

**July 10, 2018** File ID: **18-0202** 

### **ERRATA SHEET**

### Replace the "Fiscal Impact Analysis (FIA)" Section beginning on page 8 with the following:

Fiscal Impact Analysis (FIA)

The Applicant's proposal includes a revised Fiscal Impact Analysis (FIA) evaluating the proposed amendment (see Fiscal Impact Analysis, Attachment 4). This FIA has been prepared using the City's new fiscal model which was first provided to the Applicant in October of last year. Staff worked with the Applicant who provided the necessary project-specific inputs to the plan needed to calculate the City's maintenance costs.

It is important to note that the FIA presents a projection of the anticipated fiscal impacts of the development, based upon the best information currently available. Actual fiscal impacts as a result of development may vary from model outcomes.

The FIA evaluates the following scenarios:

- 1. Proposed Amendment Maximum Buildout This scenario assumes development of the proposed maximum buildout, including 2,983 residential units and 3.324 million square feet of non-residential uses. The non-residential uses assumed include approximately 2.7 million square feet of office, 395,000 square feet of retail uses, 130,000 square feet of civic uses (school and fire station), and a single 135-room hotel (96,000 square feet).
- 2. 2009 Adopted Plan Maximum Buildout (assumes 500 hotel rooms) This scenario assumes development of the adopted maximum building, including 2,983 residential units and 3.487 million square feet of non-residential uses. The non-residential uses assumed in the 2009 plan include approximately 2.0 million square feet of office, approximately 800,000 square feet of retail uses, 160,000 square feet of civic uses, and two hotels totaling 500 rooms (375,000 square feet).
- 3. 2009 Adopted Plan with reduced Hotel (assumes 135 hotel rooms) In recognition of the fact that since the original Millenia entitlements were approved the City has approved three hotels in addition to the one approved in Millenia this scenario looks at the 2009 full build scenario but includes only the 135-room hotel currently under construction (reduction of 365 hotel rooms).

Based on the FIA and the assumptions contained therein, all of the scenarios above are projected to generate a positive net fiscal impact to the City by year three (2020/2021). The relative fiscal performance of the three scenarios over a 30-year buildout term are compared in the table below.

Comparison of	Comparison of Modeled Fiscal Impact Scenarios (Annual Net Impact, Millions)													
		Year 5	Year 10	Year 15	Year 20	Year 25	Year 30							
Duan a and Am and mant	Revenues	\$4.1	\$6.9	\$7.8	\$9.7	\$11.1	\$12.9							
Proposed Amendment (135 Hotel Rooms)	Expenses	(\$3.1)	(\$6.1)	(\$6.9)	(\$7.3)	(\$7.4)	(\$7.6)							
(155 Hotel Rooms)	<b>Net Impact</b>	\$1.0	\$0.7	\$0.8	\$2.4	\$3.6	\$5.3							
2000 Adopted Plan	Revenues	\$2.4	\$6.0	\$9.9	\$12.0	\$13.8	\$15.4							
2009 Adopted Plan (135 Hotel Rooms)	Expenses	(\$2.1)	(\$4.8)	(\$7.2)	(\$7.4)	(\$7.6)	(\$7.7)							
(155 Hotel Rooms)	<b>Net Impact</b>	\$0.3	\$1.1	\$2.7	\$4.6	\$6.2	\$7.7							
2000 Adamad Dlam	Revenues	\$2.4	\$7.6	\$11.7	\$14.0	\$15.9	\$17.7							
2009 Adopted Plan (500 Hotel Rooms)	Expenses	(\$2.0)	(\$4.8)	(\$7.2)	(\$7.4)	(\$7.6)	(\$7.7)							
(500 Hotel Rooms)	Net Impact	\$0.3	\$2.8	\$4.5	\$6.5	\$8.3	\$10.0							

The Proposed Amendment (135 Hotel Room) scenario assumes development phasing as summarized in the table below.

Propos	Proposed Amendment Development Phasing Assumptions													
	Year 5	Year 10	Year 15	Year 20	Year 25	Year 30								
Residential Units	1,596	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983								
Hotel Rooms	135	135	135	135	135	135								
Non-Residential SF														
Hotel SF	96,148	96,148	96,148	96,148	96,148	96,148								
Retail SF	189,600	221,200	221,200	395,000	395,000	395,000								
Office SF	1,107,820	1,675,240	1,756,300	1,918,420	2,161,600	2,702,000								
Total Non-Residential SF	1,393,568	1,992,588	2,073,648	2,409,568	2,652,748	3,193,148								

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Civic uses are assumed to have no net fiscal impact and are therefore excluded.

The Proposed Amendment phasing assumptions can be compared with the assumptions in the 2009 Adopted Plan fiscal analysis, summarized in the table below.

2009 A	2009 Adopted Development Phasing Assumptions													
	Year 5 Year 7 Year 12 Year 17 Year 22													
Residential Units	1,245	1,743	2,983	2,983	2,983									
Hotel Rooms	250	500	500	500	500									
Non-Residential SF														
Hotel SF	187,500	375,000	375,000	375,000	375,000									
Retail SF	73,850	221,550	738,500	883,400	980,000									
Office SF	88,650	265,950	886,500	1,552,200	1,996,000									
Total Non-Residential SF	350,000	862,500	2,000,000	2,810,600	3,351,000									

Overall, comparing projected net annual fiscal impacts in year 30 for the 2009 Adopted Plan and the Proposed Amendment (\$10.0 million and \$5.3 million, respectively) indicates a \$4.7 million reduced annual positive fiscal impact. It is important to note that a key driver reducing the fiscal benefit to the City between the Proposed Amendment scenario and the 2009 Adopted Plan scenario is Transient Occupancy Taxes, and the fact that the City's model attributes very little service costs to hotels. At year 30, approximately \$2.3 million of the projected net fiscal benefit associated with the 2009 Adopted Maximum Buildout Scenario is attributable to the 365 additional hotel rooms assumed under that scenario. This is a relevant comparison as it recognizes that the City has not lost the TOT revenues, but rather those revenues have been relocated to other locations in the Eastern Territories where hotels have been added since the entitlements for Millenia were approved in 2009.

### Replace #1 under the "Developer Agreement" Section on page 10 with the following:

### Developer Agreement

1. Net Revenue – The first benefit identified in the existing DA was annual net revenue over the cost of providing municipal services of approximately \$5.0 million in buildout year 22. As shown above, the updated fiscal impact analysis using a more current fiscal model shows that the original project could have resulted in estimated annual net revenues of \$10.0 million in year 30. Applying this new fiscal model to the proposed project amendment, including the reduced non-residential development, the estimated annual net revenue is projected to be approximately \$5.3 million in year 30. While this equates to a reduction of \$4.7 million annually from the approved plan, it still represents a significant positive fiscal impact to the City's General Fund.

### Replace the "Ongoing Fiscal Impact" Section beginning on page 11 with the following:

#### **ONGOING FISCAL IMPACT**

As discussed in the Fiscal Impact Analysis section of this report, the Proposed Amendment is projected to result in net positive fiscal impacts throughout the development period, ranging from \$1.0 million to \$5.3 million annually. Cumulative impacts of the Proposed Amendment buildout scenario during the term of the 30-year analysis are anticipated to total approximately \$57.8 million, with an annual average positive impact of \$1.9 million.

The table below summarizes the projected annual net fiscal impact for representative buildout years for the Proposed Amendment, the 2009 Adopted Plan as originally approved (assuming 500 hotel rooms), and the 2009 Adopted Plan (assuming only the 135 hotel rooms constructed to date).

Compariso	Comparison of Modeled Fiscal Impact Scenarios (Annual Net Impact, Millions)														
		Year 5	Year 10	Year 15	Year 20	Year 25	Year 30								
Proposed	Revenues	\$4.1	\$6.9	\$7.8	\$9.7	\$11.1	\$12.9								
Amendment	Expenses	\$(3.1)	\$(6.1)	\$(6.9)	\$(7.3)	\$(7.4)	\$(7.6)								
(135 Hotel Rooms)	Net Impact	\$1.0	\$0.7	\$0.8	\$2.4	\$3.6	\$5.3								
2000 Adopted Plan	Revenues	\$2.4	\$6.0	\$9.9	\$12.0	\$13.8	\$15.4								
2009 Adopted Plan	Expenses	\$(2.1)	\$(4.8)	\$(7.2)	\$(7.4)	\$(7.6)	\$(7.7)								
(135 Hotel Rooms)	Net Impact	\$0.3	\$1.1	\$2.7	\$4.6	\$6.2	\$7.7								
2000 Adopted Plan	Revenues	\$2.4	\$7.6	\$11.7	\$14.0	\$15.9	\$17.7								
2009 Adopted Plan	Expenses	\$(2.0)	\$(4.8)	\$(7.2)	\$(7.4)	\$(7.6)	\$(7.7)								
(500 Hotel Rooms)	Net Impact	\$0.3	\$2.8	\$4.5	\$6.5	\$8.3	\$10.0								

As illustrated in the table above, reducing the hotel rooms assumed to be developed by 365 decreases the projected annual positive impact in year 30 by approximately \$2.3 million. This accounts for just under 50% of the total projected positive impact reduction in year 30 resulting from the Proposed Amendment. Additional adjustments to the development plan further reduce the year 30 projected net positive fiscal benefit from \$10.0 million (2009 Adopted Plan with 500 hotel rooms) to \$5.3 million.

While a comparison of the Proposed Amendment with the 2009 Adopted Plan (with 500 hotel rooms) indicates reduced positive impacts of approximately \$4.7 million annually in year 30, the plan continues to indicate significant net positive impacts to the City's General Fund as a result of the Millenia project.

When considering the above, it is important to note that the Fiscal Impact Analysis presents projections based upon the best information currently available. Actual fiscal impacts as a result of this development may vary from those indicated by the model.

Pursuant to Section 4.5 (Operating Deficit) of the Millenia Development Agreement, the Master Developer will cover the City's net operating deficit during the initial years of the project (excluding fire services), up to a cumulative maximum of \$500,000. The amount of the deficit is to be determined via a fiscal study to be prepared by the City at the Master Developer's cost. Per the Development Agreement, the first fiscal analysis "shall be conducted following the end of the fiscal year which is two (2) years following the first occupancy within the Property, and annually thereafter at the end of each fiscal year." The first Millenia certificate of occupancy was issued to Fairfield Residential, on October 23, 2015. Based upon this date, the first fiscal analysis shall be conducted after June 30, 2018. Initiation of the first fiscal analysis is expected in July of 2018.

### Replace Attachment 4 with the revised Fiscal Impact Analysis (FIA) attached.

Staff Contact: Stacey Kurz, Senior Project Coordinator



### Report for:

# Millenia Fiscal Impact Analysis

Chula Vista, CA

Prepared for: SLF IV - Millenia, LLC

Prepared by: Pro Forma Advisors, LLC, re+ep

July 2018 PFAID: 10-808

Version: 11.0

Pro Forma Advisors, LLC Los Angeles T 310.616.5079 New York Metro T 203.604.9007 F 888.696.9716 www.ProFormaAdvisors.com



### **Table of Contents**

Introduction	1
Results	1
Project Description	4
Model Inputs	5
Appendix	9
Proposed Amendment FIA Tables	9

Pro Forma Advisors, LLC PFAID: 10-824.11



### **General Limiting Conditions**

Certain information included in this report contains forward-looking estimates, projections and/or statements. Pro Forma Advisors LLC has based these projections, estimates and/or statements on expected future events. These forward-looking items include statements that reflect our existing beliefs and knowledge regarding the operating environment, existing trends, existing plans, objectives, goals, expectations, anticipations, results of operations, future performance and business plans.

Further, statements that include the words "may," "could," "should," "would," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "intend," "plan," "project," or other words or expressions of similar meaning have been utilized. These statements reflect our judgment on the date they are made and we undertake no duty to update such statements in the future.

No warranty or representation is made by Pro Forma Advisors that any of the projected values or results contained in this study will actually be achieved.

Although we believe that the expectations in these reports are reasonable, any or all of the estimates or projections in this report may prove to be incorrect. To the extent possible, we have attempted to verify and confirm estimates and assumptions used in this analysis. However, some assumptions inevitably will not materialize as a result of inaccurate assumptions or as a consequence of known or unknown risks and uncertainties and unanticipated events and circumstances, which may occur. Consequently, actual results achieved during the period covered by our analysis will vary from our estimates and the variations may be material. As such, Pro Forma Advisors accepts no liability in relation to the estimates provided herein.

In the production of this report, Pro Forma Advisors has served solely in the capacity of consultant and Pro Forma Advisors has not rendered any "expert" opinions and does not hold itself out as an "expert" (as the term "expert" is defined in Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933).

This report is not to be used in conjunction with any public or private offering of securities, and may not be relied upon with the express written consent of Pro Forma Advisors.

This study is qualified in its entirety by, and should be considered in light of, these limitations, conditions, and considerations.

Pro Forma Advisors, LLC PFAID: 10-824.11



### Introduction

SLF IV - Millenia, LLC ("Owner" or "Client") retained Pro Forma Advisors to evaluate the fiscal impacts of several build out scenarios for the approved project commonly referred to as "Millenia" and previously referred to as the Eastern Urban Center ("EUC"), which is part of the Otay Ranch Master Planned Community located in the City of Chula Vista ("City"). These build-out scenarios include the following:

### **Proposed Amendment**

This scenario assumes the Millenia project continues to intensify over time and builds out to the maximum buildout under the proposed amendment which is 2,983 residential units and 3.32 million square feet of commercial uses. This scenario includes approximately 2.7 million square feet of office, 395,000 square feet of commercial, a 135-room hotel and approximately 130,000 square feet of civic uses.

### 2009 Adopted Plan

This scenario assumes the Millenia project builds out to the maximum buildout under the current entitlements of 2,983 residential units and 3.48 million square feet of commercial uses. This scenario includes approximately 2.0 million sf of office, 980,000 square feet of commercial (including 160,000 square feet of civic and recreational uses), and two, 250-room hotels (500 rooms total).

#### 2009 Adopted Plan with Reduced Hotel

This scenario assumes the Millenia project builds out to the maximum buildout under the current entitlements but rather than two, 250-room hotels, the project includes a single 135-room hotel similar to the proposed project.

The approved entitlements allow a maximum buildout at 2,983 residential units and 3.48 million square feet of commercial uses. The prior fiscal impact study prepared as part of the approved public facilities financing plan ("PFFP") for Millenia evaluated the **2009 Adopted Plan** scenario.

#### Results

The following analysis evaluates the fiscal impact of the various scenarios across a 30-year period, including build out that is projected to occur prior to Fiscal Year (FY) 30. For the purposes of this analysis, net fiscal impacts refer to the fiscal revenues less the fiscal costs generated by the development. A positive net fiscal impact means that the fiscal revenues covers the costs generated by the development.

Using the City's FIA Framework, the **Proposed Amendment** scenario would have a net positive revenue annual impact of approximately **\$740,000** in Year 10 (FY 2027) that is expected to grow to approximately **\$2.4 million** in an illustrative Year 20 (FY 2037) and **\$5.4 million** in an illustrative Year 30 (FY 2047).

Pro Forma Advisors, LLCPage 1PFAID: 10-824.11



Figure 1 - Net Fiscal Impact (Future Year Dollars)

		Year 10	Year 20 (FY 2037)			Year 30 (FY 2047)	30 Year Analysis				
		(FY 2027)	(F1 2031)		(F1 2041)			Cumulative		Average	
Total General Fund Revenues	\$	6,851,032	\$	9,652,886	\$	12,910,221	\$	235,258,848	\$	7,841,962	
Total General Fund Expenditures	\$	6,129,873	\$	7,273,249	\$	7,597,932	\$	177,466,660	\$	5,915,555	
Projected Net Revenues/(Shortfall)		738,501	\$	2,403,874	\$	5,354,145	\$	57,792,188	\$	1,926,406	

Source: Pro Forma Advisors and City of Chula Vista

In 2009, Economic Research Associates conducted a FIA for the approved EUC Specific Plan Area (SPA) that estimated a range of positive net fiscal impacts of the project under a different development program. Using the City's current FIA model, the net fiscal impact associated with the **2009 Adopted Plan** scenario is presented below. A second analysis is also provided, for comparison purposes, with the **2009 Adopted Plan with Reduced Hotel**.

Figure 2 - Net Fiscal Impact Comparison (Future Year Dollars)

Source: Economics Research Associates; Pro Forma Advisors; City of Chula Vista

The purpose of including a scenario which assumes full buildout under the adopted plan but with a reduced number of hotel rooms was to illustrate the impact of the hotels and the Transient Occupancy Taxes (TOT) on the overall fiscal performance of the various alternatives. As noted by the Client, the single hotel currently planned is a direct consequence of City's decision to approve the construction of two new hotels, which total 300 rooms, in the Freeway Commercial SPA Plan amendment. If this direct competition, as well as the two new hotels being located in the Eastlake Business Park, were known at the time of Millenia's entitlement, then it is likely that no hotels, or perhaps a single smaller hotel would have been included and theoretically modeled in the fiscal study. Another way to look at this is that the net fiscal revenue associated with the hotel rooms were not lost, but rather transferred to another location in the City.

Consequently, due to the weight given to TOT in the City's current fiscal model as well as differences between the overall development program, specifically the additional reduction of housing and retail development, comparisons between the **Proposed Amendment** and either of the proposed plan scenarios are not appropriate. While still a different



development programs and assumptions regarding absorption, a comparison between the **Proposed Amendment** and the **2009 Adopted Plan with Reduced Hotel** is a more direct comparison.

Figure 3 - Net Fiscal Impact of All Scenarios (Millions of Future Year Dollars)

	Year 5	Year 10	Year 15	Year 20	Year 25	Year 30
Proposed Amendment (135 room hotel)						
Total General Fund Revenues	\$4.1	\$6.9	\$7.8	\$9.7	\$11.1	\$12.9
Total General Fund Expenditures	\$3.1	\$6.1	\$6.9	\$7.3	\$7.4	\$7.6
Projected Net Revenues/(Shortfall)	\$1.0	\$0.7	\$0.8	\$2.4	\$3.6	\$5.3
2009 Adopted Plan with Reduced Hotel (13	35 room hotel	)				
Total General Fund Revenues	\$2.4	\$6.0	\$9.9	\$12.0	\$13.8	\$15.4
Total General Fund Expenditures	\$2.1	\$4.8	\$7.2	\$7.4	\$7.6	\$7.7
Projected Net Revenues/(Shortfall)	\$0.3	\$1.1	\$2.7	\$4.6	\$6.2	\$7.7
2009 Adopted Plan Maximum Buildout (50	0 hotel rooms	<b>;</b> )				
Total General Fund Revenues	\$2.4	\$7.6	\$11.7	\$14.0	\$15.9	\$17.7
Total General Fund Expenditures	\$2.0	\$4.8	\$7.2	\$7.4	\$7.6	\$7.7
Projected Net Revenues/(Shortfall)	\$0.3	\$2.8	\$4.5	\$6.5	\$8.3	\$10.0

Source: Pro Forma Advisors and City of Chula Vista

Pro Forma Advisors, LLC Page 3 PFAID: 10-824.11



### **Project Description**

The Client is currently developing the Millenia project in the eastern portion of the City on vacant land located south of Birch Road, west of the SR-125, north of Hunte Parkway, and east of Eastlake Parkway ("Project Site"). The Project Site includes multiple parcels totaling approximately 145 net developable acres (**Figure 4**). Millenia will serve as a new urban center for the Otay Ranch and eastern Chula Vista community. The area is planned to include a variety of land uses including office, retail, hotel, and residential uses. Millenia will create an iconic urban village with a mixture of uses similar to a downtown environment and is anticipated be denser relative to the City as a whole.



Figure 4 - Site Map

Source: ESRi Business Analyst; Pro Forma Advisors



**Figure 5** presents the land use program for the Proposed Amendment in comparison to the previously analyzed PFFP development program. The development program analyzed in the EUC SPA FIA¹ includes approximately 2 million square feet of office, 160,000 square feet of civic uses, 815,000 square feet of retail, 165,000 square feet of residential fitness center, 500 hotel rooms (two 250-room properties), and 2,983 medium to high density for-sale residential units. The absorption schedule used in that FIA was expected to extend for over a 20 year build out during which the projects land uses would intensify overtime, with early phase surface parking lots being replaced by taller buildings and structured parking.

Figure 5 - Estimated Building Square Feet

Land Use	Proposed Scenario	Approved SPA	Proposed vs. SPA Approved (Percent of Approved)
Retail (SF)	395,000	815,000	48%
Office (SF)	2,702,000	2,007,000	135%
Civic (SF)	130,852	160,000	82%
Hotel (Rooms)	135	500	27%
Residential (Units)	2,983	2,983	100%

Note: 5,532 square feet of the retail space includes 4,200 SF of dedicated retail, 282 square feet of outdoor seating/plaza space, and 1050 square feet of live work space. For the purposes of this analysis, these mixed-use retail space has been classified as "retail" for the purpose of the FIA.

Source: Economics Research Associates, Pro Forma Advisors

### **Model Inputs**

### **Development Program Absorption**

The information provided has been provided by the Client and reflects the actual plans of their merchant builders, a qualified real estate market research firm hired by the Client, consultations with local developers, as well as their own development expertise to provide guidance on a reasonable build out timeline for the residential units given current market conditions. The following absorption schedules for commercial development were based on input provided by the Clients merchant builders and a review of the historical absorption of commercial development in the City across the last decade.

Please see delivered model for specific assumptions regarding project absorption.

Pro Forma Advisors, LLCPage 5PFAID: 10-824.11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Memorandum "Eastern Urban Center Fiscal" dated March 31, 2009.



#### **Assessed Value**

The capitalized value approach was used to estimate the market value of commercial properties. Pro Forma used an average office lease rent of \$2.60 (triple net or "NNN") per month and a cap rate for a Class A office product (6.0 percent) as reported for San Diego in the CBRE's Capitalization Rate Survey for the second-half of 2015.<sup>2</sup> The cap rate is applied to the net operating income after reductions have been made for operating expenses and structural vacancy. As it relates to the NNN rent structure, it is assumed that the development recovers the vast amount of expenses. The capitalized value approach provided an assessed value of \$400 per gross square foot of building area for the office space.

A similar methodology was used to estimate the market value of the proposed retail development. Pro Forma used an average retail lease rent of \$3.00 NNN per month and \$1.50 NNN per month for the small-format (neighborhood) retail and large-format (community) retail, respectively. A 5.5 percent cap rate was used to estimate the value of both the community and neighborhood retail after accounting for operating expenses and structural vacancy. The capitalized value approach provided an assessed value of \$532 and \$266 per gross square foot of building area of the small-format and large-format retail, respectively.

Currently, Chula Vista hotel accommodations have generally lower asking room rates than other submarkets in the larger San Diego market area. However, existing accommodations options include primarily economy level limited service hotels. The Client anticipates that the hotel developed at the Project Site will be of a higher quality and exceed the average daily room rate of many of the existing properties in the City. Based on information provided within the City model, PFA utilized a stabilized average daily rates of \$154.87 with 77.1 percent occupancy. Using standard industry operating margins and a current cap rate of approximate 7.5 percent, each hotel room is projected to have a value of approximately \$349,000 per room (key).

The for-sale residential assessed value was determined based on the average, unadjusted, sales price. For-rent residential units' assessed value was based on the assumed average asking rent along with the associated operating costs for multi-family residential development. The current multi-family for rent cap rate in San Diego (4.75 recent) was applied to estimate the total project value. In the FIA, for-sale residential were estimated to yield approximately \$459,000 per unit while for-rent development was estimated to yield approximately \$290,000 per unit.<sup>3</sup>

Pro Forma Advisors, LLCPage 6PFAID: 10-824.11

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Released May 2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Assessed value assumptions on a per unit basis were utilized in the 2009 fiscal analysis.



Figure 6 - Estimated Assessed Value by Land Use (2017 Dollars)

Development (Units)	Units	Value per Unit per Year	Building Efficiency	Occ. Rate	OpEx	Cap Rate	Est. Value (MM)	Est. Value per Unit
Retail								
Small-Format (SF)	72,634	\$36	90%	95%	5.0%	5.50%	\$39	\$532
Large-Format (SF)	322,366	\$18	90%	95%	5.0%	5.50%	\$86	\$266
Office (SF)	2,702,000	\$31	90%	90%	5.0%	6.00%	\$1,056	\$391
Hotel (Rooms)	135	\$56,575			40.0%	7.50%	\$47	\$349,000
Residential								
For-Sale (Dwelling Units)	1,170	\$459,300					\$537	\$459,300
For-Rent (Dwelling Units)	1,813	\$23,232		95%	37.5%	4.75%	\$526	\$290,394
Total Assessed Value							\$2,291	

Source: Pro Forma Advisors

#### **Maintenance Service Costs**

#### Public Works and Recreation Expenditures

In 2014 the City Council adopted a resolution to establish a community facilities district ("CFD") for Millenia, which authorizes a special tax to fund all or a portion of the costs to maintain and service a variety of facilities including:

- Street Frontage Maintenance
- Park Maintenance
- ▶ Bio-Retention Maintenance
- Storm Water Maintenance

Maintenance includes, but is not limited to, the "furnishing of services and materials for the ordinary and usual maintenance, operation, and servicing" within the CFD. The CFD tax also includes "Administrative Expenses" that represents the actual or estimated costs incurred by the City acting on behalf of the CFD area. As such, it is assumed that the CFD will cover the some of the costs associated with the new road network and urban parks.

The following distributes the costs inputed into the "Maintenance Service Costs" tabs less the 20 percent "Admin/Reserves" cost that is already included in the CFD for the park maintenance. Furthermore, the developer has formed a Master Community association in Millenia which will take over maintenance of a number of items normally covered by the City's general fund including sidewalk maintenance, streetscape landscape maintenance and some lighting. As such, the estimates using the City's fiscal model may overstate some of the costs associated with the project.

Pro Forma Advisors, LLCPage 7PFAID: 10-824.11



Figure 8 - Maintenance Service Inputs

	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030
Streets and Lighting													
Lane Miles (Cumulative)	3.4	7.1	7.1	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2
Parks													
Park Acres (Cumulative)	1.970	1.970	3.480	8.980	10.600	10.600	10.600	10.600	10.600	10.600	10.600	10.600	10.600

Source: Client

Pro Forma Advisors, LLCPage 8PFAID: 10-824.11





## **Appendix**

### **Proposed Amendment FIA Tables**

(excel model available upon request)

The remainder of the page is intentionally blank

211/2	Pop
CHULA VISTA	
CHODIVIDIA	

Single Family Residentia Multi-Family Residentia Subtotal (Per Capi Employment Population Tot **Number of Homes**Single Family Residential
Multi-Family Residential
Tot

Multi-ran....

Transient Occupancy Tax (Project Specific)
Hotel 1 - # rooms
Hotel 2 - # rooms
Tot

Average Hotel Daily Rate - San Diego County Avera Average Hotel Daily Rate - Developer Assign Average Hotel Occupan Developer Provided Hotel Occupan Transient Occupancy Tax Rate (per room ni

Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
lential		101	524	1,196	1,781	2,489	2,780	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3.276	3.276	3,276
lential	252	756	1.352	1.688	2,688	3,732	4.340	5,076	5.076	5.076	5.076	5.076	5.076	5.076	5.076	5.076	5,076
Capita)	252	857	1.876	2.884	4.469	6.222	7,120	8,352	8.352	8.352	8.352	8.352	8.352	8,352	8.352	8.352	8,352
lation	13	43	94	144	223	311	356	418	418	418	418	418	418	418	418	418	418
Totals	265	900	1,970	3,028	4,692	6,533	7,476	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770
lential	-	36	187	427	636	889	993	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1.170	1,170
lential	90	270	483	603	960	1.333	1,550	1,813	1.813	1.813	1.813	1.813	1.813	1.813	1.813	1.813	1,813
Totals	90	306	670	1,030	1,596	2,222	2,543	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983
pecific)																	
rooms	-	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135
rooms	-	-	- *		-		-			-	-	-		-		-	-
Totals	-	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135
iverage*	\$ 150.26 \$	152.55 \$	154.87 \$	157.19 \$	159.55 \$	161.94 \$	164.37 \$	166.84 \$	169.34 \$	171.88 \$	174.46 \$	177.08 \$	179.73 \$	182.43 \$	185.17 \$	187.94 \$	190.76
ssigned*	s - s	140.00 \$	142.10 \$	144.23 \$	146.39 \$	148.59 \$	150.82 \$	153.08 \$	155.38 \$	157.71 \$	160.07 \$	162.48 \$	164.91 \$	167.39 \$	169.90 \$	172.45 \$	175.03
upancy*	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%
upancy*	0.0%	50.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%
m night)	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%
Rate	0%	60%	65%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17

Transient Occupancy Tax		10		10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%
	Absorption Rate	0'	% 60%	65%	75%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
General Fund Revenues																		
Tax Revenues																		
Property Tax	AV	\$ 33,193		621,092 \$	1,064,097 \$	1,598,111 \$	2,204,377 \$	2,504,026 \$	2,671,010 \$	2,724,430 \$	2,778,919 \$	2,834,497 \$	2,943,508 \$	3,002,378 \$	3,062,425 \$	3,123,674 \$	3,186,147 \$	3,358,181
Library (.7% of 1% of AV)	AV - Project	\$ 1,829		34,233 \$	58,651 \$	88,085 \$	121,501 \$	138,017 \$	147,221 \$	150,165 \$	153,169 \$	156,232 \$	162,241 \$	165,485 \$	168,795 \$	172,171 \$	175,614 \$	185,097
Sales and Use Tax	Per Capita	30,43	104,740	233,192	364,623	574,334	813,030	946,066	1,128,279	1,147,031	1,163,428	1,198,331	1,234,281	1,271,309	1,309,449	1,348,732	1,389,194	1,430,870
Sales and Use Tax - Project Specific	Project Specific	-	-	444,313	515,697	582,554	613,376	704,235	718,319	732,686	747,340	762,286	777,532	793,083	808,944	825,123	841,626	858,458
Transient Occupancy Tax	Per Capita	-	13,459	37,271	57,540	89,371	124,920	143,515	168,969	169,573	170,422	175,535	180,801	186,225	191,812	197,566	203,493	209,598
Transient Occupancy Tax - Project	On-Site		206,955	318,590	373,118	504,953	512,527	520,215	528,018	535,939	543,978	552,137	560,419	568,826	577,358	586,018	594,809	603,731
Motor Vehicle In-Lieu of VLF	Per Capita	19,25		144,765	225,342	353,660	498,843	578,545	688,141	697,610	707,582	728,809	750,674	773,194	796,390	820,281	844,890	870,237
Franchise Fees	Per Capita	11,059		78,129 7,800	120,102	186,088 18,647	259,063 25,506	296,472 28,682	348,022 33,050	348,268 32,180	348,771 31.596	359,234 32,544	370,011 33,520	381,111 34 526	392,545 35.562	404,321 36,629	416,451 37,728	428,944 38.859
Other Taxes	Per Capita	95.77			12,358	3 995 803		28,682 5,859,774				6 799 606	7.012.986	7.176.137			7 689 951	7.983.974
Subtotal Tax Revenues Other Revenues	Per Capita	95,77		1,919,385 11.294	2,791,528 16.870	26.016	5,173,144 35.422	40.364	6,431,031 46.330	6,537,882 46.418	6,645,204 45.446	46,809	48.214	49.660	7,343,279 51,150	7,514,515 52.684	7,689,951 54.265	7,983,974 55,893
Licenses and Permits	Per Capita	1.40		9.434	14.561	22,649	31.649	36.351	42,787	42,930	43,068	44,360	45.691	47,061	48.473	49.928	51,425	52,968
Fines, forfeitures, penalties	Per Capita	99-		7.441	11.448	17,752	24,942	28,561	33,794	33.807	34,084	35,107	36,160	37,245	38.362	39,513	40,699	41,920
Use of Money & Property	Per Capita	2.23		16.410	25.004	38.564	53.447	60.663	70.858	70.298	70.018	72.119	74,282	76,511	78.806	81.170	83.606	86,114
Charges for Services	No Forecast	2,23	7,303	10,710	23,004	30,304	55,777	00,003	, 0,030	, 0,290	, 3,010	,2,119	74,202	, 0,311	. 0,000	01,170	03,000	50,114
Intergovernmental	Per Capita	1.80	6.328	13.752	20.988	32.290	44.644	50.750	59.139	58.760	58 657	60.417	62.229	64.096	66.019	67.999	70.039	72.141
Subtotal Other Revenues		6.44		47.037	72.001	111.255	154.683	176.325	206,579	205,795	205.828	212,002	218.363	224.913	231.661	238.611	245,769	253,142
Total General Fund Revenues		\$ 102,213	8 \$ 701,839 \$	1,966,422 \$	2,863,529 \$	4,107,058 \$	5,327,827 \$	6,036,099 \$	6,637,610 \$	6,743,677 \$	6,851,032 \$	7,011,609 \$	7,231,349 \$	7,401,050 \$	7,574,940 \$	7,753,126 \$	7,935,720 \$	8,237,116
General Fund Expenditures																		
General Government	Per Capita	\$ 2.84	7 \$ 9.024 \$	20.663 \$	32.846 \$	53.915 \$	78.090 \$	92.384 \$	112.401 \$	115.093 \$	114.508 \$	116.174 \$	117.888 \$	119.653 \$	121.474 \$	123,344 \$	126.359 \$	129,474
Community Development (20%)	Per Capita	559		4.075	6.475	10.559	15,221	17.821	21.425	21.828	21,824	22,142	22,469	22.805	23.152	23,508	24.083	24,677
()	To the second		-,	.,	-,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,		,	- 1,000	,
Public Works/Engineering	Per Capita	2,11	6,831	18,864	29,968	48,711	72,400	84,765	101,909	103,824	103,808	105,319	106,872	108,472	110,123	111,819	114,551	117,376
Maintenance Costs	Project Specific	105.45	193,311	219,672	334,161	365,953	373,272	380,738	388.353	396,120	404,042	412,123	420,365	428,773	437,348	446,095	455,017	464,117
	PC/EMP Base																	
Drainage Management System	\$ 26.50	6,79	23.103	23,565	24.037	24,517	25.008	25,508	26,018	26.538	27,069	27,610	28,163	28,726	29,300	29,886	30,484	31,094
Building Management System	4.10	1.05		3.642	3.715	3.789	3.865	3.942	4.021	4.101	4.183	4.267	4.352	4.439	4.528	4.619	4.711	4.805
Parks Management System	15.68	4.02		13,940	14,219	14.503	14.793	15.089	15.391	15.699	16.013	16.333	16,660	16.993	17.333	17.679	18.033	18,394
Open Space Management System	6.72	1.72		5,974	6.094	6.216	6.340	6.467	6,596	6.728	6.863	7,000	7.140	7.283	7.428	7.577	7.728	7.883
Fleet Management System	3.73	95		3,319	3,385	3,453	3,522	3,593	3,664	3,738	3,813	3,889	3,967	4,046	4,127	4,209	4,294	4,379
Pavement Annual (PMP)	14.18	3,63	7 12,365	12,612	12,865	13,122	13,384	13,652	13,925	14,204	14,488	14,777	15,073	15,374	15,682	15,996	16,315	16,642
General Govt Management System	0.65	16		581	592	604	616	629	641	654	667	681	694	708	722	737	751	766
Urban Forestry Management System	6.72	1,72		5,974	6,094	6,216	6,340	6,467	6,596	6,728	6,863	7,000	7,140	7,283	7,428	7,577	7,728	7,883
	\$ 78.28	20,07	68,243	69,608	71,000	72,420	73,868	75,346	76,853	78,390	79,958	81,557	83,188	84,852	86,549	88,280	90,045	91,846
Community Services	Per Capita	4.07	13.294	29.892	47.474	76.837	109.591	128.308	154.258	157,157	157.133	159.420	161,771	164,193	166,692	169.259	173.395	177,670
Library	Per Capita (Project)	1,14		8,528	13,147	20,437	28,550	32,796	38,622	38,785	38,957	39,140	39,332	39,534	39,745	39,965	40,544	41,141
Public Safety:																		
Police Services	Project Specific	77.54	384.703	715.884	1.081.313	1.675.586	2.360.214	2.796.110	3.366.729	3.493.954	3.625.935	3.716.584	3.810.507	3.905.770	4.003.414	4.103.500	4.103.500	4.105.538
Fire Services	Project Specific	30.28		304.804	481.219	735,944	1.027.229	1.193.138	1.417.179	1.445.417	1.474.326	1.518.556	1.564.388	1.611.320	1.659.659	1.709.449	1.709.449	1.710.015
Animal Control Services	Per Capita	2.83		20.799	33,033	53.463	76.328	89 341	1,417,179	1,445,417	1,474,320	1,510,550	112.609	114.295	116.035	117.821	120.701	123.677
Total Public Safety	r er Capita	110.65		1.041.486	1.595.565	2.464.993	3.463.770	4.078.589	4.891.289	5.048.756	5.209.642	5.346.112	5.487.505	5.631.385	5,779,109	5.930.770	5.933.650	5,939,229
Total General Fund Expenditures		\$ 246.910		1.412.787 \$	2.130.636 \$	3.113.824 \$	4.214.763 \$	4.890.746 S	5,785,110 \$	5,959,952 \$	6.129.873 \$	6.281.986 \$	6.439.390 \$	6,599,666 \$	6.764.191 \$	6.933.040 \$	6.957.644 \$	6.985.530
rotai Generai runu Expenditures		3 246,910	030,1// \$	1,412,/0/ \$	2,130,030 \$	3,113,024 \$	4,214,703 \$	4,090,740 \$	3,703,110 \$	3,939,934 \$	0,149,073 \$	0,201,900 \$	0,439,390 \$	0,399,000 \$	0,/04,191 \$	0,933,040 \$	0,937,044 \$	0,505,530
Projected Net Revenues/(Shortfa	11)	(\$144,69)	(\$134,338)	\$553,635	\$732,893	\$993,234	\$1,113,064	\$1,145,353	\$852,500	\$783,725	\$721,159	\$729,623	\$791,959	\$801,384	\$810,749	\$820,086	\$978,076	\$1,251,586
			. ( , )				, ,	, ,							,			

31/2	Popu
CITY OF	
CHULA VISTA	

Population	
	Single Family Residential
	Multi-Family Residential
	Subtotal (Per Capita)
	Employment Population
	Totals
Number of H	omes
	Single Family Residential
	Multi-Family Residential
	Totals

Multi-ran..., Totas

Transient Occupancy Tax (Project Specific)
Hotel 1 - # rooms
Hotel 2 - # rooms
Totals

Average Hotel Daily Rate - San Diego County Average\*
Average Hotel Daily Rate - Developer Assigned\*
Average Hotel Occupancy\*
Developer Provided Hotel Occupancy\*

	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
11.	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276
	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076
1)	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352
	418	418	418	418	418	418	418	418	418	418	418	418	418
ls	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770	8,770
	1.170	1.170	1.170	1.170	1.170	1.170	1,170	1,170	1.170	1.170	1.170	1.170	1.170
	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813
ls	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983	2,983
-,	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135
	133	133	133	133	133	133	133	155	133	133	133	133	133
ls	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135
	\$ 193.62	\$ 196.53	\$ 199.48	\$ 202.47	\$ 205.50	\$ 208.59	\$ 211.72	\$ 214.89	\$ 218.12	\$ 221.39	\$ 224.71	\$ 228.08	\$ 231.50
*	\$ 177.66	\$ 180.32	\$ 183.03	\$ 185.77	\$ 188.56	\$ 191.39	\$ 194.26	\$ 197.17	\$ 200.13	\$ 203.13	\$ 206.18	\$ 209.27	\$ 212.41
r*	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%
r*	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%
t)	10%		10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
п	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30

	d Hotel Occupancy	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	70.0%	/0.0%	70.0%	70.0%	/0.0%	70.0%	70.070	70.0%	/0.0%	70.0
Transient Occupancy Tax Ra	ate (per room night) Absorption Rate	10% 100%	10% 100%	10% 100%	10% 100%	10%	10% 100%	10% 100%	10% 100%	10% 100%	10% 100%	10% 100%	10% 100%	10 100
eneral Fund Revenues	Year	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
ax Revenues														
Property Tax	AV	\$ 3,425,345 \$	3.493.851 \$	3.664.672 \$	3,737,966 \$	4.012.031 \$	4.092.272 \$	4.174.117 \$	4.257.600 \$	4.554.173 \$	4.869.708 \$	4.967.102 \$	5.066.444 \$	5.167.77
Library (.7% of 1% of AV)	AV - Project	\$ 3,423,343 \$ \$ 188.799 \$	192.574 \$	201.990 \$	206.030 \$	221.136 \$	225.558 \$	230.069 \$	234.671 \$	4,554,175 \$ 251.017 \$	268.409 \$	273.777 \$	279.253 \$	284.83
Sales and Use Tax	Per Capita	1,473,796	1.518.010	1,563,550	1.610.456	1,658,770	1.708.533	1.759.789	1,812,583	1.866.960	1,922,969	1.980.658	2.040.078	2,101,2
Sales and Use Tax - Project Specific	Project Specific	875.627	893.140	1.623.605	1.656.077	1,689,199	1.722.983	1,757,442	1,792,591	1.828.443	1.865.012	1,900,030	1.940.358	1.979.1
Transient Occupancy Tax	Per Capita	215.886	222.362	229.033	235.904	242.981	250.271	257.779	265.512	273.477	281.682	290.132	298.836	307.8
Transient Occupancy Tax  Transient Occupancy Tax - Project	On-Site	612.787	621.979	631,308	640.778	650.390	660.145	670.048	680.098	690.300	700.654	711.164	721.832	732.6
Motor Vehicle In-Lieu of VLF	Per Capita	896.344	923.234	950.931	979.459	1.008.843	1.039.108	1.070.281	1,102,390	1.135.461	1.169.525	1.204.611	1.240.749	1.277.9
Franchise Fees	Per Capita	441,812	455,067	468,719	482.780	497,264	512,182	527,547	543,374	559.675	576,465	593,759	611,572	
Other Taxes	Per Capita Per Capita	441,812 40.025	455,067	468,/19	482,780	497,264	46.400	47.792	49.226	50,703	576,465	593,759	511,572 55.404	629,9 57.0
	rer Capita						10.257.452				11.706.648	11.977.306	12.254.526	12.538.4
Subtotal Tax Revenues Other Revenues	p 6 1	8,170,420	8,361,443	9,376,271	9,593,187 62.908	10,025,662		10,494,865	10,738,044 70.803	11,210,210	75,115			
	Per Capita	57,569 54,557	59,297	61,075		64,795	66,739	68,741		72,927		77,369 73.320	79,690 75.520	82,0 77.7
Licenses and Permits Fines, forfeitures, penalties	Per Capita	43.177	56,194 44.472	57,880 45.807	59,616 47,181	61,405 48.596	63,247 50.054	65,144 51.556	67,098 53.102	69,111 54.695	71,185 56.336	73,320 58.026	75,520 59.767	61.5
	Per Capita													
Use of Money & Property	Per Capita	88,697	91,358	94,099	96,922	99,829	102,824	105,909	109,086	112,359	115,730	119,202	122,778	126,46
Charges for Services	No Forecast													
Intergovernmental	Per Capita	74,305	76,534	78,830	81,195	83,631	86,140	88,724	91,386	94,127	96,951	99,859	102,855	105,9
Subtotal Other Revenues		260,736	268,558	276,615	284,914	293,461	302,265 10.559.717 \$	311,333	320,673	330,293	340,202 12.046,850 \$	350,408	360,920	371,7
Total General Fund Revenues		\$ 8,431,156 \$	8,630,001 \$	9,652,886 \$	9,878,100 \$	10,319,123 \$	10,559,717 \$	10,806,198 \$	11,058,717 \$	11,540,503 \$	12,046,850 \$	12,327,714 \$	12,615,446 \$	12,910,2
eneral Fund Expenditures														
General Government	Per Capita	\$ 132,662 \$	135,936 \$	139,316 \$	142,798 \$	146,388 \$	150,061 \$	153,845 \$	157,740 \$	161,748 \$	165,878 \$	170,130 \$	174,480 \$	178,9
Community Development (20%)	Per Capita	25,284	25,908	26,553	27,216	27,900	28,600	29,322	30,064	30,828	31,615	32,426	33,255	34,10
Public Works/Engineering	Per Capita	120,266	123,234	126,298	129,455	132,709	136,039	139,470	143,000	146,634	150,378	154,233	158,177	162,22
Maintenance Costs	Project Specific	473,400	482,868	492,525	502,375	512,423	522,671	533,125	543,787	554,663	565,756	577,071	588,613	600,3
	PC/EMP Base													
Drainage Management System	\$ 26.50	31,716	32,350	32,997	33,657	34,330	35,017	35,717	36,431	37,160	37,903	38,661	39,435	40,22
Building Management System	4.10	4,901	4,999	5,099	5,201	5,305	5,411	5,520	5,630	5,743	5,858	5,975	6,094	6,2
Parks Management System	15.68	18,761	19,137	19,519	19,910	20,308	20,714	21,128	21,551	21,982	22,422	22,870	23,327	23,7
Open Space Management System	6.72	8,041	8,201	8,365	8,533	8,703	8,877	9,055	9,236	9,421	9,609	9,801	9,997	10,1
Fleet Management System	3.73	4,467	4,556	4,647	4,740	4,835	4,932	5,031	5,131	5,234	5,338	5,445	5,554	5,6
Pavement Annual (PMP)	14.18	16,975	17,314	17,660	18,014	18,374	18,741	19,116	19,498	19,888	20,286	20,692	21,106	21,5
General Govt Management System	0.65	782	797	813	830	846	863	880	898	916	934	953	972	9
Urban Forestry Management System	6.72	8,041	8,201	8,365	8,533	8,703	8,877	9,055	9,236	9,421	9,609	9,801	9,997	10,1
	\$ 78.28	93,683	95,557	97,468	99,417	101,406	103,434	105,502	107,612	109,765	111,960	114,199	116,483	118,8
Community Services	Per Capita	182,046	186,538	191,176	195,954	200,880	205,920	211,113	216,458	221,959	227,625	233,460	239,430	245,5
Library	Per Capita (Project)	41,744	42,360	42,991	43,638	44,300	44,972	45,658	46,359	47,075	47,809	48,559	49,316	50,0
Public Safety:														
Police Services	Project Specific	4.105.538	4.105.538	4.265.747	4.265,747	4.269.144	4.269.144	4.269.144	4.269.144	4.272.472	4.275.937	4.275.937	4.275.937	4.275.9
Fire Services	Project Specific	1,710,015	1,710,015	1.758.098	1.758.098	1,759,040	1.759.040	1.759.040	1.759.040	1.759.964	1.760.925	1.760.925	1,760,925	1.760.9
Animal Control Services	Per Capita	126.722	129.850	133.078	136,404	139.833	143.342	146,957	150.677	154.506	158.451	162.513	166.668	170.9
Total Public Safety		5,942,275	5,945,402	6,156,923	6,160,249	6,168,017	6,171,526	6,175,141	6,178,861	6,186,942	6,195,313	6,199,375	6,203,530	6,207,7
Total General Fund Expenditures		\$ 7,011,360 \$	7,037,803 \$	7,273,249 \$	7,301,102 \$	7,334,022 \$	7,363,223 \$	7,393,176 \$	7,423,881 \$	7,459,615 \$	7,496,335 \$	7,529,452 \$	7,563,284 \$	7,597,93
		\$1.419.796	\$1.592.198	\$2.379.637	\$2.576.998						\$4.550.515			\$5,312,29
Projected Net Revenues/(Shortfall)						\$2,985,101	\$3.196.494	\$3.413.022	\$3,634,836	\$4.080.888		\$4,798,262	\$5.052.162	

Year		1	1	2	3	4	5	6	j 7	8	9	10	11
	CITY OF												
	CHULA VISTA												
<b>Property Tax Analysis</b>													
Residential Units													
Multi-Family Residential - Attached Townhomes													
Total Cumulative SFR Units	1,170		-	36	187	427	636	889	993	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170
Total Cumulative Residents	2.80		-	101	524	1,196	1,781	2,489		3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276
Percentage Complete			0%	4%	19%		64%	89%		100%	100%	100%	100%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$537,381,000		\$0	\$19,345,716	\$100,490,247	\$229,461,687	\$341,774,316	\$477,731,709	\$533,619,333	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000
Multi-Family Attached Apartments													
Total Cumulative MFR Units	1,813		90	270	483	603	960	1,333		1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813
Total Cumulative Residents	2.80		252	756	1,352	1,688	2,688	3,732	4,340	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076
Percentage Complete			5%	15%	27%	33%	53%	74%		100%	100%	100%	100%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$526,484,322	\$26,	135,460	\$78,406,380	\$140,260,302	\$175,107,582	\$278,778,240	\$387,095,202		\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322
Total Cumulative Residents			252	857	1,876	2,884	4,469	6,222	7,120	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352
Commercial													
Percentage Complete			0%	33%	38%	44%	48%	50%	56%	56%	56%	56%	56%
	\$ 124,309,871	\$	- 070	\$ 41.065.058	\$ 47.633.969		\$ 60.029.394	\$ 61,966,110		\$ 69.750.111		\$ 69.750.111	\$ 69,750,111
Constructed Assessed values	\$ 124,305,071	-P		\$ 41,003,030	\$ 47,033,707	3 34,202,000	\$ 00,029,394	\$ 01,700,110	3 09,730,111	\$ 09,730,111	\$ 09,730,111	\$ 09,730,111	\$ 09,730,111
Industrial													
Percentage Complete			0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	-	-		7	*	1	-	-	1	7	1	*	-
Office													
Percentage Complete			0%	0%	13%		41%	57%		62%	62%	62%	62%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$ 1,056,122,047	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 134,603,790	\$ 283,703,373	\$ 434,873,784	\$ 598,241,369	\$ 650,239,849	\$ 650,239,849	\$ 650,239,849	\$ 650,239,849	\$ 650,239,849
Hotel			00/	4000/	40004	4000/	4000/	4000	1000/	4000/	4000/	4000/	4000
Percentage Complete Constructed Assessed Values	\$ 47.070.000	<b>.</b>	0%	\$ 47.070.000	100%		\$ 47.070.000	\$ 47,070,000		100% \$ 47,070,000	100%	\$ 47.070.000	100%
Constructed Assessed values	\$ 47,070,000	2	-	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000
Constructed Assessed Values													
Total Current Period Assessed Value Additions		\$ 26	135,460	\$ 185,887,154	\$ 470,058,308	\$ 789,545,523	\$ 1,162,525,734	\$ 1,572,104,390	\$ 1,750,789,993	\$ 1,830,925,282	\$ 1,830,925,282	\$ 1,830,925,282	\$ 1,830,925,282
Inflation Factor	2.00%		100.00%	102.00%	104.04%		108.24%	110.41%		114.87%	117.17%	119.51%	121.90%
Total AV - Inflated	2.0070		135,460	\$189,604,897	\$489,048,664	\$837,872,025	\$1,258,355,241	\$1,735,730,278		\$2,103,157,630	\$2,145,220,782	\$2,188,125,198	\$2,231,887,702
Cumulative AV (w/o Prior Years Inflation)			135,460	\$189,604,897	\$489,048,664	\$837,872,025	\$1,258,355,241	\$1,735,730,278		\$2,103,157,630	\$2,145,220,782	\$2,188,125,198	\$2,231,887,702
Prior Years AV Inflation Factor	2.00%	\$20,	0.00%	2.00%	2.00%		2.00%	2.00%		2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Prior Years AV Inflation Amount	2.0070		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cumulative Residential AV - Inflated		\$26	135,460	\$189,604,897	\$489,048,664	\$837,872,025	\$1,258,355,241	\$1,735,730,278		\$2,103,157,630		\$2,188,125,198	\$2,231,887,702
Cumulative residential AV - Illiated		\$20,	133,400	\$107,004,057	\$40 <i>7</i> ,040,004	\$037,072,023	\$1,230,333,241	91,733,730,270	ψ1,7/1,0/3,09 <del>4</del>	92,103,137,030	JE,173,220,702	\$2,100,123,170	Jajas 1,007,702
Property Tax Revenue Estimate													
Ad-Valorem	1.00%	\$	261.355	\$ 1.896.049	\$ 4.890.487	\$ 8,378,720	\$ 12.583.552	\$ 17.357.303	\$ 19.716.739	\$ 21.031.576	\$ 21.452.208	\$ 21.881.252	\$ 22.318.877
Total AV Tax Due to City	12.70%		33,192	\$240,798	Ψ 1,070,107	\$ 0,370,720	\$1,598,111	\$2,204,377		\$2,671,010		\$2,778,919	\$2,834,497

Year	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Property Tax Analysis												
Residential Units												
Multi-Family Residential - Attached Townhomes												
Total Cumulative SFR Units	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170
Total Cumulative Residents	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276	3,276
Percentage Complete	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	\$537.381.000	100%	100%	\$537.381.000	100%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000	\$537,381,000
Multi-Family Attached Apartments Total Cumulative MFR Units	1.813	1,813	1.813	1,813	1,010		1.010	1,010		1,010	1.040	4.040
Total Cumulative MFR Units Total Cumulative Residents	5.076	5,076	5,076	1,813 5.076	5,076	5,076	5.076	5.076	5.076	5,076	5.076	5,076
					5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	5,076	1,00%	100%
Percentage Complete Constructed Assessed Values	\$526.484.322	\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322	100% \$526,484,322	\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322	\$526,484,322
Total Cumulative Residents	\$526,484,322 8.352	\$526,484,322 8.352	\$526,484,322 8.352	\$526,484,322 <b>8.352</b>	40-0/101/0	, . , .	, . , . , ,					
Total Cumulative Residents	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352
Commercial												
Percentage Complete	56%	56%	56%	56%	56%	56%	56%	56%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$ 69,750,111		\$ 69,750,111		\$ 69,750,111	\$ 69,750,111	\$ 69,750,111	\$ 69,750,111	\$ 124,309,871	\$ 124,309,871	\$ 124,309,871	124,309,871
Industrial	201	004	004	201	001	007	001	0.04	004	001	0.04	001
Percentage Complete	0%	0%	0%	\$ -	0%	0%	0%	0%	Û%	0%	0%	0%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	3 -	\$ -	5 -	\$ -	5 -	5 -	\$ - :	-
Office												
Percentage Complete	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%	71%	71%	71%	71%	71%	80%	80%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$ 683,373,089	\$ 683,373,089	\$ 683,373,089	\$ 683,373,089	\$ 683,373,089	\$ 745,497,916	\$ 745,497,916	\$ 745,497,916	\$ 745,497,916	\$ 745,497,916	\$ 849,039,293	849.039.293
Hotel												
Percentage Complete	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000	\$ 47,070,000
Constructed Assessed Values	A 4064050	A 4004050555	A 4064050555	A 4064050555	A 40040F0	A 4 00 C 4 00 T : T	A 4006405555	A 4 00 C 4 00 T : T	A 4 000 540 :	A 4 000 T40 :	A 0.004.004.455	0.004.004.47
Total Current Period Assessed Value Additions	\$ 1,864,058,522	\$ 1,864,058,522	\$ 1,864,058,522	\$ 1,864,058,522	\$ 1,864,058,522	\$ 1,926,183,349	\$ 1,926,183,349	\$ 1,926,183,349	\$ 1,980,743,108	\$ 1,980,743,108	\$ 2,084,284,486	\$ 2,084,284,486
Inflation Factor	124.34%	126.82%	129.36%	131.95%	134.59%	137.28%	140.02%	142.82%	145.68%	148.59%	151.57%	154.60%
Total AV - Inflated	\$2,317,722,476	\$2,364,076,926	\$2,411,358,464	\$2,459,585,633	\$2,508,777,346	\$2,644,236,967	\$2,697,121,706	\$2,751,064,140	\$2,885,568,690	\$2,943,280,064	\$3,159,079,846	\$3,222,261,443
Cumulative AV (w/o Prior Years Inflation)	\$2,317,722,476	\$2,364,076,926	\$2,411,358,464	\$2,459,585,633	\$2,508,777,346	\$2,644,236,967	\$2,697,121,706	\$2,751,064,140	\$2,885,568,690	\$2,943,280,064	\$3,159,079,846	\$3,222,261,443
Prior Years AV Inflation Factor	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Prior Years AV Inflation Amount			\$0	\$0	90	Ψ0	40	Ψ	40	ΨΟ	40	
Cumulative Residential AV - Inflated	\$2,317,722,476	\$2,364,076,926	\$2,411,358,464	\$2,459,585,633	\$2,508,777,346	\$2,644,236,967	\$2,697,121,706	\$2,751,064,140	\$2,885,568,690	\$2,943,280,064	\$3,159,079,846	\$3,222,261,443
Property Tax Revenue Estimate												
Ad-Valorem	\$ 23,177,225	\$ 23.640.769	\$ 24.113.585	\$ 24,595,856	\$ 25.087.773	\$ 26,442,370	\$ 26,971,217	\$ 27.510.641	\$ 28.855.687	\$ 29,432,801	\$ 31.590.798	32.222.614
Total AV Tax Due to City	\$2,943,508	\$3.002.378	\$3.062.425	\$3.123.674	\$3.186.147	\$3,358,181	\$3,425,345	\$3.493.851	\$3.664.672	\$3,737,966	\$4.012.031	\$4.092.272
Total AV Tax Due to city	<i>\$2,743,300</i>	ψ3,002,370	93,002,423	ψJ,123,074	33,100,147	<i>\$3,330,</i> 101	93,723,343	9J,47J,0J1	\$J,004,072	33,737,700	ψT <sub>1</sub> U12 <sub>1</sub> U31	97,072,272

Year	1	24	l	25	l	26		27	l	28		29		30
Property Tax Analysis														
Residential Units														
Multi-Family Residential - Attached Townhomes														
Total Cumulative SFR Units Total Cumulative Residents		1,170		<b>1,170</b> 3,276		1,170		1,170		1,170 3,276		1,170		1,170
Percentage Complete		3,276 100%		3,276		3,276 100%		3,276 100%		3,276		3,276 100%		3,276 100%
Constructed Assessed Values		\$537.381.000		\$537.381.000		\$537,381,000		\$537,381,000		\$537.381.000		\$537,381,000		\$537.381.000
Multi-Family Attached Apartments	+	\$337,301,000	-	\$337,301,000	H	\$337,301,000	Н	\$337,301,000		9337,301,000	$\vdash$	\$337,301,000	$\vdash$	9337,301,000
Total Cumulative MFR Units						1,813				1,813		1,813		1,813
Total Cumulative Residents		5,076		5,076		5,076		5,076		5,076		5,076		5,076
Percentage Complete		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%
Constructed Assessed Values		\$526,484,322		\$526,484,322		\$526,484,322		\$526,484,322		\$526,484,322		\$526,484,322		\$526,484,322
Total Cumulative Residents		8,352		8,352		8,352		8,352		8,352		8,352		8,352
Commercial														
Percentage Complete		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$	124,309,871	\$	124,309,871	\$	124,309,871	\$	124,309,871	\$	124,309,871	\$	124,309,871	\$	124,309,871
Industrial														
Percentage Complete		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		0%		One
Constructed Assessed Values	\$	- 0 70	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- 0.70	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Office														
Percentage Complete		80%		80%		90%		100%		100%		100%		100%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$	849,039,293	\$	849,039,293	\$	950,509,842	\$	1,056,122,047	\$	1,056,122,047	\$	1,056,122,047	\$	1,056,122,047
Hotel														
Percentage Complete		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%
Constructed Assessed Values	\$	47,070,000	\$	47,070,000	\$	47,070,000	\$	47,070,000	\$	47,070,000	\$	47,070,000	\$	47,070,000
Constructed Assessed Values		0.004.004		0.004.004		0.405 555 555		0.004.045.5		0.004.0555		0.004.045.5		0.004.045.015
Total Current Period Assessed Value Additions Inflation Factor	\$	2,084,284,486 157.69%	\$	2,084,284,486 160.84%	\$	2,185,755,035 164.06%	\$	2,291,367,240 167,34%	\$	2,291,367,240 170.69%	\$	2,291,367,240 174.10%	\$	2,291,367,240 177.58%
Total AV - Inflated		\$3.286.706.672		\$3.352.440.805	1	\$3,585,962,813		\$3.834.415.446		\$3.911.103.755		\$3.989.325.830		\$4.069.112.346
Cumulative AV (w/o Prior Years Inflation)		\$3,286,706,672		\$3,352,440,805		\$3,585,962,813		\$3,834,415,446		\$3,911,103,755		\$3,989,325,830		\$4,069,112,346
Prior Years AV Inflation Factor		2.00%		2.00%		2.00%		2.00%		2.00%		2.00%		2.00%
Prior Years AV Inflation Amount		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0		\$0
Cumulative Residential AV - Inflated	ᆂ	\$3,286,706,672		\$3,352,440,805	L	\$3,585,962,813		\$3,834,415,446		\$3,911,103,755		\$3,989,325,830		\$4,069,112,346
Property Tax Revenue Estimate			_		L									
Ad-Valorem	\$	32,867,067	\$	33,524,408	\$	35,859,628	\$	38,344,154	\$	39,111,038	\$	39,893,258	\$	40,691,123
Total AV Tax Due to City		\$4,174,117		\$4,257,600		\$4,554,173	_	\$4,869,708		\$4,967,102		\$5,066,444		\$5,167,773

					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
				LATION	272,736	277,555	282,375	287,195	292,015	296,835	301,655	306,475	311,295	316,115	320,935	325,755	330,575	335,395	340,215	342,066	343,844	345,651	347,440
		CHANGE I	N POPUI	LATION		4,819	4,820	4,820	4,820	4,820	4,820	4,820	4,820	4,820	4,820	4,820	4,820	4,820	4,820	1,851	1,778	1,807	1,789
~~~~				# of DU		1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	1,721	661	635	645	639
<b>1000</b>				SFR		306	306	306	306	306	306	306	306	306	306	306	306	306	306	117	113	115	114
CITY OF	L			MFR		1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	1,415	544	522	530	525
CHULA VISTA																							
CHOLINI		DEVELOP				36	187	427	636	889	993	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170	1,170
		DEVELOPE			90	270	483	603	960	1,333	1,550	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813	1,813
	62.90		ERCIAL (			20.78	24.10	27.43	30.37	31.35	35.29	35.29	35.29	35.29	35.29	35.29	35.29	35.29	35.29	35.29	35.29	35.29	35.29
	27.69 5.66		OFFICE (		-	5.66	3.53 5.66	7.44 5.66	11.40 5.66	15.69 5.66	17.05 5.66	17.05 5.66	17.05 5.66	17.05 5.66	17.05 5.66	17.92 5.66	17.92 5.66	17.92 5.66	17.92 5.66	17.92 5.66	19.55 5.66	19.55 5.66	19.55 5.66
	5.00		HOTEL (	ACKESJ	1 -	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	EXISTING DU																						
POLICE Single Family Units	36.084	PER DU	s	798.08	\$ 798.08	\$ 850.52	\$ 839.71	\$ 870.79	\$ 903.71	\$ 937.05	\$ 971.88	\$ 1.008.63	\$ 1.046.74	\$ 1.086.28	\$ 1.113.44	\$ 1.141.28	\$ 1.169.81	\$ 1.199.05	\$ 1.229.03	\$ 1.229.03	\$ 1.229.03	\$ 1.229.03	\$ 1.229.03
POLICE Multi-Family Units	27.814	PER DU	s	861.56	\$ 861.56	\$ 918.17	\$ 906.49	\$ 940.05		\$ 1.011.58	\$ 1.049.18	\$ 1.088.85	\$ 1.130.00	\$ 1.172.68	\$ 1,202.00	\$ 1.232.05		. ,	\$ 1,326,78	\$ 1,326,78	\$ 1,326,78		\$ 1,326,78
	,		-							,	,	-,	,		-,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,
	EXISTING ACRI	ES																					
POLICE Commercial Acres	936	PER ACRE	\$	3,768.38	\$ 3,768.38	\$ 4,016.02	\$ 3,964.93	\$ 4,111.71	\$ 4,267.17	\$ 4,424.58	\$ 4,589.04	\$ 4,762.56	\$ 4,942.53	\$ 5,129.23	\$ 5,257.46	\$ 5,388.89	\$ 5,523.62	\$ 5,661.71	\$ 5,803.25	\$ 5,803.25	\$ 5,803.25	\$ 5,803.25	\$ 5,803.25
POLICE Industrial Acres	834	PER ACRE	\$	812.45	\$ 812.45	\$ 865.84	\$ 854.83	\$ 886.47	\$ 919.99	\$ 953.93	\$ 989.38	\$ 1,026.79	\$ 1,065.59	\$ 1,105.85	\$ 1,133.49	\$ 1,161.83	\$ 1,190.87	\$ 1,220.65	\$ 1,251.16	\$ 1,251.16	\$ 1,251.16	\$ 1,251.16	\$ 1,251.16
	POLIC	CE COSTS - PR	OJECT S	PECIFIC	\$ 77,540	\$ 384,703	\$ 715,884	\$ 1,081,313	\$ 1,675,586	\$ 2,360,214	\$ 2,796,110	\$ 3,366,729	\$ 3,493,954	\$ 3,625,935	\$ 3,716,584	\$ 3,810,507	\$ 3,905,770	\$ 4,003,414	\$ 4,103,500	\$ 4,103,500	\$ 4,105,538	\$ 4,105,538	\$ 4,105,538
	EXISTING DU																						
FIRE Single Family Units	36,084	PER DU	\$	467.29	\$ 467.29	\$ 485.74	\$ 495.42	\$ 505.23	\$ 515.22	\$ 525.49	\$ 535.96	\$ 546.64	\$ 557.53	\$ 568.68	\$ 585.74	\$ 603.32	\$ 621.42	\$ 640.06	\$ 659.26	\$ 659.26	\$ 659.26	\$ 659.26	\$ 659.26
FIRE Multi-Family Units	27,814	PER DU	\$	336.44	\$ 336.44	\$ 349.73	\$ 356.70	\$ 363.76	\$ 370.96	\$ 378.35	\$ 385.89	\$ 393.58	\$ 401.42	\$ 409.45	\$ 421.73	\$ 434.38	\$ 447.42	\$ 460.84	\$ 474.66	\$ 474.66	\$ 474.66	\$ 474.66	\$ 474.66
	EXISTING ACRI																						
FIRE Commercial Acres	936	PER ACRE	\$			\$ 1,283.28	\$ 1,308.86	\$ 1,334.77		\$ 1,388.30	\$ 1,415.97	,	,		\$ 1,547.49	\$ 1,593.92	\$ 1,641.73	\$ 1,690.99		\$ 1,741.72			\$ 1,741.72
FIRE Industrial Acres	834	PER ACRE	\$	246.05	\$ 246.05	\$ 255.76	\$ 260.86	\$ 266.03	\$ 271.29	\$ 276.70	\$ 282.21	\$ 287.83	\$ 293.57	\$ 299.44	\$ 308.42	\$ 317.68	\$ 327.21	\$ 337.02	\$ 347.13	\$ 347.13	\$ 347.13	\$ 347.13	\$ 347.13
	nu n	n acama pp	ornam ar	anginia .	A 00.000	* 415014		A 101.010	A 202.011	A 4 005 000	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	A 4 44 F 4 F O		A 4 484 004	A 4 540 557	A 4 5 ( 4 000		A 4 (FO (FO	A 4 MOO 440	A 4 500 110	A 4 540 045	A 4 540 045	A 4 540 045
	FIF	RE COSTS - PRO	OJECT SI	ECIFIC	\$ 30,280	\$ 145,841	\$ 304,804	\$ 481,219	\$ /35,944	\$ 1,027,229	\$ 1,193,138	\$ 1,417,179	\$ 1,445,417	\$ 1,4/4,326	\$ 1,518,556	\$ 1,564,388	\$ 1,611,320	\$ 1,659,659	\$ 1,709,449	\$ 1,709,449	\$ 1,/10,015	\$ 1,710,015	\$ 1,/10,015



						20	21		22	23	3	24		25		26	27	28		29		30
			POP	ULATION		349,185	350,894		352,560	35	4,241	355,895		357,524		359,126	360,683	362,22	0	363,786		365,344
		CHANGE	IN POP	PULATION		1,746	1,708		1,667		1,681	1,654		1,629		1,601	1,557	1,53	7	1,567		1,558
				# of DU		623	610		595		600	591		582		572	556	54	9	559		556
2222				SFR		111	108		106		107	105		103		102	99	9	8	99		99
CITY OF				MFR		512	502		489		493	486		479		470	457	45	1	460		457
CHÜLA VISTA																						
CHOLA VISIA		DEVELOR				1,170	1,170		1,170		1,170	1,170		1,170		1,170	1,170			1,170		1,170
		DEVELOP			_	1,813	1,813		1,813		1,813	1,813		1,813		1,813	1,813			1,813		1,813
	62.90	COMM	ERCIA	L (ACRES)	_	62.90	62.90		62.90		62.90	62.90		62.90		62.90	62.90	62.9	0	62.90		62.90
	27.69			E (ACRES)		19.55	19.55		22.26		22.26	22.26		22.26		24.92	27.69			27.69		27.69
	5.66		HOTE	L (ACRES)	_	5.66	5.66		5.66		5.66	5.66		5.66		5.66	5.66	5.6	6	5.66		5.66
	EXISTING DU																					
POLICE Single Family Units	36,084	PER DU	\$	798.08		1,229.03	\$ 1,229.03		,		29.03						\$ 1,229.03			-,		1,229.03
POLICE Multi-Family Units	27,814	PER DU	\$	861.56	\$	1,326.78	\$ 1,326.78	\$ 1	1,326.78	\$ 1,3	26.78	\$ 1,326.78	\$	1,326.78	\$	1,326.78	\$ 1,326.78	\$ 1,326.7	8 \$	1,326.78	\$	1,326.78
	EXISTING ACRI	ec.																				
POLICE Commercial Acres	936	PER ACRE		3.768.38		E 002 2E	\$ 5.803.25		00225	e 50	02.25	¢ 500235		E 002 2E	s	E 002 2E	\$ 5.803.25	¢ = 00000		E 002 2E		E 002.2E
POLICE Commercial Acres POLICE Industrial Acres	936 834	PER ACRE	5				\$ 1,251.16															
FOLICE IIIdustrial Acres	034	FER ACKE	,	012.43	,	1,231.10	\$ 1,231.10	3 1	1,231.10	\$ 1,2	31.10	\$ 1,231.10	Ф	1,231.10	,	1,231.10	\$ 1,231.10	\$ 1,231.1	0 3	1,231.10	Ф	1,231.10
	POLIC	CE COSTS - PR	OIECT	SPECIFIC	\$ 4	4 265 747	\$ 4,265,747	\$ 4:	269 144	\$ 426	9 144	\$ 4269 144	\$	4 269 144	\$ 4	272 472	\$ 4 275 937	\$ 4275.93	7 \$	4 275 937	\$ 4	4 275 937
	10210	00010 11	.ojiioi	51 2011 10		1,200,717	\$ 1,E00,717	ų i,.	207,111	9 1,20	,,	Ψ 1,207,111	Ψ	1,207,111	Ψ.	,2,2,1,2	\$ 1,E70,707	\$ 1,E75,75	, ,	1,270,707	Ψ	1,270,707
	EXISTING DU																					
FIRE Single Family Units	36.084	PER DU	\$	467.29	s	659.26	\$ 659.26	4	659.26	\$ 6	59.26	\$ 659.26	\$	659.26	\$	659.26	\$ 659.26	\$ 659.2	6 \$	659.26	\$	659.26
FIRE Multi-Family Units	27.814	PER DU	Š	336.44		474.66			474.66		74.66				Š	474.66						474.66
,	,		-		-			-					-		-						-	
	EXISTING ACRI	ES																				
FIRE Commercial Acres	936	PER ACRE	\$	1,234.54	\$	1,741.72	\$ 1,741.72	\$ 1	1,741.72	\$ 1,7	41.72	\$ 1,741.72	\$	1,741.72	\$	1,741.72	\$ 1,741.72	\$ 1,741.7	2 \$	1,741.72	\$	1,741.72
FIRE Industrial Acres	834	PER ACRE	Ś	246.05	s	347.13	\$ 347.13	\$	347.13	\$ 3	47.13	\$ 347.13	\$	347.13	Ś	347.13	\$ 347.13	\$ 347.1	3 \$	347.13	\$	347.13
	FIF	RE COSTS - PR	OJECT	SPECIFIC	\$ 1	1,758,098	\$ 1,758,098	\$ 1,7	759,040	\$ 1,75	9,040	\$ 1,759,040	\$	1,759,040	\$ 1	,759,964	\$ 1,760,925	\$ 1,760,92	5 \$	1,760,925	\$ :	1,760,925
					_									- /-								

	/ear	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Sales Tax Analysis - Project Specific																			
Total (Square Feet)																			
Small Format				20.873	41.746	41,746	47,900	72,634	72.634	72.634	72.634	72.634	72.634	72,634	72.634	72,634	72.634	72,634	72.634
Large Format			130,486	130,486	130,486	149,000	149,000	149,000	149,000	149,000	149,000	149,000	149,000	149,000	149.000	149.000	149,000	149,000	149,000
	tals	-	130,486	151,359	172,232	190,746	196,900	221,634	221,634	221,634	221,634	221,634	221,634	221,634	221,634	221,634	221,634	221,634	221,634
Gross Leasable Area (Square Feet) Absorption																			
Small Format 90%	<b>-</b>		-	18,786	37,571	37,571	43,110	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371
Large Format 90%		-	117,437	117,437	117,437	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100	134,100
Occupied Gross Leasable Area (Square Feet)  Small Format 95% Large Format 95%		-	-	17,846 111,566	35,693 111,566	35,693 127,395	40,955 127,395	62,102 127,395	62,102 127,395	62,102 127,395	62,102 127,395	62,102 127,395	62,102 127,395						
Taxable Sales Projected (Estimate) Sales PSF	S	330 \$	337																
Small Format \$ 35		- s	-	\$ 6,127,245	\$ 12,499,581	\$ 12,749,572	\$ 14,921,635	\$ 23,079,216 \$	23,540,800	\$ 24,011,616	\$ 24,491,848		\$ 25,481,319 \$	\$ 25,990,945			\$ 27,581,799	\$ 28,133,435	
Large Format \$ 32	.00		-	38,304,017	39,070,097	45,505,827	46,415,943	47,344,262	48,291,148	49,256,970	50,242,110	51,246,952	52,271,891	53,317,329	54,383,676	55,471,349	56,580,776	57,712,392	58,866,639
Total Taxable Retail Sales Estimated	s	.   s		\$ 44.431.262	\$ 51.569.677	\$ 58,255,399	\$ 61.337.579	\$ 70,423,478 \$	71.831.948	\$ 73,268,587	\$ 74,733,958	\$ 76,228,637	\$ 77,753,210 \$	\$ 79.308.274	\$ 80,894,440	\$ 82,512,329	\$ 84,162,575	\$ 85.845.827	\$ 87,562,743
Annual Sales Taxes to the City				, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, jansjan i	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,,	,,,,,,,,	,======	. ,,,,,,,,,		,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Small Format 1	00% \$	- s	-	\$ 61,272	\$ 124,996	\$ 127,496	\$ 149,216	\$ 230,792 \$	235,408	\$ 240,116	\$ 244,918	\$ 249,817	\$ 254,813 \$	\$ 259,909	\$ 265,108			\$ 281,334	
	00%	-	-	383,040	390,701	455,058	464,159	473,443	482,911	492,570	502,421	512,470	522,719	533,173	543,837	554,713	565,808	577,124	588,666
Growth Percentage - Estimated																			
Total Annual Sales Taxes to the G	ty S	- s	-	\$ 444,313	\$ 515,697	\$ 582,554	\$ 613,376	\$ 704,235 \$	718,319	\$ 732,686	\$ 747,340	\$ 762,286	\$ 777,532 \$	\$ 793,083	\$ 808,944	\$ 825,123	\$ 841,626	\$ 858,458	\$ 875,627

CHUÏA VISTA	Year	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Sales Tax Analysis - Project Specific													
Total (Square Feet)													
Small Format		72,634	72,634	72,634	72,634	72,634	72,634	72,634	72,634	72,634	72,634	72,634	72,634
Large Format		149,000	322,366	322,366	322,366	322,366	322,366	322,366	322,366	322,366	322,366	322,366	322,366
	Totals	221,634	395,000	395,000	395,000	395,000	395,000	395,000	395,000	395,000	395,000	395,000	395,000
Gross Leasable Area (Square Feet)	Absorption												
Small Format	90%	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371	65,371
Large Format	90%	134,100	290,129	290,129	290,129	290,129	290,129	290,129	290,129	290,129	290,129	290,129	290,129
Occupied Gross Leasable Area (Square Feet) Small Format Large Format	95% 95%	62,102 127,395	62,102 275,623										
Taxable Sales Projected (Estimate)	Sales PSF	\$ 471	\$ 481	\$ 490	\$ 500	\$ 510	\$ 520	\$ 531	\$ 541	\$ 552	\$ 563	\$ 575	\$ 586
Small Format	\$ 350.00	\$ 29,270,026	\$ 29,855,427	\$ 30,452,535	\$ 31,061,586	\$ 31,682,817	\$ 32,316,474	\$ 32,962,803	\$ 33,622,059	\$ 34,294,501	\$ 34,980,391	\$ 35,679,998	\$ 36,393,598
Large Format	\$ 325.00	60,043,972	132,505,086	135,155,188	137,858,292	140,615,457	143,427,767	146,296,322	149,222,248	152,206,693	155,250,827	158,355,844	161,522,961
Total Taxable Retail Sales Estimated		\$ 89,313,998	\$ 162,360,513	\$ 165,607,723	\$ 168,919,877	\$ 172,298,275	\$ 175,744,240	\$ 179,259,125	\$ 182.844.308	\$ 186,501,194	\$ 190.231.218	\$ 194,035,842	\$ 197.916.559
Annual Sales Taxes to the City													
Small Format	1.00%	\$ 292,700	\$ 298,554	\$ 304,525	\$ 310,616	\$ 316,828	\$ 323,165	\$ 329,628	\$ 336,221	\$ 342,945	\$ 349,804	\$ 356,800	\$ 363,936
Large Format	1.00%	600,440	1,325,051	1,351,552	1,378,583	1,406,155	1,434,278	1,462,963	1,492,222	1,522,067	1,552,508	1,583,558	1,615,230
Growth Percentage - Estimated													
Total Annual Sa	les Taxes to the City	\$ 893,140	\$ 1,623,605	\$ 1,656,077	\$ 1,689,199	\$ 1,722,983	\$ 1,757,442	\$ 1,792,591	\$ 1,828,443	\$ 1,865,012	\$ 1,902,312	\$ 1,940,358	\$ 1,979,166
								,					

Description	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
POLICE COSTS - BASE MODEL	56,965,945	59,949,430	58,327,199	59,606,056	60,916,106	62,175,703	63,461,731
Police Officer Funding (5/yr)		760,000	1,610,000	2,550,000	3,590,000	4,710,000	5,910,000
Updated POLICE COSTS	56,965,945	60,709,430	59,937,199	62,156,056	64,506,106	66,885,703	69,371,731
FIRE COSTS - BASE MODEL	27,580,234	28,669,066	29,240,512	29,819,340	30,409,445	31,015,435	31,633,479
4.0 Truck Staffing for Millenia	-	-	985,000	1,040,000	2,190,000	2,300,000	2,410,000
Fire Station Supplies and Services		-	160,000	160,000	320,000	320,000	320,000
Updated Fire Costs	27,580,234	28,669,066	30,385,512	31,019,340	32,919,445	33,635,435	34,363,479

Description	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
POLICE COSTS - BASE MODEL	64,774,754	66,115,351	67,437,658	69,460,788	71,544,612	73,690,950	75,901,679
Police Officer Funding (5/yr)	7,220,000	8,600,000	10,100,000	10,403,000	10,715,090	11,036,543	11,367,639
Updated POLICE COSTS	71,994,754	74,715,351	77,537,658	79,863,788	82,259,702	84,727,493	87,269,318
FIRE COSTS - BASE MODEL	32,263,817	32,906,691	33,564,824	34,571,769	35,608,922	36,677,190	37,777,506
4.0 Truck Staffing for Millenia	2,525,000	2,635,000	2,755,000	2,837,650	2,922,780	3,010,463	3,100,777
Fire Station Supplies and Services	320,000	320,000	320,000	329,600	339,488	349,673	360,163
Updated Fire Costs	35,108,817	35,861,691	36,639,824	37,739,019	38,871,190	40,037,325	41,238,445

scription	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
POLICE COSTS - BASE MODEL	78,178,729	80,524,091	82,939,814	85,428,008	87,990,848	90,630,574	93,349,491
Police Officer Funding (5/yr)	11,708,668	12,059,928	12,421,726	12,794,378	13,178,209	13,573,555	13,980,762
Updated POLICE COSTS	89,887,397	92,584,019	95,361,540	98,222,386	101,169,057	104,204,129	107,330,253
FIRE COSTS - BASE MODEL	38,910,831	40,078,156	41,280,500	42,518,915	43,794,483	45,108,317	46,461,567
4.0 Truck Staffing for Millenia	3,193,800	3,289,614	3,388,302	3,489,952	3,594,650	3,702,490	3,813,564
Fire Station Supplies and Services	370,968	382,097	393,560	405,366	417,527	430,053	442,955
Updated Fire Costs	42,475,599	43,749,866	45,062,362	46,414,233	47,806,660	49,240,860	50,718,086
Updated Fire Costs	42,475,599	43,749,866	45,062,362	46,414,233	47,806,660	49,240,860	

Description	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
POLICE COSTS - BASE MODEL	96,149,976	99,034,475	102,005,509	105,065,674	108,217,645	111,464,174	114,808,099	118,252,342
Police Officer Funding (5/yr)	14,400,185	14,832,191	15,277,156	15,735,471	16,207,535	16,693,761	17,194,574	17,710,411
Updated POLICE COSTS	110,550,160	113,866,665	117,282,665	120,801,145	124,425,180	128,157,935	132,002,673	135,962,753
FIRE COSTS - BASE MODEL	47,855,414	49,291,076	50,769,808	52,292,903	53,861,690	55,477,541	57,141,867	58,856,123
4.0 Truck Staffing for Millenia	3,927,971	4,045,810	4,167,185	4,292,200	4,420,966	4,553,595	4,690,203	4,830,909
Fire Station Supplies and Services	456,243	469,931	484,029	498,550	513,506	528,911	<i>544,779</i>	561,122
Updated Fire Costs	52,239,629	53,806,817	55,421,022	57,083,653	58,796,162	60,560,047	62,376,848	64,248,154

Description	30
POLICE COSTS - BASE MODEL	121,799,912
Police Officer Funding (5/yr)	18,241,723
Updated POLICE COSTS	140,041,636
FIRE COSTS - BASE MODEL	60,621,806
4.0 Truck Staffing for Millenia	4,975,836
Fine Chatien Cumulies and Comises	577,956
Fire Station Supplies and Services	,
Tire Station Supplies and Services Updated Fire Costs	66,175,598

# **Table - Public Safety Costs by Land Use**

Police Calls for Serv	rice by Land Use											
Dwelling Type	Call Volume (CV) <sup>(1)</sup>	Existing EDUs	Existing CV / DU	Future EDUs	Projected Future CV	Future SDF <sup>(4)</sup>		% OF CV	COSTS		COST	r per edu
Single Family Units Multi-Family Units	123,906,773 103,105,371	36,084 27,814	3,434 3,707	4,854 22,467	16,667,871 83,283,023	14.957% 74.735%	_	50.55% 42.07%	\$ 28,7	65,945 97,864 63,294		798 862
Land Use Commercial Acres Industrial Acres Citywide Total	Call Volume (CV) (1) 15,176,274 2,915,398 245,103,815	Existing Acres (2)  936 834	Existing CV / Acre 16,214 3,496	Future Acres (3)  518 882	Projected Future CV 8,405,596 3,081,488	Future SDF <sup>(4)</sup> 7.543% 2.765%		% <b>OF CV</b> 6.19% 1.19%		27,202 77,584	\$	OST PER ACRE 3,768 812
Fire Calls for Servic	e by Land Use	Eviativa EDUa		Future EDUs								
Dwelling Type	(CFS) (1)	Existing EDUs (2)	Existing CFS / DU	(3)	Projected Future CFS	Future SDF <sup>(4)</sup>	L	% OF CV	\$ 27.5	80,234	COST	F PER EDU
Single Family Units Multi-Family Units	3,893 2,161 6,054	36084 27814	0.10789218 0.07768169	4854 22466.6667	523.708639 1745.248693	21.230% 70.749%		61.137% 33.930%	\$ 16,8	60,234 61,629 57,870	\$ \$	467.29 336.44
Land Use	Call Volume (CV) (1)	Existing Acres (2)	/ Acre	Future Acres	Projected Future CV	Future SDF <sup>(4)</sup>		% OF CV	COSTS			OST PER ACRE
Commercial Acres Industrial Acres	267 47 314 6,368	936 834 -	0.28504274 0.05681055	518.417 881.513	147.7709996 50.07923974			4.190% 0.744%		55,529 05,206	\$ \$	1,234.54 246.05

 $<sup>^{(1)}</sup>$  Calls for Service include all calls for service responded to by the Fire Department during a one-year period.

<sup>(2)</sup> Existing development data is per General Plan Update Fiscal Model.

<sup>(3)</sup> Future development data is per March 2006 PFDIF Update - Development Forecast.

<sup>(4)</sup> SDF - Service Demand Factor



#### Maintenance Costs -Project Specific

,,,,,,,																		
YEAR	_	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Streets & Lighting			•	•	•		·											
Lane miles		3.4	7.1	7.1	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2
Cost per unit	\$	22,720 \$	23,174 \$	23,638 \$	24,111 \$	24,593 \$	25,085 \$	25,586 \$	26,098 \$	26,620 \$	27,153 \$	27,696 \$	28,249 \$	28,814 \$	29,391 \$	29,979 \$	30,578 \$	31,190
Growth Factor		2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Extended Cost	\$	77,248 \$	164,538 \$	167,829 \$	197,707 \$	201,661 \$	205,695 \$	209,809 \$	214,005 \$	218,285 \$	222,651 \$	227,104 \$	231,646 \$	236,279 \$	241,004 \$	245,824 \$	250,741 \$	255,755
Parks																		
Acres		2.90	2.90	5.13	13.24	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63
Less: Acres by CFD		1.97	1.97	3.48	8.98	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60
Net Acres		0.93	0.93	1.65	4.26	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03
Cost per unit	\$	30,175 \$	30,779 \$	31,394 \$	32,022 \$	32,662 \$	33,316 \$	33,982 \$	34,662 \$	35,355 \$	36,062 \$	36,783 \$	37,519 \$	38,269 \$	39,035 \$	39,815 \$	40,612 \$	41,424
Growth Factor		2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Extended Cost	\$	28,208 \$	28,772 \$	51,843 \$	136,454 \$	164,292 \$	167,578 \$	170,929 \$	174,348 \$	177,835 \$	181,391 \$	185,019 \$	188,720 \$	192,494 \$	196,344 \$	200,271 \$	204,276 \$	208,362
Total Maintenance Costs	-	10E 4E6 ¢	102 211 €	210.672 \$	224161 €	26E 0E2 &	272 272 ¢	200 720 ¢	200 252 6	206 120 \$	404 042 €	412122 ¢	420.26E €	420 772 ¢	427 240 €	446 00E &	4EE 017 €	464 117



#### **Maintenance Costs -**Project Specific

Streets & Lighting
Lane miles
Cost per unit
Growth Factor
Extended Cost

	18		19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
	8.2		8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2
	\$ 31,813	\$	32,450	\$ 33,099	\$ 33,761	\$ 34,436	\$ 35,125	\$ 35,827	\$ 36,544	\$ 37,275	\$ 38,020	\$ 38,780	\$ 39,556	\$ 40,347
	2.00%		2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
-	\$ 260.871	¢	266 088	\$ 271.410	\$ 276.838	\$ 282.375	\$ 288 022	\$ 203.783	\$ 200,658	\$ 305,651	\$ 311.764	\$ 318,000	\$ 324.360	\$ 330.847

Parks
Acres
Less: Acres by CFD
Net Acres
Cost per unit
Growth Factor
Extended Cost

**Total Maintenance Costs** 

	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63	15.63
	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60	10.60
	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03
	42,252	\$ 43,097 \$	43,959 \$	44,838 \$	45,735 \$	46,650 \$	47,583 \$	48,535 \$	49,505 \$	50,495 \$	51,505 \$	52,535 \$	53,586
	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
- 5	212,529	\$ 216,780 \$	221,115 \$	225,537 \$	230,048 \$	234,649 \$	239,342 \$	244,129 \$	249,012 \$	253,992 \$	259,072 \$	264,253 \$	269,538
-	472 400	402.000 É	402 525 6	E02 27E 6	E12.422 6	E22 (71 6	E22 12E - ê	E42 707 É	EE4.000 6	ECE 7EC &	E22.021 ¢	E00 (12 d	C00 20F



CHULA VISTA  Existing Library Square Feet Current Budget Cost Per Square Foot	\$ 3,91 \$	02,000 16,621 38.40																		
Library - Project Specific Costs	<i>i</i>				_			_												
YEAR	_		1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Library (Project)																				
Square Feet			30,00	0	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
Percentage			100.00		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%						100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		
Cost per unit		\$	38.40		39.17	\$ 39.95	\$ 40.75	\$ 41.56	\$ 42.39	\$ 43.24	\$ 44.11	\$ 44.99	\$ 45.89	\$ 46.81	\$ 47.74	\$ 48.70	\$ 49.67	\$ 50.67	\$ 51.68	\$ 52.71
Cost		\$	1,151,94		1,174,986	\$ 1,198,486	\$ 1,222,456	\$ 1,246,905			\$ 1,323,225		\$ 1,376,684	\$ 1,404,217	\$ 1,432,302	\$ 1,460,948	\$ 1,490,167			
Growth Factor		_	2.00		2.00%	2.00%	2.00%							2.00%	2.00%		2.00%	2.00%		
Extended Cost		\$	1,174,98	5 \$	1,198,486	\$ 1,222,456	\$ 1,246,905	\$ 1,271,843	\$ 1,297,280	\$ 1,323,225	\$ 1,349,690	\$ 1,376,684	\$ 1,404,217	\$ 1,432,302	\$ 1,460,948	\$ 1,490,167	\$ 1,519,970	\$ 1,550,369	\$ 1,581,377	\$ 1,613,004
Population	ı		272,73	6	277,555	282,375	287,195	292,015	296,835	301,655	306,475	311,295	316,115	320,935	325,755	330,575	335,395	340,215	342,066	343,844
Per Capita Unit Cost	t	\$	4.3	1 \$	4.32	\$ 4.33	\$ 4.34	\$ 4.36	\$ 4.37	\$ 4.39	\$ 4.40	\$ 4.42	\$ 4.44	\$ 4.46	\$ 4.48	\$ 4.51	\$ 4.53	\$ 4.56	\$ 4.62	\$ 4.69



102,000 \$ 3,916,621 \$ 38.40

YEAR		18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Library (Project)														
Square Feet		30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
Percentage		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Cost per unit	\$	53.77	\$ 54.84	\$ 55.94	\$ 57.06	\$ 58.20	\$ 59.36	\$ 60.55	\$ 61.76	\$ 63.00	\$ 64.26	\$ 65.54	\$ 66.85	\$ 68.19
Cost	\$ 1,6	513,004	\$ 1,645,264	\$ 1,678,170	\$ 1,711,733	\$ 1,745,968	\$ 1,780,887	\$ 1,816,505	\$ 1,852,835	\$ 1,889,892	\$ 1,927,690	\$ 1,966,243	\$ 2,005,568	\$ 2,045,680
Growth Factor		2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Extended Cost	\$ 1,6	545,264	\$ 1,678,170	\$ 1,711,733	\$ 1,745,968	\$ 1,780,887	\$ 1,816,505	\$ 1,852,835	\$ 1,889,892	\$ 1,927,690	\$ 1,966,243	\$ 2,005,568	\$ 2,045,680	\$ 2,086,593
Population	3	345,651	347,440	349,185	350,894	352,560	354,241	355,895	357,524	359,126	360,683	362,220	363,786	365,344
Per Canita Unit Cost	\$	4.76	\$ 4.83	\$ 4.90	\$ 498	\$ 5.05	\$ 513	\$ 5.21	\$ 5.29	\$ 537	\$ 545	\$ 5.54	\$ 5.62	\$ 5.71

construction "best practices," that would reduce GHG emissions. These "best practices" represent an improvement above conventional construction practices, and thus are an improvement above "business as usual." Therefore, impacts would be less than significant.

### Analysis of the Proposed SPA Plan Amendment

The proposed project would not expand or increase the development footprint as previously evaluated in the EIR. The proposed plan amendment involves changes to the statistics on the Site Utilization Plan. The proposed amendment would reduce the maximum development level by approximately 163,000 square feet. Due to the reduction in total development and average daily trips compared to the approved project, energy usage and water demand would be reduced under the proposed project. Therefore, GHG emissions would be reduced under the proposed project. The GHG reducing project features contained in the EUC SPA Plan's Sustainability Element would still be applicable to the proposed project. No additional global climate change impacts would occur and the conclusions and mitigation measures identified in the previously-certified Final EIR remain accurate and applicable to the proposed project.

### V. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings and information contained in the previously-certified EIR, the analysis above, the CEQA statute and State CEQA Guidelines, including Sections 15164 and 15162, the proposed modifications will not result in any new, increased, or substantially different impacts, other than those previously considered and addressed in the Project EIR. The proposed project will result in only minor technical changes or additions which are necessary to make the Environmental Impact Report adequate under CEQA. No changes or additions to the previously-certified EIR analyses are necessary, nor is there a need for any additional mitigation measures. Therefore, a Supplemental EIR is not required. This Addendum to the EIR is the appropriate environmental documentation for the proposed modifications to the project.

Jeff Steichen

Associate Planner

City:	Master Developer:
CITY OF CHULA VISTA, a chartered California municipal corporation	SLF IV - MILLENIA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company
By Its	BY: SLF IV Millenia Investor, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, its sole and managing member
	BY: Stratford Land Fund IV, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, its co-managing member
	BY: Stratford Fund IV GP, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, its general partner
	By: Kimbuly Barnes Name: Kumberly Barnes Title: Vice President
ATTEST:	
By	
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
CITY ATTORNEY	HECHT SOLBERG ROBINSON GOLDBERG & BAGLEY LLP
By	By

[notary page to First Amendment to Development Agreement]

A Notary Public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy or validity of that document.

STATE OF TEXAS	)
	)ss
COUNTY OF DALLAS	)

On July 9, 2018, before me, PAIGE ATKINSON, Notary Public, personally appeared NIMBERLY BARNES, personally known to me (or who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of Texas that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature Kurg Ultenson (Seal

