserved to the voters for the purpose of enacting statutes and constitutional amendments. Voters have exercised this power through the adoption of Proposition 13 ("Article XIIIA") and similar measures, such as Propositions 22 and 26 approved in the general election held on November 2, 2010.

Any such initiative may affect the collection of fees, taxes and other types of revenue by local agencies such as the City. Subject to overriding federal constitutional principles, such collection may be materially and adversely affected by voter-approved initiatives, possibly to the extent of creating cash-flow problems in the payment of outstanding obligations such as the Lease.

Article XIIIA. Article XIIIA of the California Constitution limits the taxing powers of California public agencies. Article XIIIA provides that the maximum ad valorem tax on real property cannot exceed 1% of the "full cash value" of the property, and effectively prohibits the levying of any other ad valorem property tax except for taxes above that level required to pay debt service on voter-approved general obligation bonds. "Full cash value" is defined as "the County assessor's valuation of real property as shown on the 1975-76 tax bill under 'full cash value' or, thereafter, the appraised value of real property when purchased, newly constructed, or a change in ownership has occurred after the 1975 assessment." The "full cash value" is subject to annual adjustment to reflect inflation at a rate not to exceed 2% or a reduction in the consumer price index or comparable local data. Article XIIIA has subsequently been amended to permit reduction of the "full cash value" base in the event of declining property values caused by substantial damage, destruction or other factors, and to provide that there would be no increase in the "full cash value" base in the event of reconstruction of property damaged or destroyed in a disaster and in other special circumstances. There may also be declines in valuations if the California Consumer Price Index is negative.

The foregoing limitation does not apply to ad valorem taxes or special assessments to pay the interest and prepayment charges on any indebtedness approved by the voters before July 1, 1978 or any bonded indebtedness for the acquisition or improvement of real property approved by two-thirds of votes cast by the voters voting on the proposition.

In the general election held November 4, 1986, voters of the State of California approved two measures, Propositions 58 and 60, which further amend the terms "purchase" and "change of ownership," for purposes of determining full cash value of property under Article XIIIA, to not include the purchase or transfer of (1) real property between spouses, and (2) the principal residence and the first \$1,000,000 of other property between parents and children. Proposition 60 amends Article XIIIA to permit the Legislature to allow

persons over age 55 who sell their residence and buy or build another of equal or lesser value within two years in the same city, to transfer the old residence's assessed value to the new residence. In the March 26, 1996 general election, voters approved Proposition 193, which extends the parents-children exception to the reappraisal of assessed value. Proposition 193 amended Article XIIIA so that grandparents may transfer to their grandchildren whose parents are deceased, their principal residences, and the first \$1,000,000 of other property without a reappraisal of assessed value.

Because the Revenue and Taxation Code does not distinguish between positive and negative changes in the California Consumer Price Index used for purposes of the inflation factor, there was a decrease of 0.237% in 2009-10 – applied to the 2010-11 tax roll – reflecting the actual change in the California Consumer Price Index, as reported by the State Department of Finance. For each fiscal year since Article XIIIA has become effective (the 1978-79 Fiscal Year), the annual increase for inflation has been at least 2% except in ten fiscal years as shown below:

Tax Roll	<b>Percentage</b>	Tax Roll	<b>Percentage</b>
1981-82	1.000%	2010-11	(0.237)%
1996-96	1.190	2011-12	0.753
1996-97	1.110	2014-15	0.454
1998-99	1.853	2015-16	1.998
2004-05	1.867	2016-17	1.525

**Proposition 8 Adjustments.** Proposition 8, approved in 1978, provides for the assessment of real property at the lesser of its originally determined (base year) full cash value compounded annually by the inflation factor, or its full cash value as of the lien date, taking into account reductions in value due to damage, destruction, obsolescence or other factors causing a decline in market value. Reductions based on Proposition 8 do not establish new base year values, and the property may be reassessed as of the following lien date up to the lower of the then-current fair market value or the factored base year value. The State Board of Equalization has approved this reassessment formula and such formula has been used by county assessors statewide. The City experienced Proposition 8 reductions in property values between 2009 and 2013. See "FINANCIAL INFORMATION - Ad Valorem Property Taxes - Taxable Property and Assessed Valuation" herein. Proposition 8 adjustments could happen again in future years, and are likely to occur during a recession.

**Article XIIIB.** On November 6, 1979, California voters approved Proposition 4, or the Gann Initiative, which added Article XIIIB to the California Constitution. Article XIIIB limits the annual appropriations of the State and any city, county, city and county, school district, authority or other political subdivision of the State. The "base year" for establishing such appropriations limit is the 1978-79 Fiscal Year, and the limit is to be adjusted annually to reflect changes in population, consumer prices and certain increases in the cost of services provided by public agencies.

Appropriations subject to Article XIIIB include generally the proceeds of taxes levied by or for the entity and the proceeds of certain State subventions, refunds of taxes, benefit payments from retirement, unemployment insurance and disability insurance funds. "Proceeds of taxes" include, but are not limited to, all tax revenues, certain State subventions, and the proceeds to an entity of government, from (1) regulatory licenses, user charges and user fees, to the extent that such charges and fees exceed the costs reasonably borne in providing the regulation, product or service, and (2) the investment of tax revenues. Article XIIIB includes a requirement that if an entity's revenues in any year exceed the amounts permitted to be spent, the excess would have to be returned by revising tax rates or fee schedules within the next two subsequent fiscal years.

In the June 1990 election, the voters approved Proposition 111 amending the method of calculation of State and local appropriations limits. Proposition 111 made several changes to Article XIIIB. First, the term "change in the cost of living" was redefined as the change in the California per capita personal income

("CPCPI") for the preceding year. Previously, the lower of the CPCPI or the United States Consumer Price Index was used. Second, the appropriations limit for the fiscal year was recomputed by adjusting the 1986-87 limit by the CPCPI for the three subsequent years. Third and lastly, Proposition 111 excluded appropriations for "qualified capital outlay for fiscal 1990-91 as defined by the legislature" from proceeds of taxes.

Section 7910 of the Government Code requires the City to adopt a formal appropriations limit for each fiscal year. The City's appropriations limit for 2015-16 was \$729,447,134. The City's appropriations subject to the limit for 2015-16 were \$293,415,405. Based on this, the appropriations limit is not expected to have any impact on the ability of the City to continue to budget and appropriate the Base Rental Payments as required by the Lease Agreement.

**Proposition 62.** Proposition 62 was a statutory initiative adopted in the November 1986 general election. Proposition 62 added Sections 53720 to 53730, inclusive, to the California Government Code. It confirmed the distinction between a general tax and special tax, established by the State Supreme Court in 1982 in *City and County of San Francisco v. Farrell*, by defining a general tax as one imposed for general governmental purposes and a special tax as one imposed for specific purposes. Proposition 62 further provided that no local government or district may impose (i) a general tax without prior approval of the electorate by majority vote or (ii) a special tax without such prior approval by two-thirds vote. It further provided that if any such tax is imposed without such prior written approval, the amount thereof must be withheld from the levying entity's allocation of annual property taxes for each year that the tax is collected. By its terms, Proposition 62 applies only to general and special taxes imposed on or after August 1, 1985. Proposition 62 was generally upheld in *Santa Clara County Local Transportation Authority v. Guardino*, a California Supreme Court decision filed September 28, 1995.

**Proposition 218.** On November 5, 1996, California voters approved Proposition 218 – Voter Approval for Local Government Taxes – Limitation on Fees, Assessments, and Charges – Initiative Constitutional Amendment. Proposition 218 added Articles XIIIC and XIIID to the California Constitution, imposing certain vote requirements and other limitations on the imposition of new or increased taxes, assessments and property-related fees and charges. Proposition 218 states that all taxes imposed by local governments shall be deemed to be either general taxes or special taxes. Special purpose districts, including school districts, have no power to levy general taxes. No local government may impose, extend or increase any general tax unless and until such tax is submitted to the electorate and approved by a majority vote. No local government may impose, extend or increase any special tax unless and until such tax is submitted to the electorate and approved by a two-thirds vote.

Proposition 218 also provides that no tax, assessment, fee or charge shall be assessed by any agency upon any parcel of property or upon any person as an incident of property ownership except: (i) the ad valorem property tax imposed pursuant to Article XIII and Article XIIIA of the California Constitution, (ii) any special tax receiving a two-thirds vote pursuant to Section 4 of Article XIIIA the California Constitution, and (iii) assessments, fees, and charges for property related services as provided in Article XIIID. Proposition 218 added voter requirements for assessments and fees and charges imposed as an incident of property ownership, other than fees and charges for sewer, water, and refuse collection services. In addition, all assessments and fees and charges imposed as an incident of property ownership, including sewer, water, and refuse collection services, are subjected to various additional procedures, such as hearings and stricter and more individualized benefit requirements and findings. The effect of such provisions will presumably be to increase the difficulty a local agency will have in imposing, increasing or extending such assessments, fees and charges.

Proposition 218 also extended the initiative power to reducing or repealing any local taxes, assessments, fees and charges. This extension of the initiative power is not limited to taxes imposed on or after November 6, 1996, the effective date of Proposition 218, and could result in retroactive repeal or reduction in any existing taxes, assessments, fees and charges, subject to overriding federal constitutional principles relating to the impairment of contracts.

Proposition 218 provides that, effective July 1, 1997, fees that are charged "as an incident of property ownership" may not "exceed the funds required to provide the property related services" and may only be charged for services that are "immediately available to the owner of the property."

The City levies a utility users tax ("UUT") on gas and electric customers based on usage (.01103 per therm for gas; .00300 per kilo watt for electricity) and telephone services based on gross receipts. The UUT was first levied in 1970 and the last increase in tax rates was in 1979. A class action lawsuit was filed against the City contending that a tax on wireless phone use was not covered in the implementing UUT ordinance. A settlement agreement was entered into in December 2013 for rebates to affected wireless phone users who paid the UUT of their wireless phone bills from April 2010 to April 2013. Under the terms of the settlement, a portion of the previously collected UUT was paid to the claims administrator for disbursement to the affected class of wireless phone users. In addition, pursuant to the settlement, starting March 1, 2014 the UUT rate on phone service was reduced from 5% to 4.75%.

The City does not expect the application of Proposition 218 will have a material adverse impact on its ability to pay Base Rental Payments.

**Voter-Approved Taxes.** On November 8, 2016, voters approved, by majority vote, an additional 1/2% sales tax to be levied and collected on behalf of the City. The tax is authorized to be levied and collected for 10 years commencing April 1, 2017.

**Proposition 1A.** Proposition 1A ("Proposition 1A"), proposed by the Legislature in connection with the 2004-05 Budget Act and approved by the voters in November 2004, restricts State authority to reduce major local tax revenues such as the tax shifts permitted to take place in Fiscal Years 2004-05 and 2005-06. Proposition 1A provides that the State may not reduce any local sales tax rate, limit existing local government authority to levy a sales tax rate or change the allocation of local sales tax revenues, subject to certain exceptions. Proposition 1A generally prohibits the State from shifting to schools or community colleges any share of property tax revenues allocated to local governments for any fiscal year, as set forth under the laws in effect as of November 3, 2004. Any change in the allocation of property tax revenues among local governments within a county must be approved by two-thirds of both houses of the Legislature.

Proposition 1A provides, however, that beginning in Fiscal Year 2008-09, the State may shift to schools and community colleges up to 8% of local government property tax revenues, which amount must be repaid, with interest, within three years, if the Governor proclaims that the shift is needed due to a severe state financial hardship, the shift is approved by two-thirds of both houses and certain other conditions are met. Such a shift may not occur more than twice in any 10-year period. The State may also approve voluntary exchanges of local sales tax and property tax revenues among local governments within a county.

For Fiscal Year 2009-10, 8% of the City's property tax revenues (approximately \$4.5 million) were diverted to the State as a result of a Proposition 1A suspension.

Proposition 1A also provides that if the State reduces the vehicle license fee rate below 0.65% of vehicle value, the State must provide local governments with equal replacement revenues. Further, Proposition 1A requires the State to suspend State mandates affecting cities, counties and special districts, excepting mandates relating to employee rights, schools or community colleges, in any year that the State does not fully reimburse local governments for their costs to comply with such mandates.

**Proposition 22.** On November 2, 2010, voters in the State approved Proposition 22. Proposition 22, known as the "Local Taxpayer, Public Safety, and Transportation Protection Act of 2010," eliminates or reduces the State's authority to (i) temporarily shift property taxes from cities, counties and special districts to schools, (ii) use vehicle license fee revenues to reimburse local governments for State-mandated costs (the State will have to use other revenues to reimburse local governments), (iii) redirect property tax increment from redevelopment agencies to any other local government, (iv) use State fuel tax revenues to

pay debt service on State transportation bonds, or (v) borrow or change the distribution of State fuel tax revenues.

**Proposition 26.** On November 2, 2010, voters in the State also approved Proposition 26. Proposition 26 amends Article XIIIC of the State Constitution to expand the definition of "tax" to include "any levy, charge, or exaction of any kind imposed by a local government" except the following: (1) a charge imposed for a specific benefit conferred or privilege granted directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of conferring the benefit or granting the privilege; (2) a charge imposed for a specific government service or product provided directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of providing the service or product; (3) a charge imposed for the reasonable regulatory costs to a local government for issuing licenses and permits, performing investigations, inspections, and audits, enforcing agricultural marketing orders, and the administrative enforcement and adjudication thereof; (4) a charge imposed for entrance to or use of local government property, or the purchase, rental, or lease of local government property; (5) a fine, penalty, or other monetary charge imposed by the judicial branch of government or a local government, as a result of a violation of law; (6) a charge imposed as a condition of property development; and (7) assessments and property-related fees imposed in accordance with the provisions of Article XIIID. Proposition 26 provides that the local government bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a levy, charge, or other exaction is not a tax, that the amount is no more than necessary to cover the reasonable costs of the governmental activity, and that the manner in which those costs are allocated to a payor bear a fair or reasonable relationship to the payor's burdens on, or benefits received from, the governmental activity. The City does not expect the provisions of Proposition 26 to materially impede its ability to pay Base Rental Payments when due.

**Future Initiatives.** From time to time other initiative measures could be adopted, affecting the ability of the City to increase revenues and appropriations.

## **Federal Legislation**

The Congress and the Executive Branch are considering a number of actions that could adversely affect funding for state and local governments. The potential impact of future changes in federal legislation and policies on the City's finances is unknown at the present time.

## **Early Redemption Risk**

Early payment of the Base Rental Payments and early redemption of the Bonds may occur in whole or in part without premium, on any date if the Leased Property or a portion thereof is lost, destroyed or damaged beyond repair, affected by title defect or taken by eminent domain (see "THE BONDS - Redemption - Special Mandatory Redemption From Net Insurance Proceeds").

## **Loss of Tax Exemption**

As discussed under the caption "TAX MATTERS" herein, interest on the Bonds could become includable in gross income for purposes of federal income taxation retroactive to the date the Bonds were executed and delivered as a result of future acts or omissions of the Authority or the City in violation of its covenants contained in the Indenture and the Lease Agreement. Should such an event of taxability occur, the Bonds are not subject to special redemption or any increase in interest rate and will remain outstanding until maturity or otherwise redeemed pursuant to the extraordinary redemption provisions contained in the Indenture.

In addition, Congress has considered in the past, is currently considering and may consider in the future, legislative proposals, including some that carry retroactive effective dates, that, if enacted, would alter or eliminate the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest on municipal bonds,

such as the Bonds. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should consult their own tax advisors regarding any pending or proposed federal tax legislation. Neither the Authority nor the City can provide assurance that federal tax law will not change while the Bonds are outstanding or that any such changes will not adversely affect the exclusion of the interest on the Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes. If the exclusion of the interest on the Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes were amended or eliminated, it is likely that the market price for the Bonds would be adversely impacted.

## **IRS Audit of Tax-Exempt Bond Issues**

The Internal Revenue Service has initiated an expanded program for the auditing of tax-exempt bond issues, including both random and targeted audits. It is possible that the Bonds will be selected for audit by the Internal Revenue Service. It is also possible that the market value of the Bonds might be affected as a result of such an audit of the Bonds (or by an audit of similar bonds).

## **Secondary Market Risk**

There can be no assurance that there will be a secondary market for purchase or sale of the Bonds, and from time to time there may be no market for them, depending upon prevailing market conditions, the financial condition or market position of firms who may make the secondary market and the financial condition of the City.

#### TAX MATTERS

In the opinion of Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation ("Bond Counsel"), under existing statutes, regulations, rulings and judicial decisions, interest on the Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes, and is not an item of tax preference for purposes of calculating the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the Bonds is exempt from State personal income tax. Bond Counsel notes that, with respect to corporations, interest on the Bonds may be included as an adjustment in the calculation of alternative minimum taxable income.

If the issue price of a Bond (the first price at which a substantial amount of the Bonds of a maturity is to be sold to the public) is less than the stated redemption price at maturity with respect to the Bond (to the extent the redemption price at maturity is greater than the issue price) such difference constitutes original issue discount. Original issue discount accrues under a constant yield method, and original issue discount will accrue to an owner of a Bond (the "Beneficial Owner") before receipt of cash attributable to such excludable income. The amount of original issue discount deemed received by a Beneficial Owner will increase the Beneficial Owner's basis in the applicable Bond. In the opinion of Bond Counsel, the amount of original issue discount that accrues to the Beneficial Owner of the Bond is excluded from gross income of such Beneficial Owner for federal income tax purposes, is not an item of tax preference for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations, and is exempt from State of California personal income tax.

Bond Counsel's opinion as to the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds is based upon certain representations of fact and certifications made by the Authority and the City and others and is subject to the condition that the Authority and the City comply with all requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), that must be satisfied subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds to assure that interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds will not become includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes. Failure to comply with such requirements of the Code might cause the interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactive to the date of issuance of the Bonds. The Authority and the City will covenant to comply with all such requirements.

The amount by which a Beneficial Owner's original basis for determining loss on sale or exchange in the applicable Bond (generally, the purchase price) exceeds the amount payable on maturity (or on an earlier call date) constitutes amortizable bond premium, which must be amortized under Section 171 of the Code; such amortizable bond premium reduces the Beneficial Owner's basis in the applicable Bond (and the amount of tax-exempt interest received), and is not deductible for federal income tax purposes. The basis reduction as a result of the amortization of bond premium may result in a Beneficial Owner realizing a taxable gain when a Bond is sold by the Beneficial Owner for an amount equal to or less (under certain circumstances) than the original cost of the Bond to the Beneficial Owner. Purchasers of the Bonds should consult their own tax advisors as to the treatment, computation and collateral consequences of amortizable bond premium.

The Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") has initiated an expanded program for the auditing of tax-exempt bond issues, including both random and targeted audits. It is possible that the Bonds will be selected for audit by the IRS. It is also possible that the market value of the Bonds might be affected as a result of such an audit of the Bonds (or by an audit of similar bonds). No assurance can be given that in the course of an audit, as a result of an audit, or otherwise, Congress or the IRS might not change the Code (or interpretation thereof) subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds to the extent that it adversely affects the exclusion from gross income of interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds or their market value.

SUBSEQUENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS THERE MIGHT BE FEDERAL, STATE, OR LOCAL STATUTORY CHANGES (OR JUDICIAL OR REGULATORY INTERPRETATIONS OF FEDERAL, STATE, OR LOCAL LAW) THAT AFFECT THE FEDERAL, STATE, OR LOCAL TAX TREATMENT OF THE BONDS OR THE MARKET VALUE OF THE BONDS. TAX REFORM PROPOSALS ARE BEING CONSIDERED BY CONGRESS. IT IS POSSIBLE THAT LEGISLATIVE CHANGES MIGHT BE INTRODUCED IN CONGRESS, WHICH, IF ENACTED, COULD RESULT IN ADDITIONAL FEDERAL INCOME OR STATE TAX BEING IMPOSED ON OWNERS OF TAX-EXEMPT STATE OR LOCAL OBLIGATIONS, SUCH AS THE BONDS. THE INTRODUCTION OR ENACTMENT OF ANY OF SUCH CHANGES COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE MARKET VALUE OR LIQUIDITY OF THE BONDS. NO ASSURANCE CAN BE GIVEN THAT SUBSEQUENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS SUCH CHANGES (OR OTHER CHANGES) WILL NOT BE INTRODUCED OR ENACTED OR ANY SUCH INTERPRETATIONS WILL NOT OCCUR. BEFORE PURCHASING ANY OF THE BONDS, ALL POTENTIAL PURCHASERS SHOULD CONSULT THEIR TAX ADVISORS REGARDING POSSIBLE STATUTORY CHANGES OR JUDICIAL OR REGULATORY CHANGES OR INTERPRETATIONS, AND THEIR COLLATERAL TAX CONSEQUENCES RELATING TO THE BONDS.

Bond Counsel's opinions may be affected by actions taken (or not taken) or events occurring (or not occurring) after the date hereof. Bond Counsel has not undertaken to determine, or to inform any person, whether any such actions or events are taken or do occur. The Indenture, the Lease Agreement and the Tax Certificate relating to the Bonds permit certain actions to be taken or to be omitted if a favorable opinion of a bond counsel is provided with respect thereto. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion as to the effect on the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest (or original issue discount) on any Bond if any such action is taken or omitted based upon the advice of counsel other than Bond Counsel.

Although Bond Counsel will render an opinion that interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes provided that the Authority and the City continue to comply with certain requirements of the Code, the ownership of the Bonds and the accrual or receipt of interest (and original issue discount) with respect to the Bonds may otherwise affect the tax liability of certain persons. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any such tax consequences. Accordingly, before purchasing any of the Bonds, all potential purchasers should consult their tax advisors with respect to collateral tax consequences relating to the Bonds.

Should interest on the Bonds (including any original issue discount) become includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes, the Bonds are not subject to early redemption and will remain outstanding until maturity or until redeemed in accordance with the Indenture.

A copy of the proposed form of opinion of Bond Counsel with respect to the Bonds is attached hereto in "APPENDIX D."

#### LEGAL MATTERS

### **Enforceability of Remedies**

The remedies available to the Trustee and the Owners of the Bonds upon an event of default under the Indenture, the Lease Agreement, the Site Lease, or any other document described herein are in many respects dependent upon regulatory and judicial actions which are often subject to discretion and delay. Under existing law and judicial decisions, the remedies provided for under such documents may not be readily available or may be limited. In the case of any bankruptcy proceeding involving the City, the rights of the Owners of the Bonds could be modified at the direction of the court. The various legal opinions to be delivered concurrently with the delivery of the Bonds will be qualified to the extent that the enforceability of certain legal rights related to the Indenture, the Lease Agreement, the Site Lease and other pertinent documents is subject to limitations imposed by bankruptcy, reorganization, insolvency or other similar laws affecting the rights of creditors generally and by equitable remedies and proceedings generally.

## **Approval of Legal Proceedings**

Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, Newport Beach, California, as Bond Counsel, will render an opinion with respect to the validity and enforceability of the Indenture, the Lease Agreement, the Site Lease and the Assignment Agreement and as to the validity of the Bonds. See "APPENDIX D" hereto for the proposed form of Bond Counsel's opinion.

The Authority and the City have no knowledge of any fact or other information which would indicate that the Indenture, the Lease Agreement, the Site Lease, the Assignment Agreement or the Bonds are not so enforceable against the Authority and the City, as applicable, except to the extent such enforcement is limited by principles of equity, by state and federal laws relating to bankruptcy, reorganization, moratorium or creditors' rights generally and by limitations on legal remedies against municipalities in the State.

Certain legal matters will be passed on for the City and the Authority by Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation, Newport Beach, California, as Disclosure Counsel and by Glen R. Googins, City Attorney. Certain legal matters will be passed on for the Underwriter by its Counsel, Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP, San Francisco, California. Fees payable to Bond Counsel, Disclosure Counsel and Underwriter's Counsel are contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds.

## **Absence of Litigation**

The City and the Authority will furnish a certificate dated as of the date of delivery of the Bonds that there is not now known to be litigation pending against the City or the Authority or threatened against the City or the Authority seeking to restrain or enjoin the execution or delivery of the Indenture, the Lease Agreement, the Site Lease or the Assignment Agreement or the sale or delivery of the Bonds, or, in any manner questioning the proceedings and authority under which the Bonds and Indenture, the Lease Agreement, the Site Lease and the Assignment Agreement are to be executed and delivered or affecting the validity thereof. There exists lawsuits and claims against the City that arise during the ordinary course of the City's operations. In the view of the City's management and City Attorney, there is no litigation, present or pending against the City, that will individually or in the aggregate impair the City's ability to make Base Rental Payments when due.

#### CONCLUDING INFORMATION

### **Rating on the Bonds**

Standard & Poor's has assigned its municipal bond rating of "\_\_\_\_" to the Bonds. Such rating reflects only the views of the rating agency and any desired explanation of the significance of such rating should be obtained from the rating agency. Generally, a rating agency bases its rating on the information and materials furnished to it and on investigations, studies and assumptions of its own.

Except as otherwise required in the Continuing Disclosure Agreement, the City undertakes no responsibility either to bring to the attention of the owners of any Bonds any downward revision or withdrawal of any rating obtained or to oppose any such revision or withdrawal. There is no assurance such rating will continue for any given period of time or that such rating will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely by the rating agency, if in the judgment of such rating agency, circumstances so warrant. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of a rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Bonds. A rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time.

## **Underwriting**

The Underwriter will initially offer the Bonds for sale at the prices or yields set forth on the inside front cover page of this Official Statement. Such prices or yields may subsequently be changed by the Underwriter. The Underwriter reserves the right to join with dealers and other investment banking firms in offering the Bonds for sale.

The Underwriter and its affiliates are full service financial institutions engaged in various activities, which may include securities trading, commercial and investment banking, financial advisory, investment management, principal investment, hedging, financing and brokerage activities. The Underwriter has, from time to time, performed, and may in the future perform, various investment banking services for the Authority and/or the City, for which it received or will receive customary fees and expenses.

The Underwriter has entered into negotiated dealer agreements (each, a "Dealer Agreement") with each of Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. ("CS&Co.") and LPL Financial LLC ("LPL") for the retail distribution of certain securities offerings at the original issue prices. Pursuant to each Dealer Agreement, each of CS&Co. and LPL may purchase the Bonds from the Underwriter at the original issue price less a negotiated portion of the selling concession applicable to any Bonds that such firm sells.

## The Municipal Advisor

The material contained in this Official Statement was prepared by the Authority and the City with the assistance of the Municipal Advisor who advised the Authority and the City as to the financial structure and certain other financial matters relating to the Bonds. The information set forth herein received from sources other than the City has been obtained by the Authority from sources which are believed to be reliable, but such information is not guaranteed by Municipal Advisor as to accuracy or completeness, nor has it been independently verified. Fees paid to the Municipal Advisor are contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds.

## **Continuing Disclosure**

The City will covenant to provide certain annual financial information by not later than March 31 in each year (the "Annual Reports") and notices of the occurrence of certain enumerated events in accordance with Rule 15c2-12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended (the "Rule"). Willdan Financial Services will act as Dissemination Agent. The specific nature of the information to be contained in the Annual Reports or the notices of listed events and certain other terms of the continuing disclosure obligation are found in the form of the City's Continuing Disclosure Agreement attached in "APPENDIX C - FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT."

The City and certain other entities related to the City, including the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Chula Vista ("Former Agency"), various community facilities districts and joint powers authorities (together, the "City Entities"), have entered into previous undertakings pursuant to the Rule. Within the last five years, the City and certain of the City Entities have failed to comply with their respective prior undertakings in the following respects: (i) pursuant to the undertakings for certain of the community facilities districts, such community facilities districts were twelve days late in filing the City's audited financial statements in 2013; (ii) pursuant to the undertakings for three series of the Former Agency's bonds, the Former Agency's Fiscal Year 2011 annual reports due in February and March 2012 were not filed until July 2012, 142 and 97 days late, respectively, and pursuant to the undertaking for one series of the Former Agency's bonds, the Former Agency's Fiscal Year 2012 annual report due in February 2013 was not filed until March 2013, 27 days late; (iii) notice of certain ratings changes relating to several issues resulting from changes in ratings on municipal bond insurance companies were not promptly filed and one notice of an underlying rating change was filed 37 days after the rating change occurred; and (iv) in certain cases information was timely filed on EMMA under the applicable base CUSIP number for the issuer but not linked to all of the individual CUSIP numbers for a series of bonds.

The City has adopted policies and procedures regarding compliance with undertakings made by the City and the City Entities pursuant to the Rule and has retained the services of outside consultants to assist in the reporting process. The City's Finance Department has assigned a specific person to coordinate with the outside consultants and to monitor compliance.

#### References

Any statements in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended as such and not as representations of fact. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the Authority and the purchasers or Owners of any of the Bonds.

## **Execution**

The execution of this Official Statement by the Chief Financial Officer of the Authority and the City Director of Finance/Treasurer has been duly authorized by the Authority and by the City, respectively.

CHULA VISTA	MUNICIPAL FINANCING AUTHORITY	
By:		
	Chief Financial Officer	
CITY OF CHULA VISTA		
By:		
	Director of Finance/Treasurer	

# APPENDIX A SUMMARY OF PRINCIPAL LEGAL DOCUMENTS

[to be provided by Bond Counsel]

# APPENDIX B CITY AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## APPENDIX C

#### FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

This Continuing Disclosure Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2017 (the "Disclosure Agreement") is executed and delivered by the City of Chula Vista (the "City") and Willdan Financial Services (the "Dissemination Agent") in connection with the execution and delivery of \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ Chula Vista Municipal Financing Authority 2017 Lease Revenue Bonds (the "Bonds"). The Bonds are being executed pursuant to an Indenture, dated as of July 1, 2017, as (the "Indenture"), by and among the City, U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (the "Trustee") and the Chula Vista Municipal Financing Authority (the "Authority"). The City covenants as follows:

SECTION 1. <u>Purpose of the Disclosure Agreement</u>. This Disclosure Agreement is being executed and delivered by the City for the benefit of the Owners and Beneficial Owners of the Bonds and in order to assist the Participating Underwriter in complying with the Rule (defined below).

SECTION 2. <u>Definitions</u>. In addition to the definitions set forth in the Indenture, which apply to any capitalized term used in this Disclosure Agreement unless otherwise defined in this Section, the following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

"Annual Report" shall mean any Annual Report provided by the City pursuant to, and as described in, Sections 3 and 4 of this Disclosure Agreement.

"Beneficial Owner" shall mean any person which has the power, directly or indirectly, to vote or consent with respect to, or to dispose of ownership of, any Bonds (including persons holding Bonds through nominees, depositories or other intermediaries).

"Disclosure Representative" shall mean the City Manager, Deputy City Manager, Chief Financial Officer, Director of Finance of the City or the designee of any one of such officers, or such other officer or employee as the City Manager shall designate in writing from time to time.

"Dissemination Agent" shall mean Willdan Financial Services, or any successor dissemination agent designated in writing by the City Manager and which has filed with the City a written acceptance of such designation.

"EMMA" shall mean the Electronic Municipal Market Access system of the MSRB.

"Listed Events" shall mean any of the events listed in Sections 5(a) and 5(b) of this Disclosure Agreement.

"MSRB" shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board and any successor entity designated under the Rule as the repository for filings made pursuant to the Rule.

"Official Statement" shall mean the Official Statement relating to the Bonds, dated \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2017.

"Participating Underwriter" shall mean J.P. Morgan Securities LLC.

"Repository" shall mean the MSRB or any other entity designated or authorized by the Securities and Exchange Commission to receive reports pursuant to the Rule. Unless otherwise designated by the MSRB or the Securities and Exchange Commission, filings with the MSRB are to be made through the EMMA website of the MSRB, currently located at http://emma.msrb.org.

"Rule" shall mean Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the same may be amended from time to time.

"State" shall mean the State of California.

#### SECTION 3. Provision of Annual Reports.

- (a) The City shall, or, upon delivery of the Annual Report to the Dissemination Agent, shall cause the Dissemination Agent to, not later than March 31 of each year, commencing March 31, 2018, provide to the Repository an Annual Report which is consistent with the requirements of Section 4 of this Disclosure Agreement. The Annual Report may be submitted as a single document or as separate documents comprising a package, and may cross-reference other information as provided in Section 4 of this Disclosure Agreement; provided that the audited financial statements of the City may be submitted separately from the balance of the Annual Report and later than the date required above for the filing of the Annual Report if they are not available by that date. If the City's fiscal year changes, it shall give notice of such change in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(d). The City shall provide a written certification with each Annual Report furnished to the Dissemination Agent to the effect that such Annual Report constitutes the Annual Report required to be furnished by it hereunder. The Dissemination Agent may conclusively rely upon such certification of the City and shall have no duty or obligation to review such Annual Report.
- (b) Not later than five (5) days prior to the date for the filing of an Annual Report, the City shall provide the Annual Report to the Dissemination Agent (if other than the City). If by five (5) days prior to such date, the Dissemination Agent has not received a copy of the Annual Report, the Dissemination Agent shall contact the City to inquire if the City is in compliance with subsection (a).
- (c) If the City is unable to provide to the Repository an Annual Report by the date required in subsection (a), the Dissemination Agent shall send a notice to the Repository in the form required by the Repository stating that the Annual Report has not been filed and, if provided by the City, the date the City anticipates the filing to be made.

#### (d) The Dissemination Agent shall:

- (i) determine each year prior to date for providing the Annual Report the name and address of the Repository if other than the MSRB; and
- (ii) file a report with the City certifying that the Annual Report has been provided to the Repository pursuant to this Disclosure Agreement and stating the date it was provided to the Repository.

SECTION 4. <u>Content of Annual Reports</u>. The City's Annual Report shall contain or include by reference the following:

- (a) The City's audited financial statements, prepared in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards for municipalities in the State of California, for the prior fiscal year of the City. If the City's audited financial statements are not available by the time the Annual Report is required to be filed pursuant to Section 3(a), the Annual Report shall contain unaudited financial statements in a format similar to the financial statements contained in the final Official Statement, and the audited financial statements shall be filed in the same manner as the Annual Report when they become available.
- (b) To the extent not contained in the audited financial statements filed pursuant to the preceding subsection (a) by the date required by Section 3 hereof, updates of Table Nos. 10, 11, 14, 19, 20, 25 and 26 set forth in the Official Statement.

Any or all of the items listed above may be included by specific reference to other documents, including official statements of debt issues of the City or related public entities, which have been submitted to each of the Repository or the Securities and Exchange Commission. If the document included by reference is a final official statement, it must be available from the MSRB. The City shall clearly identify each such other document so included by reference.

In the event that the City shall modify the basis upon which its financial statements are prepared, the Dissemination Agent shall provide a notice of such modification to the Repository, including the information set forth in Section 8(b) below.

#### SECTION 5. Reporting of Significant Events.

- (a) Pursuant to the provisions of this Section 5, the City shall give, or cause the Dissemination Agent to give, notice to the Repository of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds in a timely manner not more than ten (10) business days after the occurrence of the event:
  - 1. principal and interest payment delinquencies;
  - 2. unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
  - 3. unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
  - 4. substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;
  - 5. adverse tax opinions or the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability or of a Notice of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB);
  - 6. tender offers;
  - 7. defeasances;
  - 8. ratings changes; and
  - 9. bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar proceedings.

<u>Note</u>: for the purposes of the event identified in subparagraph (9), the event is considered to occur when any of the following occur: the appointment of a receiver, fiscal agent or similar officer for an obligated person in a proceeding under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or in any other proceeding under state or federal law in which a court or governmental authority has assumed jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the obligated person, or if such jurisdiction has been assumed by leaving the existing governmental body and officials or officers in possession but subject to the supervision and orders of a court or governmental authority, or the entry of an order confirming a plan of reorganization, arrangement or liquidation by a court or governmental authority having supervision or jurisdiction over substantially all of the assets or business of the obligated person.

- (b) Pursuant to the provisions of this Section 5, the City shall give, or cause to be given, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds, if material, in a timely manner not more than ten (10) Business Days after the occurrence of such event:
  - 1. unless described in paragraph 5(a)(5) above, notices or determinations by the Internal Revenue Service with respect to the tax status of the Bonds or other material events affecting the tax status of the Bonds;

- 2. the consummation of a merger, consolidation or acquisition involving an obligated person or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the obligated person, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms;
- 3. appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of the name of a trustee;
- 4. nonpayment related defaults;
- 5. modifications to the rights of Owners of the Bonds;
- 6. notices of prepayment; and
- 7. release, substitution or sale of property securing repayment of the Bonds.
- (c) Whenever the City obtains knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event under 5(b) above, the City shall as soon as possible determine if such event would be material under applicable federal securities laws.
- (d) If a Listed Event under Section 5(a) has occurred, or if the City determines that knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event under 5(b) above would be material under applicable federal securities laws, the City shall file a notice of such Listed Event with the Repository in a timely manner not more than 10 business days after the event. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notice of Listed Events described in subsections (a)(7) and (b)(6) need not be given under this subsection any earlier than the notice (if any) of the underlying event is given to Owners of affected Bonds pursuant to the Indenture.
- (e) The City hereby agrees that the undertaking set forth in this Disclosure Agreement is the responsibility of the City and that the Dissemination Agent shall not be responsible for determining whether the City's instructions to the Dissemination Agent under this Section 5 comply with the requirements of the Rule.
- SECTION 6. <u>Termination of Reporting Obligation</u>. The City's obligations under this Disclosure Agreement shall terminate upon the legal defeasance, prior redemption or payment in full of all of the Bonds.
- SECTION 7. <u>Dissemination Agent</u>. The City may, from time to time, appoint or engage a Dissemination Agent to assist it in carrying out its obligations under this Disclosure Agreement, and may discharge any such Dissemination Agent, with or without appointing a successor Dissemination Agent. The Dissemination Agent shall not be responsible in any manner for the content of any notice or report prepared by the City pursuant to this Disclosure Agreement. The Dissemination Agent may resign by providing thirty days written notice to the City and the Trustee. The Dissemination Agent shall not be responsible for the content of any report or notice prepared by the City and shall have no duty to review any information provided to it by the City. The Dissemination Agent shall have no duty to prepare any information report nor shall the Dissemination Agent be responsible for filing any report not provided to it by the City in a timely manner and in a form suitable for filing.

#### SECTION 8. Amendment; Waiver.

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Disclosure Agreement, the City may amend this Disclosure Agreement, and any provision of this Disclosure Agreement may be waived, provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) If the amendment or waiver related to the provisions of Sections 3(a), 4, or 5, it may only be made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal requirements, change in law, or change in the identity, nature or status of an obligated person with respect to the Bonds, or the type of business conducted;
- (2) The undertaking hereunder, as amended or taking into account such waiver, would, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the original execution and delivery of the Bonds, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances; and
- (3) The amendment or waiver either (i) is approved by the Owners of the Bonds in the same manner as provided in the Indenture for amendments to the Indenture with the consent of Owners, or (ii) does not, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, materially impair the interests of the Owners or Beneficial Owners of the Bonds.
- (b) In the event of any amendment or waiver of a provision of this Disclosure Agreement, the City shall describe such amendment in the next Annual Report, and shall include, as applicable, a narrative explanation of the reason for the amendment or waiver and its impact on the type (or, in the case of a change of accounting principles, on the presentation) of financial information or operating data being presented by the City. In addition, if the amendment is related to the accounting principles to be followed in preparing financial statements, (i) notice of such change shall be given in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Section 5(a), and (ii) the Annual Report for the year in which the change is made should present a comparison (in narrative form and also, if feasible, in quantitative form) between the financial statements as prepared on the basis of the new accounting principles and those prepared on the basis of the former accounting principles.
- SECTION 9. <u>Format of Filings with Repository</u>. Any report or filing with the Repository pursuant to this Disclosure Agreement must be submitted in electronic format, accompanied by such identifying information as is prescribed by the Repository.
- SECTION 10. <u>Additional Information</u>. Nothing in this Disclosure Agreement shall be deemed to prevent the City from disseminating any other information, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Disclosure Agreement or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is required by this Disclosure Agreement. If the City chooses to include any information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event in addition to that which is specifically required by this Disclosure Agreement, the City shall have no obligation hereunder to update such information or include it in any future Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event.
- SECTION 11. <u>Default</u>. In the event of a failure of the City to comply with any provision of this Disclosure Agreement, any Owner or Beneficial Owner of the Bonds may take such actions as may be necessary and appropriate, including seeking mandate or specific performance by court order, to cause the City to comply with its obligations under this Disclosure Agreement. A default under this Disclosure Agreement shall not be deemed an Event of Default under the Indenture, and the sole remedy under this Disclosure Agreement in the event of any failure of the City to comply with this Disclosure Agreement shall be an action to compel performance and the City shall have no monetary liability to any person as a result of any failure to comply with the terms of this Disclosure Agreement.
- SECTION 12. <u>Duties, Immunities and Liabilities of Dissemination Agent</u>. The Dissemination Agent shall have only such duties as are specifically set forth in this Disclosure Agreement, and the City agrees, to the extent permitted by law, to indemnify and save the Dissemination Agent, its officers, directors, employees and agents, harmless against any loss, expense and liabilities which it may incur arising out of or in the exercise or performance of its powers and duties hereunder, including the costs and expenses (including attorney's fees) of defending against any claim of liability, but excluding liabilities due

to the Dissemination Agent's negligence or willful misconduct. The Dissemination Agent shall be paid compensation by the City for its services provided hereunder in accordance with its schedule of fees as amended from time to time and all expenses, legal fees and advances made or incurred by the Dissemination Agent in the performance of its duties hereunder. In performing its duties hereunder, the Dissemination Agent shall not be deemed to be acting in any fiduciary capacity for the City, the Certificate holders, or any other party. The obligations of the City under this Section shall survive resignation or removal of the Dissemination Agent and payment of the Bonds.

SECTION 13. <u>Notices</u>. Any notices or communications to or among any of the parties to this Disclosure Agreement may be given as follows:

To the City: City of Chula Vista

276 Fourth Avenue Chula Vista, CA 91910 Attention: City Manager

To the Dissemination Agent: Willdan Financial Services

27368 Via Industria, Suite 200

Temecula, CA 92590

SECTION 14. <u>Beneficiaries</u>. This Disclosure Agreement solely to the benefit of the City, the Dissemination Agent, the Participating Underwriter and Owners and Beneficial Owners from time to time of the Bonds, and shall create no rights in any other person or entity.

SECTION 15. <u>Signature</u>. This Disclosure Agreement has been executed by the undersigned on the date hereof, and such signature binds the City to the undertaking herein provided.

#### CITY OF CHULA VISTA

By:		
	Director of Finance/Treasurer	
WILLDAN FINANCIAL SERVICES, as		
Dissemination Agent		
D		
By:	Authorized Officer	

#### APPENDIX D

#### PROPOSED FORM OF BOND COUNSEL OPINION

Bond Counsel will deliver an opinion for the Bonds, which will be substantially in the following form:

[Closing Date]

y 2017 Lease Revenue Bonds
ssuance by the Chula Vista aggregate principal amount of
•

The Bonds are being issued pursuant to an indenture dated as of July 1, 2017 (the "Indenture"), by and between the Authority and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (the "Trustee"). The Bonds are payable, in part, from Base Rental Payments made by the City of Chula Vista (the "City") pursuant to the terms of a Lease Agreement dated as of July 1, 2017 (the "Lease"), by and between City and the Authority.

Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Indenture.

The Bonds are dated their date of delivery, have been issued for the purposes set forth in the Indenture in fully registered form, bear interest from their dated date at the rates described in, and mature and are subject to redemption prior to maturity in the manner and upon the terms and conditions as set forth in, the Indenture. The description of the Bonds and other statements concerning the terms and conditions of the issuance of the Bonds set forth herein do not purport to set forth all of the terms and conditions of the Bonds or of any other document relating to the issuance of the Bonds, but are intended only to identify the Bonds and to describe briefly certain features thereof. This opinion shall not be deemed or treated as an offering circular, prospectus or official statement, and is not intended in any way to be a disclosure document used in connection with the sale or delivery of the Bonds.

In rendering the opinions set forth below, we have examined certified copies of the proceedings of the Authority and the City, and other information submitted to us relative to the issuance and sale by the Authority of the Bonds. We have examined originals, or copies identified to our satisfaction as being true copies, of the Indenture, the Lease, the Site Lease dated as of July 1, 2017 (the "Site Lease"), by and between the City and the Authority, the Assignment Agreement dated as of July 1, 2017 (the "Assignment Agreement"), by and between the Authority and the Trustee, the Tax Certificate relating to the Bonds (the "Tax Certificate"), the resolutions of the Authority and the City adopted on June 6, 2017 with respect to the Bonds, opinions of counsel to the City and the Authority, certificates of the City, the Authority and others, and such other documents, agreements, opinions and matters as we have considered necessary or appropriate under the circumstances to render the opinions set forth herein.

We have assumed the genuineness of all documents and signatures presented to us, the authenticity of documents submitted as originals and the conformity to originals of documents submitted as copies. We have not undertaken to verify independently, and have assumed, the accuracy of the factual matters represented, warranted or certified in the documents, and of the legal conclusions contained in the opinions referred to in the preceding paragraphs of this opinion. Furthermore, we have assumed compliance with all covenants and agreements contained in the Indenture, the Lease, the Site Lease, the Assignment Agreement and the Tax Certificate, including (without limitation) covenants and agreements compliance with which is necessary to assure that future actions, omissions or events will not cause the interest on the Bonds to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes. We call attention to the fact that the rights and obligations under the Bonds, the Indenture, the Lease, the Site Lease, the Assignment Agreement and the Tax Certificate may be limited by bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, arrangement, fraudulent conveyance, moratorium and other laws relating to or affecting creditors' rights, by the application of equitable principles and the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases and by the limitations on legal remedies against public agencies in the State of California.

We express no opinion herein with respect to any indemnification, contribution, choice of law, choice of forum, penalty or waiver provisions contained in the Bonds, the Indenture, the Lease, the Site Lease or the Assignment Agreement, nor do we express any opinion with respect to the state or quality of title to any of the real or personal property described in the Lease, the Site Lease or the Assignment Agreement, or the accuracy or sufficiency of the description of any such property contained therein.

Our opinion is limited to matters governed by the laws of the State of California and federal income tax law. We assume no responsibility with respect to the applicability or the effect of the laws of any other jurisdiction.

Based on and subject to the foregoing, and in reliance thereon and on all matters of fact as we deem relevant under the circumstances, and upon consideration of applicable laws, we are of the opinion that:

- 1. The Bonds constitute the valid and binding limited obligations of the Authority.
- 2. The Indenture has been duly authorized, executed and delivered by, and constitutes a valid and binding obligation of, the Authority. The Indenture creates a valid pledge, to secure the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds issued thereunder, of the Base Rental Payments and any other amounts held by the Trustee in the Base Rental Payment Fund, the Interest Fund, the Principal Fund and the Redemption Fund established pursuant to the Indenture, except the Rebate Fund, subject to the provisions of the Indenture permitting the application thereof for the purposes and on the terms and conditions set forth in the Indenture.
- 3. The Site Lease and the Lease have been duly authorized and executed by the Authority and the City and constitute valid and binding agreements of the parties thereto. The

Assignment Agreement has been duly authorized and executed by the Authority and, assuming due execution by the Trustee, constitutes a valid and binding agreement of the Authority. The obligation of the City to pay Base Rental Payments during the term of the Lease constitutes a valid and binding obligation of the City provided that such Base Rental Payments are payable only from funds of the City legally available therefor.

- 4. The Bonds are not a lien or charge upon the funds or property of the Authority except to the extent of the pledge under the Indenture. Neither the faith and credit nor the taxing power of the State of California or of any political subdivision thereof, including the City, is pledged to the payment of the principal of, redemption premium, if any, or interest on the Bonds. The Bonds are not a debt of the Authority, the City, the State of California or any political subdivision thereof within the meaning of any constitutional or statutory debt limit or restriction.
- 5. Under existing statutes, regulations, rulings and judicial decisions, interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes, is not an item of tax preference for purposes of calculating the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals and corporations; however, with respect to corporations, such interest may be included as an adjustment in the calculation of alternative minimum taxable income. The foregoing opinion is subject to the condition that the Authority and the City comply with all requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), that must be satisfied subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds to assure that such interest (and original issue discount) will not become includable in gross income for federal income tax purposes. Failure to comply with such requirements of the Code might cause interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes retroactive to the date of issuance of the Bonds. The Authority and the City have covenanted to comply with all such requirements.
- 6. The difference between the issue price of a Bond (the first price at which a substantial amount of the Bonds of a maturity are to be sold to the public) and the stated redemption price at maturity with respect to such Bond constitutes original issue discount. Original issue discount accrues under a constant yield method, and original issue discount will accrue to a Bond owner before receipt of cash attributable to such excludable income. The amount of original issue discount deemed received by a Bond owner will increase the Bond owner's basis in the applicable Bond. Original issue discount that accrues for the Bond owner is excluded from the gross income of such owner for federal income tax purposes, is not an item of tax preference for purposes of calculating the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals or corporations (as described in paragraph 5 above) and is exempt from State of California personal income tax.
- 7. The amount by which a Bondholder's original basis for determining loss on sale or exchange in the applicable Bond (generally, the purchase price) exceeds the amount payable on maturity (or on an earlier call date) constitutes amortizable Bond premium, which must be amortized under Section 171 of the Code; such amortizable Bond premium reduces the Bondholder's basis in the applicable Bond (and the amount of tax-exempt interest received), and is not deductible for federal income tax purposes. The basis reduction as a result of the amortization of Bond premium may result in a Bondholder realizing a taxable gain when a Bond is sold by the holder for an amount equal to or less (under certain circumstances) than the original cost of the Bond to the holder.
- 8. Interest (and original issue discount) on the Bonds is exempt from State of California personal income tax.

Except as set forth in paragraphs 5 through 8 above, we express no opinion as to any tax consequences related to the Bonds. Other provisions of the Code may give rise to adverse federal income tax consequences to particular owners of the Bonds. The scope of this opinion is limited to matters addressed above and no opinion is expressed hereby regarding other federal tax consequences that may arise due to ownership of the Bonds.

Certain agreements, requirements and procedures contained or referred to in the Indenture, the Lease, the Site Lease, the Assignment Agreement and the Tax Certificate and other relevant documents may be changed and certain actions (including, without limitation, defeasance of the Bonds) may be taken or omitted under the circumstances and subject to the terms and conditions set forth in such documents. We express no opinion herein as to the effect on the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest (or original issue discount) on any Bond if any such change occurs or action is taken or omitted upon the advice or approval of counsel other than Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, a Professional Corporation.

The opinions expressed herein are based on an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings and judicial decisions and cover certain matters not directly addressed by such authorities. Such opinions may be affected by actions taken or omitted or events occurring after the date hereof. We have not undertaken to determine, or to inform any person, whether any such actions are taken or omitted or events do occur, and we disclaim any obligation to update this opinion. Our engagement as Bond Counsel terminates upon the issuance of the Bonds.

The scope of our engagement in relation to the issuance of the Bonds has been limited solely to the examination of facts and law incident to rendering the opinions expressed herein. We have not been engaged or undertaken to review, confirm or verify, and therefore express no opinion herein as to, the accuracy, completeness, fairness or sufficiency of any of the statements in the Official Statement or any exhibits or appendices thereto or any other offering material relating to the Bonds. In addition, we have not been engaged to and therefore express no opinion as to the compliance by the Authority or the underwriter with any federal or state statute, regulation or ruling with respect to the sale or distribution of the Bonds.

Respectfully submitted,

## APPENDIX E THE BOOK-ENTRY SYSTEM

The following description of the Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), the procedures and record keeping with respect to beneficial ownership interests in the Bonds, payment of principal, interest and other payments on the Bonds to DTC Participants or Beneficial Owners, confirmation and transfer of beneficial ownership interest in the Bonds and other related transactions by and between DTC, the DTC Participants and the Beneficial Owners is based solely on information provided by DTC. Accordingly, no representations can be made concerning these matters and neither the DTC Participants nor the Beneficial Owners should rely on the foregoing information with respect to such matters, but should instead confirm the same with DTC or the DTC Participants, as the case may be.

Neither the issuer of the Bonds (the "Issuer") nor the trustee, fiscal agent or paying agent appointed with respect to the Bonds (the "Agent") take any responsibility for the information contained in this Appendix.

No assurances can be given that DTC, DTC Participants or Indirect Participants will distribute to the Beneficial Owners (a) payments of interest, principal or premium, if any, with respect to the Bonds, (b) certificates representing ownership interest in or other confirmation or ownership interest in the Bonds, or (c) redemption or other notices sent to DTC or Cede & Co., its nominee, as the registered owner of the Bonds, or that they will so do on a timely basis, or that DTC, DTC Participants or DTC Indirect Participants will act in the manner described in this Appendix. The current "Rules" applicable to DTC are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the current "Procedures" of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Participants are on file with DTC.

- 1. The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, NY, will act as securities depository for the Bonds (the "Securities"). The Securities will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Security certificate will be issued for each issue of the Securities, each in the aggregate principal amount of such issue, and will be deposited with DTC. If, however, the aggregate principal amount of any issue exceeds \$500 million, one certificate will be issued with respect to each \$500 million of principal amount, and an additional certificate will be issued with respect to any remaining principal amount of such issue.
- DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC has a Standard & Poor's rating of AA+. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange

Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com. *The information contained on such Internet site is not incorporated herein by reference.* 

- 3. Purchases of Securities under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Securities on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Security ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Securities are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Securities, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Securities is discontinued.
- 4. To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Securities deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Securities with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Securities; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Securities are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.
- 5. Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Beneficial Owners of Securities may wish to take certain steps to augment the transmission to them of notices of significant events with respect to the Securities, such as redemptions, tenders, defaults, and proposed amendments to the Security documents. For example, Beneficial Owners of Securities may wish to ascertain that the nominee holding the Securities for their benefit has agreed to obtain and transmit notices to Beneficial Owners. In the alternative, Beneficial Owners may wish to provide their names and addresses to the registrar and request that copies of notices be provided directly to them.
- 6. Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Securities within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.
- 7. Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Securities unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to Issuer as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Securities are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).
- 8. Redemption proceeds and distributions on the Securities will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from Issuer or Agent, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC, Agent, or Issuer, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of redemption

proceeds, distributions, and dividend payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of Issuer or Agent, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

- 9. DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Securities at any time by giving reasonable notice to Issuer or Agent. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, Security certificates are required to be printed and delivered.
- 10. Issuer may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). In that event, Security certificates will be printed and delivered to DTC.
- 11. The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that Issuer believes to be reliable, but Issuer takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.