Tori Massie

Baldwin \& Sons
Project Coordinator
610 West Ash, Suite 1500
San Diego, California 92101

## Subject: PA-12 East - Addendum to Prior Noise Study

Dear Ms. Massie:
Dudek has completed this focused noise re-assessment for the Otay Ranch Freeway Commercial Sectional Planning Area (SPA) Plan - Planning Area 12 (PA-12), in the City of Chula Vista, California. This letter specifically addresses the East portion of the PA-12 development, specifically east of Town Center Drive. At your request, we have re-evaluated the adjacent roadway traffic noise and BRT noise based upon current site information. Please note that all sound levels in this report are A-weighted. Definitions of acoustical terms used in this report are provided in Attachment 1.

## CITY NOISE CRITERIA

The City of Chula Vista General Plan Noise Element indicates that the maximum allowable exterior noise level for new residential developments is a Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL) of 65 A-weighted decibels (dBA) (City of Chula Vista 2005). California Building Code (Part 2, Title 24, California Code of Regulations) requires that the interior noise level attributable to exterior noise sources not exceed 45 dBA CNEL for multi-family residential buildings.

The City of Chula Vista also requires that interior noise levels attributable to exterior noise sources not exceed a CNEL of 45 dBA within residences. Typically, with the windows open, building shells provide approximately 15 dBA of noise reduction. Therefore, rooms exposed to an exterior CNEL greater than 60 dBA could result in an interior CNEL greater than 45 dBA . The California Building Code recognizes this relationship and therefore requires interior noise studies when the exterior noise level is projected to exceed 60 dBA CNEL.

## METHODOLOGY

The exterior noise analysis follows the same general procedure outlined in Noise Assessment Technical Report for the Otay Ranch Freeway Commercial Sectional Planning Area (SPA)

Plan - Planning Area 12 (PA-12). Average Daily Traffic (ADT) data for the adjacent arterial roadways was input in a computer model along with topographical data and site plan information. CadnaA (Computer Aided Noise Abatement) is a software program for calculation, presentation, assessment and prediction of environmental noise. This program was used to build an updated exterior noise model for the project specific area.

For the updated modeling, the more detailed site plan provided by the applicant was utilized for the analysis. Figure 1 shows the location of the modeled receiver based on these updated plans.

## TRAFFIC NOISE

Olympic Parkway and Eastlake Parkway make up the northern and eastern boundaries of the site. These two roads are the focus of the traffic noise modeling update. The posted speed on Olympic Parkway is $50 \mathrm{mph}(80 \mathrm{kmh})$. This speed was assumed for both Olympic Parkway and Eastlake Parkway. Table 1 shows the traffic data used for the noise model.

Table 1
Traffic Volumes Associated with Local Roadways Segments

| Road Segment | Existing ADT | Existing + Project <br> ADT | Horizon Year ADT | Horizon Year + <br> Project ADT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Olympic Parkway | 35,608 | 39,310 | 48,000 | 51,700 |
| Eastlake Parkway | 12,092 | 13,030 | 23,660 | 24,600 |

Source: Chen Ryan. 2015
Utilizing the most recent plan sets and grading elevations available for the mixed use product types currently planned for the site, we refined a traffic noise model in CadnaA for the project. The same traffic volumes as used in the prior noise Addendum (Dudek 2015) were utilized for this analysis - specifically, the Horizon Year future traffic volumes as provided by Chen Ryan (Chen Ryan 2015), because these volumes are still current (i.e., there have been no revised projections).

Modeled receiver points were placed at the proposed poolside location, the parklet, and other outdoor areas as well as balcony areas, as shown in Figure 1. Receiver heights corresponding to 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th floor elevations were modeled for balconies, based on the provided plans (Baldwin and Sons 2018). The planned pool area was also incorporated into the updated model.

Table 2 and Table 3 show the updated calculated exterior traffic noise levels. To place the reported exterior noise levels in context, where noise levels exceed 65 A-weighted decibels (dBA) Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL), exterior mitigation is required; At locations
where exterior noise levels exceed 60 dBA CNEL, interior analysis is required. Numbers displayed in bold indicate where levels exceed 65 dBA CNEL, and italicized numbers indicate where levels exceed 60 dBA CNEL.

Table 2
Summary of On-Site Traffic Noise Levels1 (dBA CNEL) at Outdoor Use Locations

| Receiver Name | Existing | Existing Plus <br> Project | Horizon | Horizon Year <br> Plus Project |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M1 Parklet / Fire Access | 65 | 65 | 68 | 68 |
| M2 Outdoor Corridor Area | 54 | 54 | 56 | 56 |
| M3 Area Amenities North Patio (Playground) | 65 | 65 | 66 | 67 |

## Notes:

Bold = noise level exceeds 65 dBA CNEL Standard for exterior noise.
Italics = noise level exceeds 60 dBA CNEL, indicating that the interior noise standard could be exceeded.
Table 3

## Summary of On-Site Traffic Noise Levels (dBA CNEL) at Balconies

| Receiver Location <br> On Map | Floor (Level) | Existing | Existing Plus Project | Horizon | Horizon Year Plus <br> Project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | F1 | 65 | 66 | 66 | 67 |
| A1 | F2 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A1 | F3 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 62 |
| A1 | F4 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| A2 | F1 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A2 | F2 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A2 | F3 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 63 |
| A2 | F4 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 63 |
| A3 | F1 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 65 |
| A3 | F2 | 63 | 64 | 64 | 65 |
| A3 | F3 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A3 | F4 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A4 | F1 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 69 |
| A4 | F2 | 64 | 64 | 65 | 65 |
| A4 | F3 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A4 | F4 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A5 | F1 | 65 | 65 | 66 | 66 |
| A5 | F2 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 65 |
| A5 | F3 | 62 | 63 | 63 | 64 |
| A5 | F4 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A6 | F1 | 66 | 67 | 67 | 68 |
| A6 | F2 | 63 | 63 | 64 | 65 |
| A6 | F3 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 64 |
| A6 | F4 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
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Table 3
Summary of On-Site Traffic Noise Levels (dBA CNEL) at Balconies

| Receiver Location On Map | Floor (Level) | Existing | Existing Plus Project | Horizon | Horizon Year Plus Project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A7 | F1 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 68 |
| A7 | F2 | 63 | 63 | 64 | 64 |
| A7 | F3 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A7 | F4 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A8 | F1 | 67 | 67 | 68 | 69 |
| A8 | F2 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 64 |
| A8 | F3 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| A8 | F4 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B1 | F1 | 64 | 64 | 65 | 66 |
| B1 | F2 | 65 | 65 | 66 | 66 |
| B1 | F3 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B1 | F4 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B2 | F1 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 69 |
| B2 | F2 | 63 | 63 | 64 | 64 |
| B2 | F3 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B2 | F4 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 63 |
| B3 | F1 | 66 | 67 | 67 | 68 |
| B3 | F2 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 64 |
| B3 | F3 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B3 | F4 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B4 | F1 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 67 |
| B4 | F2 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B4 | F3 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B4 | F4 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B5 | F1 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 66 |
| B5 | F2 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B5 | F3 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 63 |
| B5 | F4 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 63 |
| B6 | F1 | 69 | 69 | 70 | 70 |
| B6 | F2 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B6 | F3 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B6 | F4 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B7 | F1 | 68 | 69 | 70 | 70 |
| B7 | F2 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 64 |
| B7 | F3 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B7 | F4 | 62 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B8 | F1 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 58 |
| B8 | F2 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B8 | F3 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 63 |
| B8 | F4 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| B9 | F1 | 48 | 48 | 50 | 50 |

Table 3

## Summary of On-Site Traffic Noise Levels (dBA CNEL) at Balconies

| Receiver Location <br> On Map | Floor (Level) | Existing | Existing Plus Project | Horizon | Horizon Year Plus <br> Project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B9 | F2 | 59 | 60 | 62 | 62 |
| B9 | F3 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 62 |
| B9 | F4 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 62 |
| B10 | F1 | 61 | 61 | 63 | 63 |
| B10 | F2 | 60 | 60 | 62 | 62 |
| B10 | F3 | 61 | 61 | 63 | 63 |
| B10 | F4 | 61 | 61 | 63 | 63 |
| B11 | F1 | 54 | 55 | 57 | 57 |
| B11 | F2 | 56 | 56 | 58 | 59 |
| B11 | F3 | 58 | 58 | 61 | 61 |
| B11 | F4 | 58 | 59 | 61 | 61 |

Notes:
Bold = noise level exceeds 65 dBA CNEL Standard for exterior noise.
Italics = noise level exceeds 60 dBA CNEL, indicating that the interior noise standard could be exceeded.
Balcony barriers. For exterior noise levels at the multi-family residential buildings, the Otay Ranch GDP has policies in place to require appropriate sound attenuation project features for all required residential open space and public open space areas that are exposed to a noise level of 65 dBA CNEL or greater. Consistent with these policies, balconies planned on these residential units that are counted as part of an open space requirement would need to incorporate appropriate sound attenuating project features around the perimeter of the balconies so as not to exceed the 65 dB CNEL threshold.

Based upon the data shown in Table 3, building receptors A1, A4, A5, A6, A7, A8, B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, and B7 at first-floor balconies/open space areas and B1 at the second floor balcony would require Plexiglass or other clear-view panels within the line-of-sight of Olympic Parkway if the balconies/open space areas are being used to satisfy the project's open space requirement. The height of such panels should be a minimum of 6 feet, in order to ensure a minimum noise reduction of 5 decibels.

Noise wall. Based upon the data shown in Table 2, the ground-floor level exterior common areas (Parklet and Northern Patio Amenity Area) within the line-of-sight of Olympic Parkway and Eastlake Parkway would require noise attenuation in the form of noise barriers. The height of such panels should be a minimum of 6 feet, in order to ensure a minimum noise reduction of 5 decibels. The noise barrier would be extended along Eastlake Parkway as shown in Figure 1 for the parklet. For the Northern Patio Amenity Area, the wall would need to be positioned as shown in Figure 1.

The noise barriers should have a surface density of at least four pounds per square foot and be free of openings and cracks (with the exception of expansion joints gaps and other construction techniques, which could create an opening or crack). The noise barriers may be constructed of acrylic glass, masonry material, earthen berm, or a combination of these materials.

With construction of a solid noise barrier between the Parklet and Eastlake Parkway, and the Northern Patio Amenity Area and Olympic Parkway, exterior noise impacts would be less than significant.

Interior Noise. Based upon Table 2, traffic noise levels would exceed 60 dB CNEL for residential units facing Olympic Parkway. These units/floors will require subsequent acoustical analyses to verify compliance with the state of California (CCR Title 24) and City of Chula Vista 45 dB CNEL interior noise standard.

## NOISE FROM BUS RAPID TRANSIT (BRT) LINE

As discussed in a prior noise study for the project (Dudek, 2015), the South Bay Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) route will be located along the future extension of East Palomar Street adjacent to the southern boundary of the project site. Noise sensitive receptors that would be affected by the South Bay Bus Rapid Transit project that would extend East Palomar Street along the southern portion of the project site include the multi-family residential buildings on the southwest portion of the PA-12 site. Previous noise modeling based on methodology identified by the Federal Transit Authority (FTA 2006) and utilizing the FHWA TNM 2.5 traffic noise model (FHWA) was conducted to determine the noise level associated with the South Bay Bus Rapid Transit project on a separate portion of Otay Ranch. It was determined that at a distance of 40 feet from the centerline of the nearest side of East Palomar Street the buildings would experience a maximum future noise level of 64 dB CNEL at the first floor.

Based upon recently discovered noise emission levels used for the South Bay Bus Rapid Transit Project (Kimley-Horn and Associates, 2012), the BRT line is anticipated to result in 60 dB CNEL at a distance of 50 feet. This would equate to a 65 dB CNEL at a distance of 28 feet from the BRT centerline. Providing that the nearest residential units are not located within 28 feet of the BRT centerline, the exterior use areas (patios or balconies) would not exceed the City's exterior noise standard. Additionally, residential units within 50 feet of the BRT centerline would require subsequent acoustical analysis to verify compliance with the state of California (CCR Title 24) and the City of Chula Vista 45 dB CNEL interior noise standard. Based upon the current site plans, none of the buildings in the PA- 12 east portion of the site are located within 50 feet of the BRT centerline. Therefore, noise impacts from the BRT line would be less than significant. No noise mitigation would be required for the BRT line noise.

## MITIGATION

1. Consistent with Mitigation Measure 5.5-1 of the approved EIR (City of Chula Vista 2002), and to comply with the City and State's 45 dB CNEL interior noise standard, the following mitigation measure is required:
a. Prior to the approval of site development plans, the applicant shall submit a supplemental noise analysis acceptable to the Director of Planning and Building demonstrating that interior noise levels would not exceed 45 dB CNEL.
b. A noise barrier with a minimum height of 6 feet shall be constructed along the eastern edge of the site next to Eastlake Parkway, unless that proposed open space area is not needed to meet the project's exterior open space requirement. Figure 1 shows the location of the barrier.
c. A noise barrier with a minimum height of 6 feet shall be constructed (as shown in Figure 1) to block the noise from Olympic Parkway from the Northern Patio Amenity Area.
d. Building receptors $\mathrm{A} 1, \mathrm{~A} 4, \mathrm{~A} 5, \mathrm{~A} 6, \mathrm{~A} 7, \mathrm{~A} 8, \mathrm{~B} 1, \mathrm{~B} 2, \mathrm{~B} 3, \mathrm{~B} 4, \mathrm{~B} 5, \mathrm{~B} 6$, and B 7 would require Plexiglass or other clear-view panels at first-floor balconies/open space areas within the line-of-sight Olympic Parkway if the balconies/open space areas are used to satisfy the project's open space requirement.

This completes this focused noise report for the PA-12 East project. Should you have any questions regarding the above information, please call me at 760.479.4248.

Sincerely,


Brian Grover
Environmental Specialist/Project Manager
bgrover@dudek.com
760.479.4248


Christopher Barnobi, INCE Bd.Cert.
Environmental Acoustician
cbarnobi@dudek.com
Att.: Figure 1
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## Term

Ambient Noise Level

A-Weighted Sound Level, (Dba)

Community Equivalent
Sound Level (CNEL)

Decibel, (dB)

Time-Average Sound Level

## Definition

The composite of noise from all sources near and far. The normal or existing level of environmental noise at a given location.

The sound pressure level in decibels as measured on a sound level meter using the A-weighted filter network. The A-weighting filter de-emphasizes the very low and very high frequency components of the sound in a manner similar to the frequency response of the human ear and correlates well with

CNEL is the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound exposure (CNEL) level for a 24 -hour period with a 10 dB adjustment added to sound levels occurring during the nighttime hours ( 10 p.m. to 7 a.m.) and 5 dB added to the sound during the evening hours ( 7 p.m. to $10 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.).

A unit for measuring sound pressure level and is equal to 10 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of the measured sound pressure squared to a reference pressure, which is 20 micropascals.

The sound level corresponding to a steady state level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given sample period. TAV is designed to average all of the loud and quiet sound levels occurring over a time period.
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September 25, 2017


Prepared by:
Dexter Wilson Engineering, Inc.
2234 Faraday Avenue
Carlsbad, CA 92008
(760) 438-4422

Job No. 605-835

## Background

The proposed PA-12 project is located in the Otay Ranch Freeway Commercial core area. The northern portion of the PA-12 project is identified as FC-2 in the August 2004 approved SPA plan. The FC-2 site consisted of 34.5 acres of property zoned commercial and entitled for 347,000 square feet of commercial. A SPA amendment was processed in 2015 to change the entitlement to allow 600 multi-family residential units, 300 hotel rooms, a 2.0 acre park site, and 15,000 square feet of commercial. Another SPA. Amendment is being proposed to increase the residential unit count to 900 units while leaving the remaining land uses unchanged.

## Purpose

The purpose of this letter-report is to provide an evaluation of the effect that this current proposed SPA amendment development will have on the PA-12 local and regional sewer system. This letter-report is a supporting document to the PA-12 SPA Plan Amendment being processed by Baldwin \& Sons.
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## Land Use Summary

Table 1 summarizes the previously approved development in the PA-12 SPA Amendment area along with the new development currently being proposed.

| TABLE 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PA-12 FREEWAY COMMERCIAL SPA AMENDMENT |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Originally | Currently <br> Entitled | Currently <br> Proposed |  |
| MF Residential Units | $\ldots$ | 600 units | 900 units |  |
| Hotels | $\ldots$ | 300 rooms | 300 rooms |  |
| Park | $\ldots$ | 2.0 acre | 2.0 acre |  |
| Commercial | 34.5 acres | 1.4 acres $^{1}$ | 1.4 acres 1 |  |

${ }^{2}$ Assumes gross acreage based on 15,000 SF of retail space and a floor to area ratio of 0.25 .

## Sewer Generation Factors

The City of Chula Vista has recently adopted new sewer generation factors to estimate flows from various land uses. Table 2 summarizes the sewer generation factors that were utilized in the preparation of this study.

| TABLE 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SEWER GENERATION FACTORS |  |
| Land Use | Generation Factor |
| MF Residential Units | $182 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{unit}$ |
| Hotels | $76 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{room}{ }^{1}$ |
| Park | $410 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ac}$ |
| Commercial | $1,401 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ac}$ |
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## Projected Sewer Flows

To evaluate the impact that the proposed land use changes will have on the sewer collection system, an estimate of projected sewage flows is necessary. The August 2004 approved SPA plan provided the projected sewer flows when the project was initially approved. Table 3 provides a comparison between projected sewer flows from the approved sewer study and based on the current land use plan with updated sewer generation factors, per the proposed PA. 12 Amendment. As shown, a total increase of approximately 448 EDUs is estimated from the 2004 SPA Plan.

| TABLE 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PA-12 SPA AMENDMENT SEWER FLOW SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Acres | Building Units | Generation Factor | Average Flow (gpd) |
| Originally Approved Sewer Flow |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 34.5 | --- | 2,500 gpd/ac | 86,250 |
| Current Proposed Sewer Flow |  |  |  |  |
| MF Residential Units | --- | 900 | $182 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{unit}$ | 163,800 |
| Hotels | --- | 300 | $76 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{unit}^{1}$ | 22,800 |
| Park | 2.0 | --- | $410 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ac}$ | 820 |
| Commercial | 1.4 | --- | 1,401 gpd/ac | 1,960 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 189,380 |
| Increased Sewer Flow |  |  |  | 103,130 |
| Increased Sewer EDUs ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | 448 |

${ }^{1}$ Based on 0.33 EDU per room.
${ }^{2}$ Based on $230 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{EDU}$.
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## Comparison to DIF Report

Since our evaluation of the Poggi Canyon Interceptor will be largely based on the April 2009 Poggi Canyon Basin Gravity Sewer Development Impact Fee Update (DIF Report), a comparison of the current land use plan and proposed amendment versus the assumptions in the DIF Report is necessary. Table 4 provides the sewer flow projections for the current land use plan for the proposed amendment compared to the 2009 DIF Report. As shown, the Poggi Basin projections in the 2009 DFF Report would be increased by approximately 403 EDUs based on the current plan for the proposed PA-12 SPA Amendment.

| TABLE 4 <br> FREEWAY COMMER CIAL SPA AMENDMENT <br> POGGI BASIN EDU SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Quantity | Unit Flow <br> Factor | Average <br> Flow, gpd | EDUs |  |
| 2009 DIF Study |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-1 | 30.4 Ac | $2,500 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ac}$ | 76,000 | 330.4 |  |
| C-2 | 8.2 Ac | $2,500 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ac}$ | 20,500 | 89.1 |  |
| Subtotal 2009 DIF Study |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Plan with Amendment |  |  |  |  |  |
| MF Res. | 900 units | $182 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{unit}$ | 163,800 | 712.2 |  |
| Hotels | 300 units | $76 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{unit}$ | 22,800 | 99.1 |  |
| Park | 2.0 Ac | $410 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ac}$ | 820 | 3.6 |  |
| Commercial | 1.4 | $1,401 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ac}$ | 1,960 | 8.5 |  |
| Subtotal Current Plan with Amendment | 820 |  |  |  |  |
| Increase |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Based on 230 gpd/EDU. 2009 DIF Study was based on 265 gpd/EDU.

## Onsite Sewer System

The proposed onsite sewer system for the PA-12 SPA area consists of gravity sewer lines that will convey flow to the Poggi Canyon Interceptor in Olympic Parkway. Based on the average flow presented in Table 3 and a peak factor of 2.22 from the City Subdivision Manual, the projected peak flow for the project is 0.42 mgd . An 8 -inch gravity sewer line with a minimum slope of 1.0 percent is adequate to convey this total project flow.
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## Poggi Canyon Interceptor

The available capacity in the Poggi Canyon Interceptor was evaluated under the proposed condition. Data on the Poggi Canyon Interceptor was obtained from the April 2009 Poggi Canyon Basin Gravity Sewer Development Impact Fee Update prepared by PMC. Data from this report includes existing permitted EDUs in the basin as well as committed EDUs based on previous project approvals.

Since the time of the 2009 PMC Study a few projects have been proposed that will increase the amount of units to the Poggi Interceptor. The EDU projections from these projects have not been adjusted based on the City's updated sewer generation factors. A brief description of these projects is provided as follows:

1. Village 2 Unit Transfer. As outlined in an August 4, 2011 memorandum, Baldwin and Sons processed a unit transfer that did not change the total unit count in Village 2, but transferred units between neighborhoods. The net effect of these transfers was a shift of 84 EDUs from the Wolf Canyon Basin to the Poggi Basin. These EDUs have been considered in this sewer system evaluation.
2. JPB Village 2 SPA Amendment. The JPB Village 2 SPA Amendment increased the unit count in Village 2 by 197 units. Per the November 21, 2011 Sewer System Evaluation that was done for this project, the net effect of this land use change was the addition of 160 EDUs to the Poggi Basin. These additional EDUs have been considered in this sewer system evaluation.
3. Village 2 Comprehensive SPA Amendment. Baldwin and Sons has proposed a comprehensive SPA Amendment that could increase the number of units in Village 2 by approximately 1,564 units. The impact of this would be an increase of 1,098 EDUs in the Poggi Basin. These numbers include the unit transfer and JPB Amendment discussed above.
4. Eastern Urban Center (EUC). The EUC was approved in September 2009, shortly after the 2009 PMC Study was prepared. The PMC Study did, however, anticipate the EUC project and included 429 EDUs from the EUC (Table 3-2) in the
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calculation of the Yogi Interceptor Fee. These units include 189 EDUs within the Poggi Basin and 240 EDUs that are proposed to be permanently diverted from the Salt Creek Basin to the Poggi Basin. The current estimate for the EUC is 457 EDOs and so an additional 28 EDUs from the EUC have been considered in this sewer system evaluation.

Table 5 provides a reach by reach summary of permitted and committed EDUs for the Poggi Interceptor and provides the impact that the PA-12 Amendment would have on remaining capacity. Exhibit A identifies the reach locations and indicates where the PA-12 EDOs will connect to the Poggi Interceptor. As shown in Table 4, the two reaches already identified for future replacement are shown as being over capacity. Upon approval of the proposed PA-12 Amendment, the Poggi Basin Gravity Sewer Development Impact Fee should be updated to reflect the additional units associated with this project.

## Conclusion

Although the proposed PA-12 Amendment will exceed the units foreseen in the 2009 Poggi DIF update, the limits of the required DIF improvements remain the same. The cost related to the DIF improvements has been identified in the Poggi DIF program and the PA12 Amendment project will be required to update the Poggi DIF study as a condition of approval for the project.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please let us know.

Dexter Wilson Engineering, Inc.


Stephen M. Nielsen, P.E.

SMN:ps
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| TABLE 5 <br> POGGI CANYON INTERCEPTOR SUMMARY <br> FREEWAY COMMERCLAL SCENARIO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reach | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capacity at }{ }^{1} \\ \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{D}=0.85 \\ \text { EDUs } \end{gathered}$ | Permitted EDUs |  | Committed EDUs ${ }^{3}$ |  | Freeway Commercial Armendment |  |  |
|  |  | Current ${ }^{2}$ | Remaining Capacity | Current ${ }^{2}$ | Remaining Capacity | Additional EDUs ${ }^{4}$ | Net EDUs Permitted Remaining | Net Committed Remaining EDUs |
| P102 to P140 | 21,162 | 11,602 | 9,560 | 16,204 | 4,958 | 1,529 | 8,031 | 3,429 |
| P140 to P175R | 25,569 | 11,602 | 13,967 | 16,204 | 9,365 | 1,529 | 12,438 | 7,836 |
| P175R to P195 | 41,361 | 11,602 | 29,759 | 16,204 | 25,157 | 1,529 | 28,430 | 23,628 |
| P195 to P230 | 21,162 | 10,726 | 10,436 | 15,328 | 5,834 | 1,529 | 8,907 | 4,305 |
| P230 to P240 | 18,927 | 10,053 | 8,874 | 14,655 | 4,262 | 1,529 | 7,335 | 2,733 |
| P240 to P253R | 18,927 | 10,053 | 8,874 | 14,655 | 4,262 | 1,529 | 7,335 | 2,733 |
| R253R to P270 | 14,028 | 9,763 | 4,265 | 14,365 | (337) | 1,529 | 2,736 | $(1,866)$ |
| P270 to P305 | 14,028 | 8,587 | 5,441 | 13,125 | 903 | 1,529 | 3,912 | (626) |
| P305 to P310 | 44,362 | 8,587 | 35,775 | 12,609 | 31,753 | 1,529 | 34,246 | 30,224 |
| P310 to P345 | 19,641 | 8,447 | 11,194 | 12,469 | 7,172 | 1,529 | 9,665 | 5,643 |
| P345 to P365 | 15,369 | 8,289 | 7,080 | 12,312 | 3,057 | 1,529 | 5,551 | 1,538 |
| P365 to P405 | 19,938 | 8,289 | 11,649 | 11,590 | 8,348 | 1,529 | 10,120 | 6,819 |
| P405 to P410 | 15,369 | 7,770 | 7,599 | 11,070 | 4,299 | 1,529 | 6,070 | 2,770 |
| w/s P410 to SR125 | 15,369 | 6,605 | 8,764 | 9,906 | 5,463 | 1,529 | 7,235 | 3,934 |

${ }^{2}$ These numbers bave not been updated based on the current sewer generation factors. ${ }_{4}^{4}$ Includes 1,098 EDUs from Village 2, 28 EDU's from the EUC, and 403 units from PA-12.
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MEMORANDUM

TO: Nick Lee, Baldwin \& Sons
FROM: Phuong Nguyen, PE
DATE: May 8, 2019
RE: Otay Ranch PA 12 Freeway Commercial North - Traffic Analysis Memorandum

The purpose of this technical memorandum is to assess the potential transportation related impacts and traffic operation along the PA 12 Freeway Commercial North development (Proposed Project).

## Project Description

The Otay Ranch PA 12 Freeway Commercial North development is located between SR-125 and Eastlake Parkway, just south of Olympic Parkway in the City of Chula Vista. The PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project consists of the following land uses:

- Up to 608 apartment units
- 292 townhomes
- 15,000 square feet of mixed use commercial
- 2 acres neighborhood park; and
- 300 rooms hotel

The Proposed Project is also located within 1,500 feet (less than 10 minutes of walking) of the Otay Ranch Town Center, grocery, banking, drugstore, postal services, both fast food and sit-down restaurants, as well as a BRT station.

Figure 1 illustrates the project site plan. As shown, project access is provided via two (2) driveways along Town Center Drive (one signalized with full access at the main entrance and one signalized without northbound left-turn in at the hotel entrance), and one (1) right-in/right-out driveway along Olympic Parkway, between Town Center Drive and Eastlake Parkway.

The PA 12 Freeway Commercial north project was previously approved for 347,000 square feet super regional shopping center uses under the Otay Ranch Freeway Commercial Sectional Planning Area (SPA) Plan Planning Area 12 EIR (SCH\#1989010154).
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This technical memorandum will be organized into the following sections:
1.0 Project Traffic Generation
2.0 Traffic Analysis for CEQA Clearance
3.0 Traffic Operation along Project Frontage

### 1.0 PROJECT TRAFFIC GENERATION

Table 1 displays daily and peak hour trip generation for the PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project, under both the 2002 EIR and the currently proposed land use. Trip generation rates were developed utilizing SANDAG's Guide to Vehicular Traffic Generation Rates for the San Diego Region (SANDAG, April 2002).

Table 1
PA 12 Freeway Commercial North Project Trip Generation

| Land Use | Quantity | Rate | Daily Trips | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Otay Ranch Freeway Commercial Sectional Planning Area (SPA) Plan Planning Area 12 EIR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Super Regional Shopping Center | 347 ksf | 35/1ksf | 12,145 | $\begin{gathered} 486 \\ \text { (340-in/146-out) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,215 \\ (607-\mathrm{in} / 608-\mathrm{out}) \end{gathered}$ |
| Proposed Project |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apartment (density $>20$ du/acre) | 608 units | 6/ unit AM: $8 \%(2: 8)$ PM: $9 \%(7: 3)$ | 3,648 | $\begin{gathered} 292 \\ (58 \text {-in / 234-out) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 328 \\ (230-\text { in } / 98 \text {-out }) \end{gathered}$ |
| Townhomes (density >20 du/acre) | 292 units | 6/ unit AM: $8 \%(2: 8)$ PM: $9 \%(7: 3)$ | 1,752 | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ (28 \text {-in / 112-out) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 158 \\ \text { (110-in / 47-out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Mixed-Use <br> Commercial Center | 15 KSF | $\begin{gathered} 110 / 1 \mathrm{ksf} \\ \text { AM: } 3 \%(6: 4) \\ \text { PM: } 9 \%(5: 5) \end{gathered}$ | 1,650 | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { (30-in } / 20 \text {-out) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 149 \\ \text { (75-in / 74-out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Neighborhood Park | 2 acres | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5/ Acre } \\ \text { AM: } 4 \%(5: 5) \\ \text { PM: } 4 \%(5: 5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0 \text {-in } / 0 \text {-out }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1-\mathrm{in} / 0 \text {-out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 15\% Transit and Mixed-Use Reduction* |  |  | -1,059 | $\begin{gathered} -72 \\ (-17-\text { in } /-55 \text {-out }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -96 \\ (-63 \text {-in } /-33 \text {-out }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Sub-Total |  |  | 6,001 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 410 \\ \text { (99-in / 311-out) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 540 \\ (354-\text { in } / 186 \text {-out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Business Hotel | 300 rooms | $7 /$ room AM: $8 \%(4: 6)$ PM: $9 \%(6: 4)$ | 2,100 | $\begin{gathered} 168 \\ (67 \text {-in / 101-out) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 189 \\ \text { (113-in / 76-out) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 10\% Transit Reduction** |  |  | -210 | $\begin{gathered} -17 \\ (-17-\text { in } /-10 \text {-out) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -19 \\ (-11-\text { in } /-8 \text {-out }) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10\% Walk/Bike Mode-Share Reduction* |  |  | -210 | $\begin{gathered} -17 \\ (-17 \text {-in } /-10 \text {-out }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -19 \\ (-11-\text { in } /-8-\text { out }) \end{gathered}$ |
| Sub-Total Business Hotel |  |  | 1,680 | $\begin{gathered} 134 \\ (53-\text { in } / 81 \text {-out }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 151 \\ (91 \text {-in } / 60-\text { out }) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 1
PA 12 Freeway Commercial North Project Trip Generation


Notes:
*Per SANDAG's Guide to Vehicular Traffic Generation Rates for the San Diego Region.
${ }^{* *} 10 \%$ Transit Reduction and 10\% Walk/Bike Mode-Share Reduction for Business Hotel Trips were obtained from the Ayres Hotel Trip Generation Memo by LLG (March 20, 2017), which was recently approved by City of Chula Vista City Council. A copy of the memo is included in Attachment A.

As shown, PA 12 Freeway Commercial North would generate approximately 7,681 daily trips including 544 and 691 trips during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. In comparison, the 2002 EIR land use would generate approximately 12,145 daily trips including 486 and 1,215 trips during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. The proposed project would generate less traffic both in daily trips (by $36.8 \%$ ) and PM peak hour trips (by 43.4\%) when comparing to the previously approved land use under the 2002, however, it would generate more traffic in the AM peak hour (by $11.9 \%$ or 58 trips). Since the currently proposed project would generate more trips than those already approved under the 2002 EIR in the AM peak hour, a focused traffic analysis was conducted to determine any potential impacts may be associated with the 58 additional AM Peak hour trips. Analysis was not conducted for the daily or PM peak as the proposed project would generate less than those in the 2002 EIR.

### 2.0 TRAFFIC ANALYSIS FOR CEQA CLEARANCE

As discussed above, the proposed project would generate more AM peak hour traffic (by 58 trips) than the previously approved 2002 EIR studied land uses, therefore, a focused traffic analysis was conducted to determine any potential impacts may be associated with these additional AM Peak hour trips.

### 2.1 Significant Impact Criteria

This section outlines the thresholds for determination of significant project-related impacts to intersections in the City of Chula Vista.

The City of Chula Vista define project impacts as either project specific impacts or cumulative impacts. Project specific impacts are those impacts for which the addition of project trips result in an identifiable degradation in level of service on an intersection, triggering the need for specific project-related improvement strategies. Cumulative impacts are those in which the project trips contribute to a poor level of service, at a nominal level.
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Criteria for determining whether the project results in either project specific or cumulative impacts on intersections are as follows:
(a) Project specific impact if both the following criteria are met:
i. Level of service is LOS E or LOS F.
ii. Project trips comprise 5\% or more of entering volume.
(b) Cumulative impact if only \#1 is met.

### 2.2 Project Study Area

The traffic analysis prepared for this memo was performed in accordance with City of Chula Vista traffic impact analysis guidelines. The City of Chula Vista's guidelines require that a project study area be established as follows:

- All freeway mainline segments to which the proposed project will add 2,400 total trips (Average Daily Traffic - ADT) or 150 or more peak hour trips in either direction must be analyzed.
- All arterial segments and intersections (including freeway on/off ramp intersections), to which the proposed project will add 800 or more total trips (ADT) or 50 or more peak-hour trips in either direction must be analyzed.

To provide a more conservative analysis, in addition to applying the City of Chula Vista traffic impact analysis guidelines, a couple of intersections in the vicinity of the project site were also added to this effort for a total of five (5) study intersections, as shown below:

1. Olympic Parkway \& East Palomar Street (Signal);
2. Olympic Parkway \& SR-125 SB Ramps (Signal);
3. Olympic Parkway \& SR-125 NB Ramps (Signal);
4. Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive (Signal); and
5. Olympic Parkway \& Eastlake Parkway (Signal).

### 2.3 Project Trip Distribution and Assignment

The project trip distribution patterns were developed based on existing travel patterns, the Proposed Project location in relation to nearby land uses and freeway access. Figure 2 displays the trip distribution patterns associated with the project.

Based upon the project trip distribution, AM peak hour project trips were assigned to the adjacent roadway network and displayed in Figure 3.
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### 2.4 Traffic Analysis

The section below provides the discussion on traffic analysis for the Proposed Project, under existing and horizon year (2030) conditions both without and with the PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project. The signalized intersection analysis utilized in this study conforms to the operational analysis methodology outlined in Chapter 19 of the Highway Capacity Manual 2010 (HCM 2010). The computerized analysis of intersection operations was performed utilizing the Synchro 10.1.2.20 traffic analysis software (by Trafficware). Signalized intersection signal timing plans were obtained from the City of Chula Vista on April 2018, and utilized in this analysis.

The following assumptions were utilized in conducting all intersection level of service analyses:

- Heavy Vehicle Factor: A 2\% heavy vehicle factor was assumed for all intersections within the study area. $2 \%$ is the standard, default heavy vehicle factor provided in HCM and Synchro 10.0 software.
- Peak Hour Factor: 0.95 or obtained from existing peak hour counts, whichever is greater.
- Signal Timing: Obtained from existing signal timing plans (as of May 2018). Based on discussion with City staff, the pedestrian Flash Don't Walk timing was adjusted from 4 feet per second to 3.5 feet per second. Traffic signal timing worksheets are included as Attachment C. All traffic signals were assumed to be optimized in Plus Project and future year analyses, optimization to the signal timing results in improvements in average delay.
- Pedestrian Calls per Hour: Peak hour pedestrian counts were collected at the intersection of Olympic Parkway and Town Center Drive. The counts indicated 2 pedestrian movements during the AM peak hours and 5 pedestrian movements during the PM peak hours. In order to provide a conservative analysis, 20 pedestrian calls per hour was utilized at all study intersections under all study scenarios.

Since the proposed PA 12 project is a mixed-use project and within a walking distance to the existing shopping center (Eastlake Terrace) to the north and the Otay Ranch Town Center to the South, it is reasonable to anticipate that additional pedestrian activities could occur in the study area. In order to estimate pedestrian trips generated by the proposed project, a number of research papers and published articles were reviewed in determining the most appropriate approach to derive future pedestrian trips:

- How to Estimate Pedestrian Demand by Kelly Clifton, Patrick Singleton, Christopher Muhs, and Robert Schneider, Portland State University (2015) - This research paper proposed to calculate pedestrian demand using a 4-step travel demand model as the base. The San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) no longer supports the 4-step travel demand model, which was superseded by the SANDAG Series 13 Activity Based Model (ABM). The ABM was used to develop the San Diego Forward: The Regional Plan (2015) report.
- San Diego Forward: The Regional Plan (2015) estimated that the daily mode share for walking in the Otay Ranch area would increase from 10.4\% under Base Year 2012 conditions to $10.6 \%$ under the Horizon Year 2050 conditions, which represents a small increase in walking mode share in the general area of Otay Ranch. However, this estimation is not site specific, therefore, not utilized for pedestrian trip generation
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calculation. The San Diego Forward: The Regional Plan daily mode shared was calculated using the SANDAG Series 13 ABM. https://www.sandag.org/index.asp?subclassid=120\&fuseaction=home.subclasshome

- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Bicycle/Pedestrian Trip Generation Workshop (1996) - This workshop provides a summary of the bicycle and pedestrian trip generation efforts as of 1996. The workshop information was superseded by the FHWA Guidebook on Methods to Estimate Non-Motorized Travel in 1999. See below.
- FHWA Guidebook on Methods to Estimate Non-Motorized Travel (1999) - The FHWA Guidebook recommended a variety of tools for estimating non-motorized travel, including comparison studies, aggregate behavior studies, sketch plan methods, discrete choice models, and the regional travel model. SANDAG has conducted a regionwide study in 2009 and 2010 to calculate trip generation for smart growth and mixed-use/transitoriented development (TOD) projects, which includes estimating pedestrian trips. See below.
- Trip Generation for Smart Growth - A Planning Toolfor the San Diego Region was a project led by SANDAG and called for as a strategic initiative of the Regional Comprehensive Plan (RCP) and is a component of the SANDAG Smart Growth Toolbox. This planning tool, MXD, is intended to be a resource for local agencies as they implement smart growth developments, considering the "7Ds" that are known to influence travel behavior: density, diversity, design, destination accessibility, development scale, demographics, and distance to transit. A number of applicable sites in the San Diego region including Chula Vista were studied in order to develop this San Diego specific tool. https://www.sandag.org/index.asp?projectid=378\&fuseaction=projects.detail

Based upon in-depth research and review of the various national methods to estimate pedestrian trip generation for an undeveloped site, the Trip Generation for Smart Growth - A Planning Tool (MXD) for the San Diego Region discussed above is determined to be the most suitable for PA 12.

Using the MXD analysis tool, the PA 12 project would generate 280 daily pedestrian trips, with 15 occurring during the AM peak hour and 23 during the PM peak hour. The spreadsheet is included in Attachment B. Based on the MXD results, existing pedestrian counts, and a conservative assumption that $75 \%$ ( 17.25 pedestrian trips) of the pedestrian trips generated by PA 12 would travel northward towards the Eastlake Terrace shopping center (this is especially conservative given that it is anticipated that the majority of the pedestrian trips will likely be between the project and the Otay Ranch Town Center) via the Olympic Parkway and Town Center Drive intersection. In addition, there are 5 PM peak hour pedestrian trips already exist currently according to the traffic counts in Attachment G. A total of 22.25 pedestrian trips can be assumed under the worst scenario would occur at the intersection of Olympic Parkway and Town Center Drive in the PM peak hour (future). For a conservative analysis 22.25 pedestrian trips was rounded up to 25 pedestrian trips per hour.
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Additionally, the PM peak hour cycle length at the intersection of Olympic Parkway and Town Center Drive is 145 seconds, which equates to approximately $25(3600 / 145=24.83)$ cycles per hour. The assumption of 25 pedestrian calls per hour is to assume that there is a pedestrian call during each and every cycle, meaning all 25 pedestrians arrive separately, which is ultra conservative. Therefore the intersection level of service analysis accounts for adequate pedestrian crossing time during every signal phase during the peak hour.

## Existing Conditions

Traffic counts were conducted in April 2018 and are provided in Attachment C. Figure 4 displays the existing AM peak hour intersection turning movement volumes, while Figure 5 illustrates the study intersection geometrics. Table $\mathbf{2}$ displays intersection AM peak hour LOS and average vehicle delay results for the key study area intersections under Existing conditions. LOS calculation worksheets for Existing AM Peak Hour conditions are provided in Attachment D. As shown in Table 2, all of the study intersections currently operate at acceptable LOS D or better during the AM peak hour.

Table 2
Peak Hour Intersection LOS Results - Existing Conditions

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Olympic Parkway \& East Palomar Street |  | Avg. Delay <br> (sec.) | LOS |
| 2. Olympic Parkway \& SR-125 SB Ramps | Signal | 32.4 | C |
| 3. Olympic Parkway \& SR-125 NB Ramps | Signal | 1.1 | A |
| 4. Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive | Signal | 31.8 | C |
| 5. Olympic Parkway \& Eastlake Parkway | Signal | 33.9 | C |

Source: NDS, Chen Ryan Associates; April 2019
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## Existing Plus Project Conditions

This section provides an analysis of existing traffic conditions with the addition of the PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project. Existing Plus Project traffic volumes were derived by combining the existing traffic volumes (displayed in Figure 4) and the project trip assignment volumes (displayed in Figure 3). AM peak hour traffic volumes for this scenario are displayed in Figure 6. All intersection geometrics are assumed to be the same as Existing conditions, with the exception of the following:

- Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive: The PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project is proposing to reconfigure the existing eastbound right-through share lane to an eastbound rightturn only. The project will also restripe the existing eastbound Class II bike lane into a buffered Class II bike lane, between SR-125 NB ramps and Town Center Drive.

Table 3 displays intersection LOS and average vehicle delay results under Existing Plus Project conditions. LOS calculation worksheets for the Existing Plus Project conditions are provided in Attachment E.

Table 3
Peak Hour Intersection LOS Results - Existing Plus Project Conditions

| Intersection | Traffic Control | AM Peak Hour |  | Delay w/o Project (sec) | LOS w/o <br> Project <br> AM | Project \% of Entering Volume (>5\%) | Significant Impact? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. Delay (sec) | LOS |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Olympic Parkway \& East Palomar Street | Signal | 37.8 | D | 32.4 | C | 3.80\% | No |
| 2. Olympic Parkway \& SR125 SB Ramps | Signal | 4.3 | A | 4.1 | A | 6.80\% | No |
| 3. Olympic Parkway \& SR125 NB Ramps | Signal | 1.2 | A | 1.5 | A | 8.80\% | No |
| 4. Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive | Signal | 40.8 | D | 31.8 | C | 11.90\% | No |
| 5. Olympic Parkway \& Eastlake Parkway | Signal | 41.0 | D | 33.9 | C | 4.80\% | No |

As shown in Table 3, all of the project study area intersections would continue to operate at acceptable LOS D or better with addition of the project traffic during the AM peak hour. The addition of project traffic would not result in any traffic impacts on any of the study intersections.
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## Horizon Year (2030) Conditions

This section provides an analysis of horizon Year 2030 traffic conditions both without and with the PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project. The horizon year without project traffic volumes were developed based on the Village 2 Comprehensive SPA Year 2030 SANDAG Series 11 Southbay2 model (dated $1 / 14 / 2013$ ). This model run included the most recently adopted City of Chula Vista's Circulation Element, as well as on-going land use development projects (i.e. University Villages and Village Two Comprehensive SPA Amendment).

Figures 7 and 8 show AM peak hour traffic volumes under both horizon Year 2030 "base" and "base plus project" conditions, respectively.

Table 4 displays intersection level of service and average vehicle delay results for the study intersections during the AM peak hour under both Year 2030 without and with project conditions. Level of service calculation worksheets for Year 2030 conditions are provided in Attachment F.

Table 4
Peak Hour Intersection LOS Results - Horizon Year 2030 Conditions

| Intersection | Traffic Control | AM Peak Hour |  | Avg. Delay w/o Project (sec) | LOS <br> w/o Project AM | Project \% of Entering Volume (>5\%) | Significant Impact? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. Delay (sec) | LOS |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Olympic Parkway \& East Palomar Street | Signal | 43.6 | D | 49.4 | D | 2.90\% | No |
| 2. Olympic Parkway \& SR-125 SB Ramps | Signal | 6.2 | A | 11.1 | B | 5.80\% | No |
| 3. Olympic Parkway \& SR-125 NB Ramps | Signal | 4.0 | A | 4.1 | A | 7.60\% | No |
| 4. Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive | Signal | 35.3 | D | 18.2 | B | 10.30\% | No |
| 5. Olympic Parkway \& Eastlake Parkway | Signal | 49.1 | D | 43.5 | D | 3.30\% | No |

Source: Chen Ryan Associates; April 2019

As shown in Table 4, all of the project study area intersections are projected to operate at acceptable LOS D or better during the AM peak hour under the horizon Year 2030 conditions both without and with the PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project. Thus, the addition of project traffic would not result in any traffic impacts at any of the study intersections.
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### 3.0 TRAFFIC OPERATIONS ALONG TOWN CENTER DRIVE

Traffic operations along project frontage (Town Center Drive) and all project access points were evaluated and reported in sections below. Project site plan is displayed in Figure 1, while Figure 9 displays the proposed roadway and driveway access geometrics.

## Existing Conditions

Figures 9 and 10 illustrate existing geometrics and traffic volumes, respectively. Traffic counts were conducted in October 2017 and are provided in Attachment G. Daily traffic counts were conducted on a typical Tuesday (Farmers Market Day) and on a typical Friday (heavy movie theater attendance). Based on a comparison between the daily traffic between Tuesday and Friday, it was determined that traffic on Friday is generally higher than Tuesday. Therefore, the peak hour turning movement counts for Tuesday were adjusted to account for the increase in traffic on a typical Friday. Volume adjustment results are provided in Attachment G. Table 5 displays intersection LOS and average vehicle delay results for the key study area intersections under Existing conditions. LOS calculation worksheets for Existing conditions are provided in Attachment $\mathbf{H}$.

## Table 5

Peak Hour Intersection LOS Results - Existing Conditions

| Intersection | Traffic Control | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. Delay (sec.) | LOS | Avg. Delay (sec.) | LOS |
| 4. Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive | Signal | 31.8 | C | 53.6 | D |
| 9. Town Center Drive \& Ring Road | Signal | 12.4 | B | 28.1 | C |

As shown in Table 5, the two study intersections along the project frontage currently operate at acceptable LOS D or better during both the AM and PM peak hours. .
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## Existing Plus Project Conditions

This section provides an analysis of existing traffic conditions with the addition of the PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project. Existing Plus Project traffic volumes were derived by combining the existing traffic volumes (displayed in Figure 10) and the project trip assignment volumes (displayed in Figure 11). Roadway and intersection geometrics are displayed in Figure 19, while traffic volumes for this scenario are displayed in Figure 12. All intersection geometrics are assumed to be the same as Existing conditions, with the exception of the following:

- Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive: The PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project is proposing to reconfigure the existing eastbound right-through share lane to an eastbound rightturn only with right-turn overlap. The project will also restripe the existing eastbound Class II bike lane into a buffered Class II bike lane, between SR-125 NB ramps and Town Center Drive.
- Olympic Parkway \& Promenade Street: The PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project is proposing to construct this intersection as a right-in/right-out one-way stop control intersection.
- Town Center Drive \& Centerpark Road: The PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project is proposing to construct this intersection as a one-way stop control intersection with a R10-7 "Do Not Block Intersection" sign located along the eastbound approach.
- Town Center Drive \& Promenade Street: The PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project is proposing to construct this intersection as a signalized intersection.
- Town Center Drive \& Ring Road: Reconfigure the southbound approach from a southbound leftright shared lane under Existing conditions to an exclusive southbound left and a southbound right turn lane.

Table 6 displays intersection LOS and average vehicle delay results under Existing Plus Project conditions. LOS calculation worksheets for the Existing Plus Project conditions are provided in Attachment I.

Table 6
Peak Hour Intersection LOS Results - Existing Plus Project Conditions

| Intersection | Traffic <br> Control | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | Delay w/o Project (sec) AM/PM | LOS w/o Project AM/PM | Project \% of Entering Volume (>5\%) | Significant Impact? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. <br> Delay <br> (sec) | LOS | Avg. <br> Delay <br> (sec) | LOS |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive | Signal | 40.8 | D | 54.8 | D | $31.8 / 53.6$ | C/D | 11.9\%/10.8\% | No |
| 6. Olympic Parkway \& Promenade Street | Right-in / Right-out* | 13.9 | B | 20.8 | C | NA | NA | 8.4\%/11.3\% | No |
| 7. Town Center Drive \& Centerpark Road | One-Way Stop Control* | 12.7 | B | 27.6 | D | NA | NA | 69.4\%/33.7\% | No |
| 8. Town Center Drive \& Promenade Street | Signal | 8.9 | A | 10.8 | B | NA | NA | 65.7\%/29.3\% | No |
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Table 6
Peak Hour Intersection LOS Results - Existing Plus Project Conditions

| Intersection | Traffic <br> Control | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | Delay w/o <br> Project <br> (sec) <br> AM/PM | LOS w/o Project AM/PM | Project \% of Entering Volume (>5\%) | Significant Impact? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. <br> Delay (sec) | LOS | Avg. <br> Delay <br> (sec) | LOS |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Town Center Drive \& Ring Road | Signal | 10.9 | B | 17.2 | B | 12.4 / 28.1 | B / C | 23.6\%/7.8\% | No |

Source: Chen Ryan Associates; April 2019
Notes:
*Indicates one or two-way stop-controlled intersections, the delay shown is the worst delay experienced by any of the approaches. $N A=$ Not analyzed under this scenario.

As shown in Table 6, both intersections of Town Center Drive \& Olympic Parkway and Town Center Drive \& Ring Road would continue to operate at acceptable LOS D or better with addition of the project traffic. All three proposed project driveways would operate at acceptable LOS D or better under Existing Plus Project conditions. The addition of project traffic would not result in any traffic impact within the project study area.


Otay Ranch PA 12 Freeway Commercial North Traffic Analysis Memorandum
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## Horizon Year (2030) Conditions

This section provides an analysis of Year 2030 traffic conditions both without and with the PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project. The Year 2030 geometrics are displayed in Figure 13, while Figures 14 and 15 show traffic volumes under both Horizon Year 2030 "base" and "base plus project" conditions, respectively.

Based upon the Chapter 2 of the Otay Ranch General GDP, Section B, page 209, which states that "Each village will provide a complex integrated system of roads, low-speed electric vehicles and bike paths, and pedestrian ways. The system is defined by individual road types that may be found in all villages except for the rural standard. However, the actual pattern of roads varies within each village in response to site features, circulation element roads, topography, land use organization, etc. While circulation element roads must adhere to prescribed levels of service, these interior roads are permitted to operate at less than established LOS. This is done to further encourage use of alternative modes of transportation." Therefore, the roadway capacity comparison provided below is for informational purpose only.

As shown in Figure 15, the projected 2030 with PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project daily traffic volumes along Town Center Drive are:

- Town Center Drive, north of the hotel driveway - 13,687 ADT;
- Town Center Drive, between the hotel driveway and apartment driveway - 11,766 ADT;
- Town Center Drive, south of the apartment driveway - 9,078 ADT.

Based on these forecast traffic volumes, Town Center Drive a Class II Collector (2-lanes with a raised median and left-turn pocket), which has a capacity of 15,000 ADT, would be sufficient to accommodate the project traffic along Town Center Drive.

Table 7 displays intersection level of service and average vehicle delay results for the study area intersections under both Year 2030 with and without project conditions. Level of service calculation worksheets for Year 2030 conditions are provided in Attachment J.




Figure 15
Horizon Year 2030 Plus Project Peak. Hour Volumes

## CHEN \# Ryan

Table 7
Peak Hour Intersection LOS Results - Horizon Year 2030 Conditions

| Intersection | Traffic <br> Control | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | Delay w/o Project (sec) AM/PM | LOS w/o Project AM/PM | Project \% of Entering Volume (>5\%) | Significant Impact? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Avg. <br> Delay <br> (sec) | LOS | Avg. <br> Delay <br> (sec) | LOS |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive | Signal | 35.3 | D | 48.1 | D | 18.2 / 52.2 | B / D | 11.9\% /10.6\% | No |
| 6. Olympic Parkway \& Promenade Street | Right-in / Right-out* | 14.2 | B | 20.9 | C | NA | NA | 6.8\%/11.2\% | No |
| 7. Town Center Drive \& Centerpark Road | One-Way Stop Control* | 13.2 | B | 29.0 | D | NA | NA | 64.0\%/32.9\% | No |
| 8. Town Center Drive \& Promenade Street | Signal | 9.1 | A | 9.9 | A | NA | NA | 61.2\%28.5\% | No |
| 9. Town Center Drive \& Ring Road | Signal | 11.1 | B | 21.3 | C | 8.7 / 14.3 | A/B | 17.8\%/6.8\% | No |

Source: Chen Ryan Associates; April 2019

## Notes:

*Indicates one or two-way stop-controlled intersections, the delay shown is the worst delay experienced by any of the approaches.
$N A=$ Not analyzed under this scenario.

As shown in Table 7, both intersections of Town Center Drive \& Olympic Parkway and Town Center Drive \& Ring Road are projected to operate at acceptable LOS D or better under the horizon Year 2030 conditions without and with the PA 12 Freeway Commercial North project. All three proposed project driveways would also operate at acceptable LOS D or better. The addition of project traffic would not result in any traffic impact within the study area.

In addition to the intersection level of service analysis, queuing analysis was also conducted to assist in determining the proposed driveway locations and ensure the provision of adequate storage length since the two proposed project driveways along Town Center Drive are located closely to the intersection of Town Center Drive \& Olympic Parkway and Town Center Drive \& Ring Road.

The following five movements are considered critical movements:

- Northbound left-turn at the intersection of Town Center Drive \& Olympic Parkway;
- Eastbound left-turn at the intersection of hotel driveway @ Town Center Drive;
- Southbound left-turn at the main project driveway @ Town Center Drive;
- Northbound left-turn at the major project driveway @ Town Center Drive; and
- Southbound left-turn at the intersection of Town Center Drive \& Town Center Loop.
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Table 8 displays potential intersection queue during the AM and PM peak hours under horizon Year 2030 Plus Project conditions. Queuing analysis worksheets are also provided in Attachment J.

Table 8
Peak Hour Intersection Queuing Analysis - Year 2030 Base Plus Project Conditions

| Intersection | Traffic <br> Control | Turning Movement | Peak <br> Hour | 95\% Queue Length (ft) | Required Pocket Length (ft) | Available Pocket Length (ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive | Signal | NBL | AM / PM | $208 / 345$ | 350 | 200 |
| 7. Town Center Drive \& Centerpark Road | One-Way Stop Control* | EBL | AM / PM | $25 / 25$ | 50* | 50* |
| 8. Town Center Drive \& Promenade Street | Signal | SBL | AM / PM | $20 / 50$ | 50 | 50 |
|  |  | NBL | AM / PM | 10/25 | 50 | 50 |
| 9. Town Center Drive \& Ring Road | Signal | SBL | AM / PM | 60 / 198 | 200 | 150 |

Source: Chen Ryan Associates; April 2019
Notes:
*A minimum storage length of 50 ' was assumed for turning movement with less than 50 ' queue length.

As shown in Table 8, all of the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length would be less than the available pocket length, with the exception of the following:

- Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive - northbound left-turn movement by 8 feet during the AM peak hour and 150 feet during the PM peak hour; and
- Town Center Drive \& Ring Road - southbound left-turn movement by 50 feet during the PM peak hour.


## CONCLUSION

In summary, the proposed project would generate less traffic both on a daily and PM peak hour basis when comparing with the previously approved 2020 EIR, however would generate more traffic in the AM peak hour (by 58 trips). A focused traffic study was prepared and concluded that there will not be any significant traffic impacts associated with the additional 58 trips in the AM peak hour at any of the study intersections.

In addition, all project driveways as well as the project frontage would operate at acceptable levels of services with adequate queuing storage along Town Center Drive, with the exception of the northbound left-turn movement during the AM and PM peak hour at Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive and the southbound left-turn movement at Town Center Drive \& Ring Road. The northbound left-turn movement at Olympic Parkway \& Town Center Drive queue length would exceed the available pocket length.
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However, since a "do not block" signage was installed at the intersection of Town Center Drive \& Centerpark Road, it can be concluded that traffic making left-turn from Centerpark Road would not block the southbound traffic along Town Center Drive. The southbound left-turn movement at Town Center Drive \& Ring Road would exceed the available pocket length, however this is a worst-case scenario as the 95 th percentile queue length rarely exists in the field. As shown in Attachment J, the 50th percentile queue length, which is the more common queue length, is well below the available storage length. Should the future queue length exceed the available storage length for the southbound approach at Town Center Drive \& Ring Road, "keep clear" signage will be provided at the upstream intersection (Town Center Drive \& Promenade Street) to help prevent southbound traffic from blocking the eastbound and westbound traffic at the upstream intersection.
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## ATTACHMENT A

AYRES HOTEL TRIP GENERATION MEMORANDUM BY LLG

## BALDWIN \& SONS

Building Quality Comemunties for Three Generations

## MEMORANDUM

Date: September 28, 2017

To: Tiffany Allen
From: Nick Lee

Re: Residence Inn Marriott Trip Generation

Current City of Chula Vista Vehicle Trip Generation Table lists trip generation rates for a hotel with convention facilities \& restaurant at 10 ADT per room. The Residence Inn Marriott will operate more closely like a business hotel because of the lack of convention/banquet facilities, restaurants and limited supporting facilities. The hotel is close to the future South Bay Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) line and is close to walkable amenities.

Since the Residence Inn Marriott has similar property characteristics like the Ayers Hotel in Millenia, we request the city use the trip generation factor of 4.62 trips/room as outlined in the Linscott, Law \& Greenspan Memorandum dated March 20, 2017 (attached).

Memorandum

| To: | Jana Beekman <br> Ayres Hotel of Southern California | Date: | March 20, 2017 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From: | John Boarman, P.E. <br>  <br> K.C. Yellapu, P.E. <br> Erika Carino, E.I.T. <br> LLG, Engineers | LGRef. | 3-17-2715 |
|  | Ayres Hotel Trip Generation |  |  |

Linscoth, Law \& Greenspan, Engineers (LLG) has prepared the following memorandum detailing our trip generation assessment for the proposed Ayres Hotel project to be located within the Millenia Specific Plan Area (SPA) at 1710 Millenia Avenue in the City of Chula Vista. The purpose of this memorandum is to determine the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) that the project generates and to determine how much of that travels outside of the Millenia, onto City of Chula Vista roads.

## DRIVEWAY ADT

Per the City of Chula Vista's Vehicle Trip Generation Table, the trip generation rate for a Hotel with Convention Facilities \& Restaurants is 10 ADT per room. See Attachment $\boldsymbol{A}$ for the table. However, the proposed project will not function as a Hotel with Convention Facilities and Restaurants.

Based on the project's characteristics listed below, the proposed Ayres Hotel operates more closely to a Business Hotel.

- No convention/banquet facilities
- No restaurants
- Limited supporting facilities

It should be noted that the Ayres Hotel proposes to provide a board room with a maximum occupancy of 27 , conference rooms with a combined maximum occupancy of 77 and no catering services. These amenities were not considered as convention facilities because they are not comparable to that of the hotels surveyed by SANDAG, such as the Hyatt and Crown Plaza in Mission Valley and the Sheraton in La Jolla. These hotels provide full catering services, and the largest convention/banquet room has a capacity of 250,674 and 900 , respectively. Additional information describing a Hotel with Convention Facilities \& Restaurants and a Business Hotel are included in Attachment B:

The City's trip generation table does not contain a Business Hotel land use. However, it references SANDAG's Not so Brief Guide to Vehicular Traffic Generation Rates for the San Diego Region (see Attachment C) which includes a trip generation rate for a business hotel. Therefore, per SANDAG, a trip generation rate of 7 trips per room was utilized based on the specifics associated with the Ayres Hotel. Based on the above, the project's trip generation, tabulated in Table I is 945 ADT trips.
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Table 1

| Land Use | Quantity | Daily Trip Ends (ADT) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rate | Volume |  |
| Business Hotel | 135 rooms | $7 /$ room | 945 |  |
| Total Trips |  |  |  | 945 |

Since the trip rate obtained from SANDAG is based on locations with little to no mixed-use, Transportation Demand Management (TDM) or nearby transit opportunities, additional trip generation credits as described below were applied. It should also be noted that the SANDAG trip rate studies were conducted in the 1980's.

1. 10\% Transit Reduction: Since the project is in close proximity to the future South Bay Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) station, a transit reduction was utilized. Per the Eastern Urban Center FEIR dated September 2009, SANDAG and the City have agreed to a $10 \%$ transit credit, which is consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). Attachment D contains excerpts of the study.
2. $10 \%$ Walk/Bike Mode-Share Reduction: Due to the proximity of the project to the office and other land use amenities in Millennia, it is anticipated that hotel guests will walk to/from other land uses. Per SANDAG's Not so Brief Guide to Vehicular Traffic Generation Rates for the San Diego Region document, a $10 \%$ reduction identified for mixed-use projects was utilized.
3. 3\% Shuttle Service/Transportation Demand Management (TDM): The project proposes to provide shuttle services to the Chula Vista Elite Athlete Training Center (formerly known as the U.S. Olympic Training Facility), the San Diego Airport and the Tijuana Airport, therefore reducing the number of driveway trips. This $3 \%$ reduction is based on the lower range of effectiveness from Table 5 of SANDAG's Parking Strategies for Smart Growth. Attachment $E$ contains this table.

Based on the above, the project is proposed to generate 727 vehicular driveway ADT trips. Table 2 tabulates the results.

Table 2

| Land Use | Quantity |  | Daily Trip Epds (ADT) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Rate | Volume |
| Business Hotel | 135 | rooms | 7 | /room | 945 |
| Total Trips |  |  |  |  | 945 |
| Transit Reduction | 10\% |  |  |  | -95 |
| Mode-Share Reduction | 10\% |  |  |  | -95 |
| Shuttle Service Reduction/TDM | 3\% |  |  |  | -28 |
| Total Driveway Trips |  |  |  |  | 727 |

## InTERNAL CAPTURE

Millenia plans to establish a high-density, mixed-use development with an environment that promotes pedestrian activity, sustainability, and connectivity. Due to the project characteristics, some vehicular trips will travel between the different land uses within Millenia and will not need to leave the site and utilize the surrounding arterial roadway network. As a result, these trips are considered internal trips as they remain inside Millenia and to not impact the Chula Vista roadway system.

Even though the Eastern Urban Center traffic study dated March 2009, utilized a $24.2 \%$ internal capture reduction, to be conservative and also since a $10 \%$ mode-share reduction was already applied, a reduction of only $14.2 \%$ was utilized. Attachment $D$ contains excerpts of the study. It should be noted that this internal capture also includes several factors such as mixed-use reduction, pass by traffic, diverted traffic, etc., which are further explained in Attachment $F$. As an example, the pass-by and diverted traffic reduction combined per SANDAG guidelines is $42 \%$.

Based on the above, the project is proposed to generate 624 trips outside of the Millenia SPA boundary. Table 3 tabulates the final results.

Table 3

| Land Use | Quantity |  | Daily Trip Ends (ADT) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Rate | Volame |
| Business Hotel | 135 | rooms | 7 | /room | 945 |
| Total Trips |  |  |  |  | 945 |
| Transit Reduction | 10\% |  |  |  | -95 |
| Mode-Share Reduction | 10\% |  |  |  | -9.5 |
| Shuttle Service Reduction/TDM | 3\% |  |  |  | -28 |
| Total Driveway Trips |  |  |  |  | 727 |
| Internal Capture Reduction | 14.2\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | -103 |
| Total Trips Travelling Outside of Millenia |  |  |  |  | 624 |

Foornotes:
a. Reduction percentage applied to the total driveway trips.
$\infty$ :
Attachmens: Attachment A: City of Chula Vista's Trip Generation Table
Attachment B: Hotel Land Use Descriptions
Attachment C: SANDAG Trip Generation Table
Attachment D: Excerpts of the March 2009 Traffic Study
Attachment E: SANDAGS Parking Reduction Table
Attachment F: Pass-By/Diverted Trip Information
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ATTACHMENT B
SANDAG MXD CALCULATION

## MIXED USE TRIP GENERATION MODEL V4 - RESULTS

 MODEL APPLICATION - ALL TRIPS

MODEL APPLICATION - TRIP ENDS TO/FROM RESIDENCES IN

## THE PROJECT ONLY
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ATTACHMENT C - ANALYSIS FOR CEQA
TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING WORKSHEETS
2018 TRAFFIC COUNTS

TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING SHEET -- CITY OF CHULA VISTA

## EAST PALOMAR / OLYMPIC

SCN: 176
ADDRESS: 12
 ESTABLISH COMM: C-0-0=ADDRESS ; C $-0-1=1$; C-0-2=1 ; C-0-3=SCN ; SET PED PHASES: \{C-0-E=125\} E-F-5=[2] ; E-F-6=[6] ; E-F-7=[4] ; E-F-8=[8] ; SET OPTICOM: $\{C-0-E=125\} \operatorname{E-E}-A=[2,5]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{B}=[4,7]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}=[1,6]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{D}=[3,8]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}=[3]$; $\mathrm{F}-0-8=\mathrm{F}-0-9=2$;



## TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING SHEET -- CITY OF CHULA VISTA

 OLYMPIC / SR125 SB
## SCN: 268 (255+13) ADDRESS: 11

 ESTABLISH COMM: C-0-0=ADDRESS ; C $-0-1=1 ; \mathrm{C}-0-2=2$; $\mathrm{C}-0-3=13$; SET PED PHASES: $\{\mathrm{C}-0-\mathrm{E}=125\} \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}-5=[\mathrm{C}]$; E-F-6=[6] ; E-F-7=[ ] ; E-F-8=[ ] ; SET OPTICOM: $\{C-0-E=125\} \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{A}=[\mathrm{E}]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{B}=[\mathrm{E}]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}=[\mathrm{c}]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{D}=[\quad]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}=[3]$; $\mathrm{F}-0-8=\mathrm{F}-0-9=2$;


DETECTOR PARAM: $\{C-0-D=0\}$


## TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING SHEET -- CITY OF CHULA VISTA

OLYMPIC / SR125 NB

## SCN: 269 (255+14) ADDRESS: 12

 ESTABLISH COMM: C-0-0=ADDRESS ; C $-0-1=1 ; \mathrm{C}-0-2=2$; $\mathrm{C}-0-3=14$; SET PED PHASES: $\{\mathrm{C}-0-\mathrm{E}=125\} \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}-5=[2]$; E-F-6=[ $]$; E-F-7=[ ] ; E-F-8=[ ] ; SET OPTICOM: \{C-0-E=125\} $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{A}=[\mathrm{C}]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{B}=[\mathrm{C}]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}=[\mathrm{c}]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{D}=[\quad]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}=[3]$; $\mathrm{F}-0-8=\mathrm{F}-0-9=2$;


DETECTOR PARAM: $\{C-0-D=0\}$

|  | CYCLE |  |  | RC | OF | IN | IO |  | IMIN |  | AN | $\{\mathrm{C}-0-\mathrm{C}=1\} \quad$ (C-PLAN-X) |  |  |  |  |  |  | TIMING PLAN FUNCTIONS |  |  | \{ $\mathrm{C}-0-\mathrm{C}=2\} \quad(\mathrm{C}-$ PLAN -X$)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | A | B | C | D | E | F | C-E-PLAN | C-F-PLAN | 0 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 9 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PLAN } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | PLAN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 255 | 0 | $[2,6]$ | $[2,6,8]$ | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 255 | 0 | $[2,6]$ | $[2,6,8]$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 255 | 0 | $[2,6]$ | $[2,6,8]$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 255 | 0 | $[2,6]$ | $[2,6,8]$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 255 | 0 | $[2,6]$ | $[2,6,8]$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOT | E: VIEW | U | E | BA |  | - |  | F-C | = | rre | B |  | TT. | CH | CK: |  | 112) E-0-A | $=(85$ is OK$)=$ | 84 is BAD) |  | ATE : April 20, 20 |  | RSION: 1.2 |

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING SHEET -- CITY OF CHULA VISTA

## OLYMPIC /TOWN CENTER

 ESTABLISH COMM: C-0-0=ADDRESS ; C-0-1=1 ; C-0-2=1 ; C-0-3=SCN ; SET PED PHASES: \{C-0-E=125\} E-F-5=[2] ; E-F-6=[6] ; E-F-7=[4] ; E-F-8=[8] ; SET OPTICOM: \{C-0-E=125\} $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{A}=[2,5]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{B}=[4,7]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}=[1,6]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{D}=[3,8]$; $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}=[3]$; $\mathrm{F}-0-8=\mathrm{F}-0-9=2$;


DETECTOR PARAM: $\{\mathrm{C}-0-\mathrm{D}=0\}$


## TRAFFIC SIGNAL TIMING SHEET -- CITY OF CHULA VISTA

## EASTLAKE / OLYMPIC

## SCN: 185

 ADDRESS: 6

DETECTOR PARAM: $\{C-0-D=0\}$


## PROGRAM 233 PAGE 2

SCN: 185
LOCAL T.O.D. FUNCTIONS $\{\mathrm{C}-0-7=0.1\}\{\mathrm{C}-0-\mathrm{E}=27\}$ LOCAL SCHEDULER $\{\mathrm{C}-0-9=0.2\}$ (PAGE 2)


HOLIDAY T.O.D. FUNCTIONS $\{\mathrm{C}-0-7=0.2\}$ \{C-0-E $=28\}$
$\qquad$



| 0 | = | 0 | = | 0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | = | 1 | = | 1 | = |  | = |
| 2 | = | 2 | = |  | = |  | = |
| 3 | = | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 | = |
| 4 | = | 4 | = |  | = |  | = |
| 5 | = | 5 | = |  | = |  | = |
| 6 | = | 6 | = |  |  |  | = |
| 7 | = | 7 | = |  | = |  | = |
| 8 | = | 8 |  | 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 | = | 9 | = |  |  |  |  |
| A | = | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | = | B | = |  |  |  |  |
| C | = | C | = |  |  |  |  |
| D | = | D |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | = | E |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | = | F |  |  |  |  |  |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Unit Configuration > Unit Configuration
-ぱSO2rity

## B. 3 System Information

| System Id | 295 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name |  |
| Location | Ring |

### 1.2 Unit Setup

| Auto Ped Clear | Disabled |
| :--- | :---: |
| Red Revert | 3 |
| Min Yellow Time | 3 |
| Texas Dmd Mode | Disabled |
| Texas Dmd Type | 4-Phase |

1.3 Startup

| Flash | 0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Red | 5 |
| Start Veh Call | 2,7 |
| Start Ped Call | 8 |

1.4 Channel Setup (1-16)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | V | V | P | V | V | P | V | V | P | V | V | P | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Source |  | 2 |  | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Alt $1 / 2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Red |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Yel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1.4 Channel Setup | 7-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| Type ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | V | V | V | V | V | V | V | V | V | V | V | V | V | V | V |
| Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alt $1 / 2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flsh Red |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flsh Yel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Next Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Program Type McCain Omni eX Firmware
Street 1
Street 2
Last Modified
5.1 Coordination Constants

| Correction Mode | Shortway |
| :--- | :---: |
| Max Cycles Trans | 3 |
| Coord Max Mode | Max Inhibit |
| Coord Force Mode | Fixed |
| Perm Strategy | Maximum |
| Omit Strategy | Minimum |
| Sync Point | Begin Green |
| No Early Return | Disable |
| Sync Ref Time | 0 |
| Operational Mode | 0 |

2.5 Phase Concurrency

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2.4 Phase Enable and Rings

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Startup | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Enabled |  | X |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ring1 |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ring2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ring3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ring4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Phase Diagram

## Organization <br> 295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Phases > Phase Sequences

Transparity ${ }^{\circ}$
2.3 Phase Sequence 1
2.3 Phase Sequence 9

| Ring 1 | 9,2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ring 2 | $10,7,8$ |
| Ring 3 |  |
| Ring 4 |  |


| Ring 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ring 2 |  |
| Ring 3 |  |
| Ring 4 |  |

2.3 Phase Sequence 10

| 2.3 Phase Sequence 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ring 1 |  |
| Ring 2 |  |
| Ring 3 |  |
| Ring 4 |  |


| Ring 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ring 2 |  |
| Ring 3 |  |
| Ring 4 |  |

2.3 Phase Sequence 11

| Ring 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ring 2 |  |
| Ring 3 |  |
| Ring 4 |  |

2.3 Phase Sequence 12

| Ring 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ring 2 |  |
| Ring 3 |  |
| Ring 4 |  |

2.3 Phase Sequence 13

| Ring 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ring 2 |  |
| Ring 3 |  |
| Ring 4 |  |

2.3 Phase Sequence 14

| Ring 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ring 2 |  |
| Ring 3 |  |
| Ring 4 |  |

2.3 Phase Sequence 15

| Ring 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ring 2 |  |
| Ring 3 |  |
| Ring 4 |  |

2.3 Phase Sequence 16

| Ring 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ring 2 |  |
| Ring 3 |  |
| Ring 4 |  |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Phases > Phase Timing

| 2.1 Phase Parameters Set 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Min. Green | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass/10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max. 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max. 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yel/10 | 0 | 3.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Red/10 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Walk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Clear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Add In/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max. Initial | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CBR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TTR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduce/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Gp/10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DM Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DM Stp/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Red Rv/10 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cond Svc Min | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alt Min Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alt Ps/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alternate Walk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alt Ped Clear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Advanced Walk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delay Walk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| St Dly/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Green Clear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2.2 Phase Options Set 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Phase Omit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ped Omit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min Recall |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Recall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soft Recall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ped Recall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Recycle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cond Sry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Lock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dual Entry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Simul Gap | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guar Pass |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Add Init Calc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Rest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Red Rest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Entry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Exit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CNA-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CNA-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Backup |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Walk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Extension |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sequential Timing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Min Yellow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FDW Ped Recycle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 3.1 Vehicle Overlap Set 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| Included Phases |  |  |  |  |
| Modifier Phases |  |  |  |  |
| Excluded Phases |  |  |  |  |
| Excluded Peds |  |  |  |  |
| Excluded Walks |  |  |  |  |
| Trail Grn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trailing Yel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trailing Red | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Start Delay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Trail Grn Phs |  |  |  |  |
| Call Phases |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Only | False | False | False | False |
| Detector Lock | False | False | False | False |
| No Min Yellow | False | False | False | False |


| 3.1 Vehicle Overlap Set 1 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal |
| Included Phases |  |  |  |  |
| Modifier Phases |  |  |  |  |
| Excluded Phases |  |  |  |  |
| Excluded Peds |  |  |  |  |
| Excluded Walks |  |  |  |  |
| Trail Grn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trailing Yel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trailing Red | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Start Delay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| No Trail Grn Phs |  |  |  |  |
| Call Phases |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Only | False | False | False | False |
| Detector Lock | False | False | False | False |
| No Min Yellow | False | False | False | False |

## Organization <br> 295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Overlaps > Pedestrian Overlaps

Transparity"

| 3.2 Pedestrian Overlap Set 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Included Phases  <br> 1  <br> Excluded Phases  <br>   <br> Intervals  <br> Call Phases None <br> Actuated Only  |  |  |


| 3.2 Pedestrian Overlap Set 1 |  | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Included Phases  |  |  |
| Excluded Phases |  |  |
| Intervals |  | None |
| Call Phases |  |  |
| Actuated Only |  | False |


| 3.2 Pedestrian Overlap Set $\mathbf{1}$ | 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Included Phases |  |  |
| Excluded Phases |  |  |
| Intervals |  | None |
| Call Phases |  |  |
| Actuated Only |  | False |


| 3.2 Pedestrian Overlap Set 1 |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Included Phases |  |  |
| Excluded Phases |  |  |
| Intervals | None |  |
| Call Phases |  |  |
| Actuated Only | False |  |


| 3.2 Pedestrian Overlap Set $\mathbf{1}$ |  | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Included Phases  <br>   <br> Excluded Phases  <br> Intervals  <br> Call Phases  <br> Actuated Only  |  |  |

3.2 Pedestrian Overlap Set $\mathbf{1}$

| Included Phases |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excluded Phases |  |
| Intervals |  |
| Call Phases |  |
| Actuated Only |  |

3.2 Pedestrian Overlap Set $\mathbf{1}$

| Included Phases |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 6 |  |
| Excluded Phases |  |
| Intervals |  |
| Call Phases | None |
| Actuated Only |  |


| 3.2 Pedestrian Overlap Set $\mathbf{1}$ |  | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Included Phases |  |  |
| Excluded Phases |  |  |
| Intervals |  | None |
| Call Phases |  |  |
| Actuated Only |  | False |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Detectors > Vehicle \& Pedestrian Detectors

Transparity
4.1 Vehicle Detector Set 1

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Call | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Add Init | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| Passage | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Red Lock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Lock |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupancy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Call Phase | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Switch Phase | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Queue Limit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VOS Length | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alt Passage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alt Min Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adaptive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extra Call Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Call Overlaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3 Vehicle Detector Diag Set 1

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Act | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max Pr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Err Cnts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fail Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

4.2 Ped Detector Set 1

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alternate Walk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extra Call Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Call Overlaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Detectors > Vehicle \& Pedestrian Detectors
4.4 Ped Detector Diag Set 1

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Activity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Max. Presence | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Erratic Counts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 9.3.3.2 Speed Trap

9.3.3.2 Speed Trap

| Speed Trap | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detector 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Distance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

9.3.3.3 Speed Trap Bin Ranges

| Bin | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 5.2 Patterns | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cycle Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Offset Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Sequence | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Correction Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perm Strategy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Omit Strategy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Return | Default | Default | Default | Default | Default | Default | Default | Default |
| Texas Diamond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max2 Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase Timing Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Phase Option Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Overlap Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Veh. Det. Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ped. Det. Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Veh. Det. Diag Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ped. Det. Diag Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Priority Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ped Ovlp Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Det. Reset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 5.2 Patterns | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cycle Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Offset Time | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Split | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Sequence | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Correction Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force Mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perm Strategy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Omit Strategy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Return | Default | Default | Default | Default | Default | Default | Default | Default |
| Texas Diamond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max2 Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase Timing Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Phase Option Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Overlap Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Veh. Det. Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ped. Det. Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Veh. Det. Diag Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ped. Det. Diag Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Priority Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ped Ovlp Set | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Det. Reset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Coordination > Split Tables

### 5.3 Split Table 1

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 2

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 3

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 4

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Coordination > Split Tables

### 5.3 Split Table 5

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 6

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 7

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 8

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Coordination > Split Tables

### 5.3 Split Table 9

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 10

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 11

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 12

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Coordination > Split Tables

### 5.3 Split Table 13

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 14

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 5.3 Split Table 15

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5.3 Split Table 16

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (sec) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mode | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE |
| Coord. Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manual Permit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manual Omit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Min Split | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 6.4 Schedules |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Days Of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | J |  | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D | S | M | T | W | T | F | S | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |  |
| 1 | X |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 1 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |


|  | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Days Of Week |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Day <br> Plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | J | F | M | A | M | J | J | A | S | 0 | N | D | S | M | T | W | T | F | S | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Time Base > Day Plans

### 6.5 Day Plan 1

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 1

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 2

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 6.5 Day Plan 2

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 3

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 3

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 4

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 4

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Time Base > Day Plans

### 6.5 Day Plan 5

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 5

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 6

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 6

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 7

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 7

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 6.5 Day Plan 8

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 8

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Time Base > Day Plans

### 6.5 Day Plan 9

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 9

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 10

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 10

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 11

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 11

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 6.5 Day Plan 12

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 12

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Time Base > Day Plans

6.5 Day Plan 13

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 14

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 6.5 Day Plan 14

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 15

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6.5 Day Plan 15

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 6.5 Day Plan 16

| Event\# | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

### 6.5 Day Plan 16

| Event\# | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Action | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Time Base > Actions

Transparity ${ }^{\circ}$

| 6.6 Action Parameters | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pattern | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Function |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Functions 1-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Functions 9-16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Reset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector VOS Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| Speed Trap Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| Cycle MOE Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| High Res Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| 6.6 Action Parameters | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Pattern | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 0 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Function |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Functions 1-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Functions 9-16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Reset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector VOS Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| Speed Trap Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| Cycle MOE Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| High Res Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| 6.6 Action Parameters | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| Pattern | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Function |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Functions 1-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Functions 9-16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Reset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector VOS Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| Speed Trap Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| Cycle MOE Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| High Res Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Time Base > Actions

| 6.6 Action Parameters | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pattern | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Auxiliary Function |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Functions 1-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Functions 9-16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Reset |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector VOS Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| Speed Trap Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| Cycle MOE Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |
| High Res Log | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action | No Action |


| 7 Preempts | Preempt 1 | Preempt 2 | Preempt 3 | Preempt 4 | Preempt 5 | Preempt 6 | Preempt 7 | Preempt 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Track Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Track Overlaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Track Ped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Track Ped Overlap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dwell Phases |  |  | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| Dwell Overlaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dwell Peds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dwell Ped Overlap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycling Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycling Overlaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycling Ped |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycling Ped Overlap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exit Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Locking | X | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| Override Flash | X | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| Override +1 | X | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |
| Flash Dwell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enter All Red |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ignore No Backup |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Presence Flash |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Track Green | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maximum Presence | 0 | 0 | 120 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minimum Duration | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minimum Dwell | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Linked Preempt | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Min Green | 255 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| Enter Min Walk | 255 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| Enter Min Ped Clear | 255 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| Enter Min Yellow | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 |
| Enter Min Red Clear | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 |
| Track Min Yellow | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 |
| Track Min Red Clear | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 |
| Exit Ped Clear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Yellow Change | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Exit Red Clear | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Organization

## 295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Transit Priority > TSP Global Strategy

Transparity

| 8.1 TSP Global Option |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enable |  |
| 1 | X |
| 2 |  |
| 3 |  |
| 4 |  |
| 5 |  |
| 6 |  |
| 7 |  |
| 8 |  |
| 9 |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| Headway |  |
| Lockout |  |
| Node |  |
| Name |  |



| 8.2 TSP Strategy Option | Strategy 2 | Set 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enable | X |  |
| Override + 1 | X |  |
| Service Phases | 10 |  |
| Call Phases |  |  |
| Omit Phases | 2, 7, 8 |  |
| Omit Peds | 8 |  |
| Queue Jump Ph |  |  |
| ETA | 14 |  |
| Input Function | Priority |  |
| Input Index | 2 |  |
| Input Type | Steady |  |
| Request Mode | Checkin (Leading Edge) |  |
| Checkout Mode | Checkout (Leading Edge) |  |
| Checkout Time | 20 |  |
| Max Presence | 180 |  |
| Max Presence Clr | 0 |  |
| Min ON Time | 0 |  |
| Min OFF Time | 0 |  |
| Delay Time | 0 |  |
| Extend Time | 0 |  |
| Headway Time | 0 |  |
| Preempt Lockout | 0 |  |
| Arrival Window | 0 |  |
| 8.2 TSP Strategy Options | Strategy 5 | Set 1 |
| Enable | X |  |
| Override + 1 | X |  |
| Service Phases | 2, 7 |  |
| Call Phases |  |  |
| Omit Phases | 8 |  |
| Omit Peds | 8 |  |
| Queue Jump Ph |  |  |
| ETA | 40 |  |
| Input Function | Priority |  |
| Input Index | 4 |  |
| Input Type | Steady |  |
| Request Mode | Presence |  |
| Checkout Mode | Checkout (Leading Edge) |  |
| Checkout Time | 180 |  |
| Max Presence | 180 |  |
| Max Presence Clr | 0 |  |
| Min ON Time | 0 |  |
| Min OFF Time | 0 |  |
| Delay Time | 0 |  |
| Extend Time | 0 |  |
| Headway Time | 0 |  |
| Preempt Lockout | 0 |  |
| Arrival Window | 0 |  |
| 8.2 TSP Strategy Options | Strategy 8 | Set 1 |
| Enable |  |  |
| Override + 1 |  |  |
| Service Phases |  |  |
| Call Phases |  |  |


| 8.2 TSP Strategy Options | Strategy 3 | Set 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enable |  |  |
| Override + 1 |  |  |
| Service Phases |  |  |
| Call Phases |  |  |
| Omit Phases |  |  |
| Omit Peds |  |  |
| Queue Jump Ph |  |  |
| ETA | 0 |  |
| Input Function | None |  |
| Input Index | 0 |  |
| Input Type | Steady |  |
| Request Mode | Presence |  |
| Checkout Mode | Checkout (Leading Edge) |  |
| Checkout Time | 180 |  |
| Max Presence | 180 |  |
| Max Presence Clr | 0 |  |
| Min ON Time | 0 |  |
| Min OFF Time | 0 |  |
| Delay Time | 0 |  |
| Extend Time | 0 |  |
| Headway Time | 0 |  |
| Preempt Lockout | 0 |  |
| Arrival Window | 0 |  |
| 8.2 TSP Strategy Options | Strategy 6 | Set 1 |
| Enable |  |  |
| Override + 1 |  |  |
| Service Phases |  |  |
| Call Phases |  |  |
| Omit Phases |  |  |
| Omit Peds |  |  |
| Queue Jump Ph |  |  |
| ETA | 0 |  |
| Input Function | None |  |
| Input Index | 0 |  |
| Input Type | Steady |  |
| Request Mode | Presence |  |
| Checkout Mode | Checkout (Leading Edge) |  |
| Checkout Time | 180 |  |
| Max Presence | 180 |  |
| Max Presence Clr | 0 |  |
| Min ON Time | 0 |  |
| Min OFF Time | 0 |  |
| Delay Time | 0 |  |
| Extend Time | 0 |  |
| Headway Time | 0 |  |
| Preempt Lockout | 0 |  |
| Arrival Window | 0 |  |
| 8.2 TSP Strategy Options | Strategy 9 | Set 1 |
| Enable |  |  |
| Override + 1 |  |  |
| Service Phases |  |  |
| Call Phases |  |  |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Transit Priority > TSP Global Strategy

Transparity ${ }^{\circ}$


Timing Sheets


| Omit Phases |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Omit Peds |  |
| Queue Jump Ph |  |
| ETA | 0 |
| Input Function | None |
| Input Index | 0 |
| Input Type | Steady |
| Request Mode | Presence |
| Checkout Mode | Checkout (Leading Edge) |
| Checkout Time | 180 |
| Max Presence | 180 |
| Max Presence Clr | 0 |
| Min ON Time | 0 |
| Min OFF Time | 0 |
| Delay Time | 0 |
| Extend Time | 0 |
| Headway Time | 0 |
| Preempt Lockout | 0 |
| Arrival Window | 0 |



## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Transit Priority > TSP Global Strategy

Transparity

| Input Index | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Input Type | Steady |
| Request Mode | Presence |
| Checkout Mode | Checkout (Leading Edge) |
| Checkout Time | 180 |
| Max Presence | 180 |
| Max Presence Clr | 0 |
| Min ON Time | 0 |
| Min OFF Time | 0 |
| Delay Time | 0 |
| Extend Time | 0 |
| Headway Time | 0 |
| Preempt Lockout | 0 |
| Arrival Window | 0 |
| 8.2 TSP Strategy Options | Strategy 16 Set 1 |
| Enable |  |
| Override + 1 |  |
| Service Phases |  |
| Call Phases |  |
| Omit Phases |  |
| Omit Peds |  |
| Queue Jump Ph |  |
| ETA | 0 |
| Input Function | None |
| Input Index | 0 |
| Input Type | Steady |
| Request Mode | Presence |
| Checkout Mode | Checkout (Leading Edge) |
| Checkout Time | 180 |
| Max Presence | 180 |
| Max Presence Clr | 0 |
| Min ON Time | 0 |
| Min OFF Time | 0 |
| Delay Time | 0 |
| Extend Time | 0 |
| Headway Time | 0 |
| Preempt Lockout | 0 |
| Arrival Window | 0 |


| Input Index | 0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Input Type | Steady |
| Request Mode | Presence |
| Checkout Mode | Checkout (Leading Edge) |
| Checkout Time | 180 |
| Max Presence | 180 |
| Max Presence Clr | 0 |
| Min ON Time | 0 |
| Min OFF Time | 0 |
| Delay Time | 0 |
| Extend Time | 0 |
| Headway Time | 0 |
| Preempt Lockout | 0 |
| Arrival Window | 0 |


| Input Index | 0 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Input Type | Steady |
| Request Mode | Presence |
| Checkout Mode | Checkout (Leading Edge) |
| Checkout Time | 180 |
| Max Presence | 180 |
| Max Presence Clr | 0 |
| Min ON Time | 0 |
| Min OFF Time | 0 |
| Delay Time | 0 |
| Extend Time | 0 |
| Headway Time | 0 |
| Preempt Lockout | 0 |
| Arrival Window | 0 |


| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 1 |  |  |  | Set 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 3 Set 1 |  |  |  |  | 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Transit Priority > TSP Global Strategy

## Transparity

| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 6 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ Set 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times

| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 8 ${ }^{\text {Set } 1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 10 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 11 Set 1 |  |  |  |  | 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 12 Set 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times

| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 14 Set 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

8. 3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times 1010

| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 8.3 TSP Phase Adjustment Times |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strategy 16 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Reduce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| QJump | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Miscellaneous > Logic

## Gates

Transparity

| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Functions | IDX | $!$ | DLY | EXT |  |
| Type | Or |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Flash 100 |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Channel Yellow | 4 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| IN2 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| IN3 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| IN4 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| OUT | Logic Output | 4 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Delay/Extend Units | Tenths |  |  |  |  |  |



| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions | IDX | $!$ | DLY | EXT |
| Type | And |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Channel Red | 4 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Vehicle Detector | 13 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Logic Output | 5 |  | 50 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units | Tenths |  |  |  |  |




| 1.6 Logic Gate | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Functions | IDX | $!$ | DLY | EXT |
| Type | And |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Flash 100 |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Channel Yellow | 5 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Logic Output | 9 | X | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Logic Output | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units | Tenths |  |  |  |  |


| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fun |  | IDX | ! | DLY | EXT |
| Type | And |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Nor |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Chan |  | 5 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Vehi | etector | 27 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unu |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unu |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Logi |  | 6 |  | 50 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |  |


| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Func |  | IDX | ! | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Or |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Norm |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Chan | Green | 4 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unu |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unu |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unu |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Prio | heckout | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |  |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Miscellaneous > Logic

## Gates

Transparity


| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions | IDX | ! | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Or |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Flash 60 |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Logic Output | 9 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Logic Output | 11 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  |  |  |  |  |





| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions |  | IDX | ! | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |  |


| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fun |  | IDX | ! | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Or |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Nor |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Veh | Detector | 12 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unu |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unu |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unu |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Prio | Request | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |  |


| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions |  | IDX | ! | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |  |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Miscellaneous > Logic

## Gates

Transparity

| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions |  | IDX | $!$ | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |  |


| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions |  | IDX | ! | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |  |


|  | 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions | IDX | $!$ | DLY | EXT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN2 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN3 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN4 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OUT | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay/Extend Units | Tenths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions |  | IDX | $!$ | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |  |



| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions |  | IDX | $!$ | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |  |



| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions |  | IDX | ! | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Unused |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |  |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Miscellaneous > Logic

## Gates

Transparity

| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Functions | IDX | $!$ | DLY | EXT |  |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| IN2 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| IN3 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| IN4 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| OUT | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Delay/Extend Units | Tenths |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions | IDX | ! | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |



|  | 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions | IDX | $!$ | DLY | EXT |  |  |  |  |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| IN2 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| IN3 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| IN4 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| OUT | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Delay/Extend Units | Tenths |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1.6 Logic Gate |  |  |  |  | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Functions | IDX | ! | DLY | EXT |
| Type | Unused |  |  |  |  |
| Out Mode | Normal |  |  |  |  |
| IN1 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN2 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN3 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| IN4 | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| OUT | Unused | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Delay/Extend Units |  | Tenths |  |  |  |

### 1.5.3.1 2070 FIO Input Mapping

| 1.5.3.1 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Pins | Function | IDX |
| C1-39 | Vehicle Detector | 2 |
| C1-40 | Vehicle Detector | 16 |
| C1-41 | Vehicle Detector | 8 |
| C1-42 | Vehicle Detector | 22 |
| C1-43 | Vehicle Detector | 3 |
| C1-44 | Vehicle Detector | 17 |
| C1-45 | Vehicle Detector | 9 |
| C1-46 | Vehicle Detector | 23 |
| C1-47 | Vehicle Detector | 6 |
| C1-48 | Vehicle Detector | 20 |
| C1-49 | Vehicle Detector | 12 |
| C1-50 | Vehicle Detector | 26 |
| C1-51 | Preempt Detector | 1 |
| C1-52 | Preempt Detector | 2 |
| C1-53 | Man Control Enable | 1 |
| C1-54 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C1-55 | Vehicle Detector | 15 |
| C1-56 | Vehicle Detector | 1 |
| C1-57 | Vehicle Detector | 21 |
| C1-58 | Vehicle Detector | 7 |
| C1-59 | Vehicle Detector | 27 |
| C1-60 | Vehicle Detector | 13 |
| C1-61 | Vehicle Detector | 28 |
| C1-62 | Vehicle Detector | 14 |
| C11-10 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-11 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-12 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-13 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C1-63 | Vehicle Detector | 4 |
| C1-64 | Vehicle Detector | 18 |
| C1-65 | Vehicle Detector | 10 |
| C1-66 | Vehicle Detector | 24 |


| Pins | Function | IDX |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| C1-67 | Pedestrian Detector | 1 |
| C1-68 | Pedestrian Detector | 3 |
| C1-69 | Pedestrian Detector | 2 |
| C1-70 | Pedestrian Detector | 4 |
| C1-71 | Preempt Detector | 3 |
| C1-72 | Preempt Detector | 4 |
| C1-73 | Preempt Detector | 5 |
| C1-74 | Preempt Detector | 6 |
| C1-75 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C1-76 | Vehicle Detector | 5 |
| C1-77 | Vehicle Detector | 19 |
| C1-78 | Vehicle Detector | 11 |
| C1-79 | Vehicle Detector | 25 |
| C1-80 | Interval Advance | 1 |
| C1-81 | MmU Flash | 1 |
| C1-82 | Stop Time All Rings | 1 |
| C11-15 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-16 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-17 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-18 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-19 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-20 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-21 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-22 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-23 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-24 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-25 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-26 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-27 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-28 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-29 | Unused Input | 1 |
| C11-30 | Unused Input | 1 |
|  |  |  |

1.5.3.2 2070 FIO Output Mapping

| Pins | Function | IDX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1-02 | Channel Red | 6 |
| C1-03 | Channel Green | 6 |
| C1-04 | Logic Output | 10 |
| C1-05 | Channel Yellow | 5 |
| C1-06 | Logic Output | 1 |
| C1-07 | Channel Red | 4 |
| C1-08 | Channel Yellow | 4 |
| C1-09 | Logic Output | 3 |
| C1-10 | Channel Red | 3 |
| C1-11 | Channel Green | 3 |
| C1-12 | Channel Red | 2 |
| C1-13 | Channel Yellow | 2 |
| C1-15 | Channel Green | 2 |
| C1-16 | Channel Red | 1 |
| C1-17 | Channel Yellow | 1 |
| C1-18 | Channel Green | 1 |
| C1-19 | Channel Red | 12 |
| C1-20 | Channel Green | 12 |
| C1-21 | Channel Red | 11 |
| C1-22 | Channel Yellow | 11 |
| C1-23 | Channel Green | 11 |
| C1-24 | Channel Red | 10 |
| C1-25 | Channel Yellow | 10 |
| C1-26 | Channel Green | 10 |
| C1-27 | Channel Red | 9 |
| C1-28 | Channel Green | 9 |
| C1-29 | Channel Red | 8 |
| C1-30 | Channel Yellow | 8 |
| C1-31 | Channel Green | 8 |
| C1-32 | Channel Red | 7 |
| C1-33 | Channel Yellow | 7 |
| C1-34 | Channel Green | 7 |


| Pins | Function | IDX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1-35 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C1-36 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C1-37 | Logic Output | 8 |
| C1-38 | Logic Output | 7 |
| C1-100 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C1-101 | Auto Flash Status | 1 |
| C1-102 | Detector Reset | 1 |
| C1-103 | Wdt Reset | 1 |
| C1-83 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C1-84 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C1-85 | Channel Red | 16 |
| C1-86 | Channel Yellow | 16 |
| C1-87 | Channel Green | 16 |
| C1-88 | Channel Red | 15 |
| C1-89 | Channel Yellow | 15 |
| C1-90 | Channel Green | 15 |
| C1-91 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C1-93 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C1-94 | Channel Red | 14 |
| C1-95 | Channel Yellow | 14 |
| C1-96 | Channel Green | 14 |
| C1-97 | Channel Red | 13 |
| C1-98 | Channel Yellow | 13 |
| C1-99 | Channel Green | 13 |
| C11-1 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C11-2 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C11-3 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C11-4 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C11-5 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C11-6 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C11-7 | Unused Output | 1 |
| C11-8 | Unused Output | 1 |


| Volume Occupancy Period | 60 |
| :---: | :---: |
| VOS Log Combined Periods | 0 |
| Speed Trap Log Period | 0 |
| Display Metric |  |
| Speed Trap Log Mode | Disabled |
| VOS Log Mode | Disabled |
| Cycle MOE Log Mode | Enabled |
| High Res Log Mode | Enabled |
| Power On/Off | X |
| Low Battery | X |
| Cycle Fault | X |
| Coord Fault | X |
| Coord Fail | X |
| Cycle Fail | X |
| MMU Flash | X |
| Local Flash | X |
| Local Free | X |
| Preempt Status Change | X |
| Response Fault | X |
| Alarm Status Change | X |
| Door Status Change | X |
| Pattern Change | X |
| Detector Status Change | X |
| Comm Status Change | X |
| Command Change | X |
| Data Change Keyboard | X |
| Controller Download | X |
| Access Code | X |
| Priority | X |
| Manual Control Enable | X |
| Stop Time | X |


| 6.2 Time Zone |  |  | 1.7 Port 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Global DST |  | Enable DST | BIU 1 (T\&F BIU 1) | Disabled |
| Standard Time Zone (+/- hr) |  | 0 | BIU 2 (T\&F BIU 2) | Disabled |
| A. 3 Unit Comms |  |  | BIU 3 (T\&F BIU 3) | Disabled |
|  |  |  | BIU 4 (T\&F BIU 4) | Disabled |
| Unit Backup Time |  |  | BIU 9 (Detector BIU 1) | Disabled |
| 1.5.5 Aux Switch |  |  | BIU 10 (Detector BIU 2) | Disabled |
|  |  |  | BIU 11 (Detector BIU 3) | Disabled |
| Function | Stop Time All Rings |  | BIU 12 (Detector BIU 4) | Disabled |
| Index | 1 |  | MMU | Disabled |
|  |  |  | Comm Port | SP3 |

9.3-4 Hi Res Log Setup

| Phase Events |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ped Events |  |
| Barrier/Ring Events |  |
| Phase Control Events |  |
| Overlap Events |  |
| Detector Events |  |
| Preemption Events |  |
| Coordination Events |  |
| Cabinet/System Events |  |


| NTP Server Address | 128.138 .141 .172 |
| :--- | :---: |
| NTP Start Hour | 0 |
| NTP Start Minute | 0 |
| NTP Interval Hour | 0 |
| NTP Interval Minute | 0 |
| GPS Start Hour | 0 |
| GPS Start Minute | 0 |
| GPS Interval Hour | 0 |
| GPS Interval Minute | 0 |
| Enable NTP Svr |  |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Miscellaneous > Menu Security
B.1.1 Menu Security Options

| Enable: | Allow Read-Only: | Timeout (min): | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

B.1.2 Menu Security Users

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User Id | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I/O Map |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overlap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preempt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW Update |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| User Id | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I/O Map |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overlap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preempt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW Update |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| User Id | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I/O Map |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overlap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preempt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW Update |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 |  |  |  |
| User Id | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I/O Map |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overlap |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preempt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Logs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW Update |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Organization

295 East Palomar/Ring Rd/Town Center > Communications > Comm Addresses
A.1 Serial Comms

| Port | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Protocol | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Speed | 9600 | 9600 | 9600 | 115200 | 9600 | 9600 |
| Parity | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Flow Control | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Address | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Group Address | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Bits | 8 data bits | 8 data bits | 8 data bits | 8 data bits | 8 data bits | 8 data bits |
| Stop Bits | 1 stop bit | 1 stop bit | 1 stop bit | 1 stop bit | 1 stop bit | 1 stop bit |
| CTS Delay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RTS Extend | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

A. 2 Ethernet Comms

| Port | 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IP Address | 10.242 .20 .209 | 0.0 .0 .0 |
| Net Mask | 255.255 .255 .0 | 0.0 .0 .0 |
| Gateway | 10.242 .20 .252 | 0.0 .0 .0 |
| NTCIP Port | 8021 | 161 |
| NTCIP Mode | UDP | UDP |
| AB3418 Port | 8001 | 8001 |
| AB3418 Mode | UDP | UDP |
| AB3418 Address | 1 | 1 |
| AB3418 Group Address | 0 | 0 |
| Peer to Peer Port | 49255 | 49255 |

A. 8 SPaT

| Unicast Enable |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dest IP Address | 0.0 .0 .0 |
| Dest Port | 0 |


| 1.9.1 Peer Device | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Id | 294 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IP Address | 10.242.20.241 | 10.242.20.180 | 0.0.0.0 | 0.0.0.0 | 0.0.0.0 | 0.0.0.0 | 0.0.0.0 | 0.0.0.0 |
| Port | 49255 | 49255 | 49255 | 49255 | 49255 | 49255 | 49255 | 49255 |
| Message Timeout | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Max Retries | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Heartbeat Time | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |


| 1.9.2 Peer Function | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peer Device Num | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Remote Function | Logic Output | Vehicle | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused |
| Remote Function Idx | 6 | Detector | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Local Function | Logic Output | Priority | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused |
| Local Function Idx | 9 | Request | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Default State | OFF | 2 | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF |
| OFF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.9.2 Peer Function | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Peer Device Num | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Remote Function | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused |
| Remote Function Idx | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Local Function | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused |
| Local Function Idx | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Default State | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF |


| 1.9.2 Peer Function | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peer Device Num | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Remote Function | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused |
| Remote Function Idx | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Local Function | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused |
| Local Function Idx | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Default State | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF |


| 1.9.2 Peer Function | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peer Device Num | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Remote Function | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused |
| Remote Function Idx | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Local Function | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused | Unused |
| Local Function Idx | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Default State | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF |

## E Palomar St \& Olympic Pkwy

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count


SR-125 SB Ramps \& Olympic Pkwy
Peak Hour Turning Movement Count


SR-125 NB Ramps \& Olympic Pkwy


# Town Center Dr \& Olympic Pkwy 

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count


## Eastlake Pkwy \& Olympic Pkwy

Peak Hour Turning Movement Count


## Chen *Ryan

ATTACHMENT D - ANALYSIS FOR CEQA
LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATION WORKSHEETS EXISTING CONDITIONS (AM)

|  | 3 | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 5 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| Lane Configurations |  | \％${ }^{4}$ | 44个 | $\stackrel{7}{1}$ |  | ＊ | 坐44 | $\stackrel{7}{1}$ | ＊ | 車 ${ }_{\text {c }}$ |  | ${ }^{17}$ |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 108 | 678 | 104 | 2 | 92 | 909 | 236 | 251 | 136 | 275 | 233 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 1 | 108 | 678 | 104 | 2 | 92 | 909 | 236 | 251 | 136 | 275 | 233 |
| Number |  | 5 | 2 | 12 |  | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln |  | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 |  | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h |  | 114 | 714 | 67 |  | 97 | 957 | 164 | 264 | 143 | 205 | 245 |
| Adj No．of Lanes |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Peak Hour Factor |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h |  | 160 | 2697 | 840 |  | 117 | 2798 | 871 | 290 | 320 | 286 | 298 |
| Arrive On Green |  | 0.05 | 0.53 | 0.53 |  | 0.13 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.09 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h |  | 3442 | 5085 | 1583 |  | 1774 | 5085 | 1583 | 1774 | 1770 | 1583 | 3442 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h |  | 114 | 714 | 67 |  | 97 | 957 | 164 | 264 | 143 | 205 | 245 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln |  | 1721 | 1695 | 1583 |  | 1774 | 1695 | 1583 | 1774 | 1770 | 1583 | 1721 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s |  | 4.7 | 11.0 | 3.0 |  | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 10.4 | 17.5 | 10.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s |  | 4.7 | 11.0 | 3.0 |  | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.1 | 10.4 | 17.5 | 10.1 |
| Prop In Lane |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h |  | 160 | 2697 | 840 |  | 117 | 2798 | 871 | 290 | 320 | 286 | 298 |
| V／C Ratio（X） |  | 0.71 | 0.26 | 0.08 |  | 0.83 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.91 | 0.45 | 0.72 | 0.82 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h |  | 225 | 2697 | 840 |  | 195 | 2798 | 871 | 473 | 538 | 482 | 478 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh |  | 67.7 | 18.5 | 16.6 |  | 61.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.2 | 52.6 | 55.5 | 64.7 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh |  | 4.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  | 9.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 12.4 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 4.8 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln |  | 2.4 | 5.2 | 1.3 |  | 4.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 11.3 | 5.2 | 8.0 | 5.0 |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh |  | 72.4 | 18.7 | 16.8 |  | 71.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 71.6 | 53.8 | 59.5 | 69.5 |
| LnGrp LOS |  | E | B | B |  | E | A | A | E | D | E | E |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  |  | 895 |  |  |  | 1218 |  |  | 612 |  |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  |  | 25.4 |  |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 63.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  | A |  |  | E |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 13.7 | 82.4 | 27.7 | 20.1 | 10.9 | 85.2 | 16.7 | 31.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），$s$ | ＊ 4.2 | 6.0 | ＊ 4.2 | 5.2 | ＊ 4.2 | 6.0 | ＊ 4.2 | ＊ 5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 16 | 45.3 | ＊ 38 | 24.8 | ＊ 9.4 | 51.8 | ＊ 20 | ＊ 44 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿ctl1），s | 9.7 | 13.0 | 23.1 | 13.7 | 6.7 | 2.0 | 12.1 | 19.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 10.4 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 18.5 | 0.4 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 32.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

|  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
| Larie\% \%onfigurations | 个 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 130 | 203 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 130 | 203 |
| Number | 4 | 14 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 137 | 130 |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 2 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 186 | 163 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1789 | 1567 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 135 | 132 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1770 | 1586 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 10.7 | 11.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 10.7 | 11.7 |
| Prop In Lane |  | 0.99 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 184 | 165 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.74 | 0.80 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 305 | 273 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 62.6 | 63.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 6.8 | 10.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 69.4 | 73.3 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | E |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 512 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 70.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |
| Timer |  |  |

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $4$ | $4$ | 4 | $p$ | ＊ | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 來年 | 1 |  | 坐年 | $\underset{1}{ }$ |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | ¢ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1279 | 84 | 0 | 1077 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 108 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1279 | 84 | 0 | 1077 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 108 |
| Number 1 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 |  |  |  | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 0 | 1332 | 0 | 0 | 1122 | 260 |  |  |  | 167 | 0 | 75 |
| Adj No．of Lanes 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h 0 | 3941 | 1227 | 0 | 3941 | 1359 |  |  |  | 296 | 0 | 132 |
| Arrive On Green 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 0 | 5253 | 1583 |  |  |  | 3548 | 0 | 1583 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 0 | 1332 | 0 | 0 | 1122 | 260 |  |  |  | 167 | 0 | 75 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 0 | 1695 | 1583 |  |  |  | 1774 | 0 | 1583 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| Prop In Lane 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 0 | 3941 | 1227 | 0 | 3941 | 1359 |  |  |  | 296 | 0 | 132 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.19 |  |  |  | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.57 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 0 | 3941 | 1227 | 0 | 3941 | 1359 |  |  |  | 1084 | 0 | 484 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 31.7 | 0.0 | 31.8 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |  |  |  | 1.8 | 0.0 | 4.1 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／lm0． | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |  |  |  | 33.6 | 0.0 | 35.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | A |  |  | A | A |  |  |  | C |  | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1332 |  |  | 1382 |  |  |  |  |  | 242 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 2.7 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 34.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），$s$ | 61.8 |  | 10.2 |  | 61.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），$s$ | 6.0 |  | ＊ 4.2 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 39.8 |  | ＊ 22 |  | 39.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.0 |  | 5.3 |  | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（ $\mathrm{p}_{-} \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 20.0 |  | 0.8 |  | 18.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 來年 | 1 |  | 坐个 | 1 | \％ |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1192 | 230 | 0 | 1187 | 426 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1192 | 230 | 0 | 1187 | 426 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 |  |  |  |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 |  |  |  |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 0 | 1216 | 235 | 0 | 1211 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 29 |  |  |  |
| Adj No．of Lanes 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Cap，veh／h 0 | 4171 | 1348 | 0 | 4171 | 1299 | 107 | 0 | 49 |  |  |  |
| Arrive On Green 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 3442 | 0 | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 0 | 1216 | 235 | 0 | 1211 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 29 |  |  |  |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 1721 | 0 | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 |  |  |  |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 |  |  |  |
| Prop In Lane 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 0 | 4171 | 1348 | 0 | 4171 | 1299 | 107 | 0 | 49 |  |  |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.59 |  |  |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 0 | 4171 | 1348 | 0 | 4171 | 1299 | 860 | 0 | 396 |  |  |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Filter（l） 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 34.4 |  |  |  |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 11.6 |  |  |  |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／lm0． | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 34.4 | 0.0 | 46.0 |  |  |  |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A |  | A |  | C |  | D |  |  |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1451 |  |  | 1211 |  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 0.2 |  |  | 1.7 |  |  | 42.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），$s$ | 65.6 |  |  |  | 65.6 |  | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），$s$ | ＊ 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 |  | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 44 |  |  |  | 43.3 |  | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.0 |  |  |  | 6.0 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（ $\mathrm{p}_{-} \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 22.6 |  |  |  | 18.4 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


## Chen *Ryan

ATTACHMENT E - ANALYSIS FOR CEQA
LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATION WORKSHEETS EXISTING PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS (AM)

|  | * | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 5 | $\downarrow$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| Lane Configurations |  | \% | 444 | 7 |  | * | 444 | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 的 |  | ** |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 108 | 693 | 104 | 2 | 112 | 948 | 271 | 251 | 136 | 283 | 247 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 1 | 108 | 693 | 104 | 2 | 112 | 948 | 271 | 251 | 136 | 283 | 247 |
| Number |  | 5 | 2 | 12 |  | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln |  | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 |  | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 114 | 729 | 67 |  | 118 | 998 | 201 | 264 | 143 | 214 | 260 |
| Adj No. of Lanes |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Peak Hour Factor |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h |  | 158 | 2653 | 826 |  | 140 | 2819 | 878 | 289 | 317 | 283 | 309 |
| Arrive On Green |  | 0.05 | 0.52 | 0.52 |  | 0.10 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.09 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h |  | 3442 | 5085 | 1583 |  | 1774 | 5085 | 1583 | 1774 | 1770 | 1583 | 3442 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h |  | 114 | 729 | 67 |  | 118 | 998 | 201 | 264 | 143 | 214 | 260 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln |  | 1721 | 1695 | 1583 |  | 1774 | 1695 | 1583 | 1774 | 1770 | 1583 | 1721 |
| Q Serve(g_s), $s$ |  | 4.9 | 12.0 | 3.2 |  | 9.8 | 10.5 | 6.0 | 22.0 | 10.8 | 19.2 | 11.2 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s |  | 4.9 | 12.0 | 3.2 |  | 9.8 | 10.5 | 6.0 | 22.0 | 10.8 | 19.2 | 11.2 |
| Prop In Lane |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h |  | 158 | 2653 | 826 |  | 140 | 2819 | 878 | 289 | 317 | 283 | 309 |
| VIC Ratio(X) |  | 0.72 | 0.27 | 0.08 |  | 0.84 | 0.35 | 0.23 | 0.91 | 0.45 | 0.75 | 0.84 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h |  | 225 | 2653 | 826 |  | 234 | 2819 | 878 | 435 | 665 | 595 | 431 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh |  | 70.6 | 20.0 | 17.9 |  | 66.2 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 61.8 | 55.0 | 58.4 | 67.2 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh |  | 4.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  | 9.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 16.0 | 1.2 | 4.9 | 9.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln |  | 2.5 | 5.7 | 1.4 |  | 5.2 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 12.1 | 5.4 | 8.8 | 5.7 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh |  | 75.5 | 20.3 | 18.1 |  | 76.0 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 77.8 | 56.2 | 63.3 | 76.2 |
| LnGrp LOS |  | E | C | B |  | E | B | B | E | E | E | E |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  |  | 910 |  |  |  | 1317 |  |  | 621 |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  |  | 27.0 |  |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 67.8 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  | C |  |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), s | 16.0 | 84.2 | 28.6 | 21.1 | 11.1 | 89.2 | 17.7 | 32.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ) , $s$ | * 4.2 | 6.0 | * 4.2 | 5.2 | * 4.2 | 6.0 | * 4.2 | * 5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 20 | 36.0 | * 37 | 37.8 | * 9.8 | 46.0 | * 19 | * 56 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+l1), s | 11.8 | 14.0 | 24.0 | 14.1 | 6.9 | 12.5 | 13.2 | 21.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.1 | 9.0 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 16.8 | 0.3 | 2.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 37.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | SBT | SBR |
|  | 个全 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 130 | 203 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 130 | 203 |
| Number | 4 | 14 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 137 | 130 |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 2 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 190 | 166 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.11 | 0.11 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1789 | 1567 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 135 | 132 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1770 | 1586 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 11.1 | 12.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 11.1 | 12.1 |
| Prop In Lane |  | 0.99 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 188 | 169 |
| VIC Ratio ( X ) | 0.72 | 0.78 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 446 | 400 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 64.9 | 65.3 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 6.1 | 9.1 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 71.0 | 74.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | E |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 527 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 74.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |
| Timer |  |  |

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $4$ | 4 | 4 | $p$ | ＊ | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 來年 | 1 |  | 坐年 | $\underset{7}{ }$ |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | $\stackrel{7}{ }$ |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1316 | 84 | 0 | 1171 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 108 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1316 | 84 | 0 | 1171 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 108 |
| Number 1 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 |  |  |  | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 0 | 1371 | 0 | 0 | 1220 | 322 |  |  |  | 191 | 0 | 75 |
| Adj No．of Lanes 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h 0 | 3946 | 1229 | 0 | 3946 | 1368 |  |  |  | 312 | 0 | 139 |
| Arrive On Green 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 0 | 5253 | 1583 |  |  |  | 3548 | 0 | 1583 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 0 | 1371 | 0 | 0 | 1220 | 322 |  |  |  | 191 | 0 | 75 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 0 | 1695 | 1583 |  |  |  | 1774 | 0 | 1583 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 3.9 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 3.9 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
| Prop In Lane 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 0 | 3946 | 1229 | 0 | 3946 | 1368 |  |  |  | 312 | 0 | 139 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.24 |  |  |  | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.54 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 0 | 3946 | 1229 | 0 | 3946 | 1368 |  |  |  | 842 | 0 | 376 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） 0.00 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 33.0 | 0.0 | 32.7 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |  |  | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／lm0． 0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |  |  |  | 35.1 | 0.0 | 36.2 |
| LnGrp LOS | A |  |  | A | A |  |  |  | D |  | D |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1371 |  |  | 1542 |  |  |  |  |  | 266 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 2.8 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 35.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 64.2 |  | 10.8 |  | 64.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 6.0 |  | ＊ 4.2 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 47.0 |  | ＊ 18 |  | 47.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.0 |  | 5.9 |  | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（ $\mathrm{p}_{-} \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 25.0 |  | 0.7 |  | 21.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay 4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 坐年 | 1 |  | 坐脊 | 1 | \％ |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1252 | 230 | 0 | 1340 | 485 | 12 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1252 | 230 | 0 | 1340 | 485 | 12 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 |  |  |  |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 |  |  |  |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 0 | 1278 | 235 | 0 | 1367 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 52 |  |  |  |
| Adj No．of Lanes 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Cap，veh／h 0 | 4134 | 1357 | 0 | 4134 | 1287 | 153 | 0 | 70 |  |  |  |
| Arrive On Green 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 |  |  |  |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 3442 | 0 | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 0 | 1278 | 235 | 0 | 1367 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 52 |  |  |  |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 1721 | 0 | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 |  |  |  |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 |  |  |  |
| Prop In Lane 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 0 | 4134 | 1357 | 0 | 4134 | 1287 | 153 | 0 | 70 |  |  |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.74 |  |  |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 0 | 4134 | 1357 | 0 | 4134 | 1287 | 633 | 0 | 291 |  |  |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Filter（l） 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.4 | 0.0 | 35.4 |  |  |  |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 15.1 |  |  |  |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／lm0． 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 34.6 | 0.0 | 50.5 |  |  |  |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A |  | A |  | C |  | D |  |  |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1513 |  |  | 1367 |  |  | 64 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 0.2 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 47.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 67.5 |  |  |  | 67.5 |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | ＊ 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 |  | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 51 |  |  |  | 50.5 |  | 13.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 26.0 |  |  |  | 24.5 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


## CHEN \# Ryan

ATTACHMENT F - ANALYSIS FOR CEQA
LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATION WORKSHEETS
HORIZON YEAR 2030 BASE AND BASE PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS (AM)

## Chen ${ }^{\text {Pran }}$

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \％ | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 个4ヶ | 7 | \％ | 个个个 | $\stackrel{F}{ }$ | \％ | 車 |  | ${ }^{4 *}$ | 个車 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 100 | 970 | 90 | 100 | 980 | 220 | 260 | 350 | 310 | 400 | 470 | 180 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 100 | 970 | 90 | 100 | 980 | 220 | 260 | 350 | 310 | 400 | 470 | 180 |
| Number | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 105 | 1021 | 53 | 105 | 1032 | 148 | 274 | 368 | 242 | 421 | 495 | 126 |
| Adj No．of Lanes | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 149 | 2150 | 669 | 127 | 2293 | 714 | 298 | 489 | 317 | 475 | 580 | 147 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.04 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.07 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3442 | 5085 | 1583 | 1774 | 5085 | 1583 | 1774 | 2062 | 1335 | 3442 | 2799 | 708 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 105 | 1021 | 53 | 105 | 1032 | 148 | 274 | 315 | 295 | 421 | 312 | 309 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln | 1721 | 1695 | 1583 | 1774 | 1695 | 1583 | 1774 | 1770 | 1627 | 1721 | 1770 | 1738 |
| $Q$ Serve（g＿s），s | 4.5 | 21.8 | 3.0 | 8.8 | 21.0 | 8.5 | 22.8 | 24.8 | 25.3 | 18.0 | 25.5 | 25.7 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 4.5 | 21.8 | 3.0 | 8.8 | 21.0 | 8.5 | 22.8 | 24.8 | 25.3 | 18.0 | 25.5 | 25.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.82 | 1.00 |  | 0.41 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 149 | 2150 | 669 | 127 | 2293 | 714 | 298 | 420 | 386 | 475 | 367 | 360 |
| VIC Ratio（ X ） | 0.71 | 0.47 | 0.08 | 0.83 | 0.45 | 0.21 | 0.92 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.86 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 206 | 2150 | 669 | 194 | 2293 | 714 | 414 | 571 | 525 | 606 | 462 | 454 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 70.8 | 31.3 | 25.9 | 68.8 | 28.4 | 24.9 | 61.4 | 53.1 | 53.3 | 63.5 | 57.2 | 57.3 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 12.9 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 19.3 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 13.2 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 2.3 | 10.4 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 10.0 | 3.8 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 9.4 | 13.7 | 13.7 |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 75.7 | 32.0 | 26.1 | 81.7 | 29.0 | 25.6 | 80.7 | 57.3 | 58.3 | 75.3 | 69.5 | 70.6 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | C | C | F | C | C | F | E | E | E | E | E |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1179 |  |  | 1285 |  |  | 884 |  |  | 1042 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 35.7 |  |  | 32.9 |  |  | 64.9 |  |  | 72.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ）， s | 14.9 | 69.4 | 29.4 | 36.3 | 10.7 | 73.6 | 24.9 | 40.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{C}$ ），s | ＊ 4.2 | 6.0 | ＊4．2 | 5.2 | ＊4．2 | 6.0 | ＊ 4.2 | ＊5．2 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 16 | 39.8 | ＊ 35 | 39.2 | ＊9 | 47.2 | ＊ 26 | ＊48 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋1），s | 10.8 | 23.8 | 24.8 | 27.7 | 6.5 | 23.0 | 20.0 | 27.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 9.9 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 0.7 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 49.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $p$ | － |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 坐个 | 1 |  | 个坐 | $\underset{ }{7}$ |  |  |  | 7 | ＊ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1445 | 230 | 0 | 1120 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 170 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1445 | 230 | 0 | 1120 | 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 170 |
| Number 1 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 |  |  |  | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 0 | 1505 | 0 | 0 | 1167 | 229 |  |  |  | 315 | 0 | 118 |
| Adj No．of Lanes 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h 0 | 2504 | 780 | 0 | 2504 | 984 |  |  |  | 457 | 0 | 204 |
| Arrive On Green 0.00 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 |  |  |  | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.13 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 0 | 5253 | 1583 |  |  |  | 3548 | 0 | 1583 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 0 | 1505 | 0 | 0 | 1167 | 229 |  |  |  | 315 | 0 | 118 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 0 | 1695 | 1583 |  |  |  | 1774 | 0 | 1583 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 0.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 |  |  |  | 6.4 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| Prop In Lane 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 0 | 2504 | 780 | 0 | 2504 | 984 |  |  |  | 457 | 0 | 204 |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.23 |  |  |  | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.58 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 0 | 3051 | 950 | 0 | 3051 | 1154 |  |  |  | 937 | 0 | 418 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（I） 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |  | 31.2 | 0.0 | 30.7 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／lm0．0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 |  |  |  | 33.2 | 0.0 | 33.5 |
| LnGrp LOS | B |  |  | A | A |  |  |  | C |  | C |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1505 |  |  | 1396 |  |  |  |  |  | 433 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 14.5 |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 33.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | 42.9 |  | 13.9 |  | 42.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 6.0 |  | ＊ 4.2 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | 45.0 |  | ＊ 20 |  | 45.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.5 |  | 8.4 |  | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 21.8 |  | 1.3 |  | 18.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 坐个 | 1 |  | 4坐4 | 7 | ＊ |  | $\stackrel{7}{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1260 | 440 | 0 | 1150 | 370 | 190 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1260 | 440 | 0 | 1150 | 370 | 190 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 |  |  |  |
| Initial Q（Qb），veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 |  |  |  |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 0 | 1286 | 449 | 0 | 1173 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 204 |  |  |  |
| Adj No．of Lanes 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Cap，veh／h 0 | 3517 | 1357 | 0 | 3517 | 1095 | 570 | 0 | 262 |  |  |  |
| Arrive On Green 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.17 |  |  |  |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 3442 | 0 | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 0 | 1286 | 449 | 0 | 1173 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 204 |  |  |  |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 1721 | 0 | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 9.3 |  |  |  |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 9.3 |  |  |  |
| Prop In Lane 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 0 | 3517 | 1357 | 0 | 3517 | 1095 | 570 | 0 | 262 |  |  |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.78 |  |  |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 0 | 3517 | 1357 | 0 | 3517 | 1095 | 1046 | 0 | 481 |  |  |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Filter（l） 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 30.0 |  |  |  |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5.3 |  |  |  |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／lm0． | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 4.4 |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 35.3 |  |  |  |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A |  | A |  | C |  | D |  |  |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1735 |  |  | 1173 |  |  | 398 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 0.3 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 31.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），$s$ | 58.4 |  |  |  | 58.4 |  | 16.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），s | ＊ 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 |  | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 42 |  |  |  | 41.5 |  | 22.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 |  | 11.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 26.3 |  |  |  | 18.2 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


## Chen ${ }^{\text {Pran }}$

HORIZON YEAR 2030 BASE PLUS PROJECT

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \％ | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | 个4ヶ | 7 | \％ | 个个个 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 車 |  | ${ }^{4} 1$ | 个車 |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 100 | 985 | 90 | 120 | 1019 | 255 | 260 | 350 | 318 | 414 | 470 | 180 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） | 100 | 985 | 90 | 120 | 1019 | 255 | 260 | 350 | 318 | 414 | 470 | 180 |
| Number | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h | 105 | 1037 | 53 | 126 | 1073 | 184 | 274 | 368 | 251 | 436 | 495 | 126 |
| Adj No．of Lanes | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap，veh／h | 149 | 2100 | 654 | 147 | 2301 | 716 | 298 | 469 | 315 | 490 | 576 | 146 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.04 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.17 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Sat Flow，veh／h | 3442 | 5085 | 1583 | 1774 | 5085 | 1583 | 1774 | 2029 | 1363 | 3442 | 2799 | 708 |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h | 105 | 1037 | 53 | 126 | 1073 | 184 | 274 | 320 | 299 | 436 | 312 | 309 |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／n | 1721 | 1695 | 1583 | 1774 | 1695 | 1583 | 1774 | 1770 | 1622 | 1721 | 1770 | 1738 |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s | 4.5 | 22.6 | 3.0 | 10.4 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 22.8 | 25.5 | 26.0 | 18.7 | 25.5 | 25.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s | 4.5 | 22.6 | 3.0 | 10.4 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 22.8 | 25.5 | 26.0 | 18.7 | 25.5 | 25.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.84 | 1.00 |  | 0.41 |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h | 149 | 2100 | 654 | 147 | 2301 | 716 | 298 | 409 | 375 | 490 | 364 | 358 |
| VIC Ratio（ $($ ） | 0.71 | 0.49 | 0.08 | 0.86 | 0.47 | 0.26 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h | 206 | 2100 | 654 | 211 | 2301 | 716 | 400 | 532 | 488 | 622 | 446 | 438 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter（l） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh | 70.8 | 32.5 | 26.7 | 61.8 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 61.4 | 54.1 | 54.3 | 63.2 | 57.4 | 57.5 |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh | 4.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 17.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 20.7 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 11.9 | 13.6 | 14.6 |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／ln | 2.3 | 10.7 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 12.5 | 9.7 | 13.9 | 13.8 |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh | 75.7 | 33.3 | 27.0 | 79.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 82.1 | 60.2 | 61.7 | 75.0 | 71.0 | 72.2 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | C | C | E | A | A | F | E | E | E | E | E |
| Approach Vol，veh／h |  | 1195 |  |  | 1383 |  |  | 893 |  |  | 1057 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 36.7 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 67.5 |  |  | 73.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ），s | 16.6 | 68.0 | 29.4 | 36.1 | 10.7 | 73.9 | 25.6 | 39.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{C}$ ），s | ＊ 4.2 | 6.0 | ＊ 4.2 | 5.2 | ＊4．2 | 6.0 | ＊ 4.2 | ＊ 5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 18 | 41.0 | ＊ 34 | 37.8 | ＊9 | 49.8 | ＊ 27 | ＊45 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 12.4 | 24.6 | 24.8 | 27.8 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 20.7 | 28.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 0.1 | 10.2 | 0.4 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 0.7 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 43.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $p$ | ¢ |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个44 | $\underset{ }{7}$ |  |  | $\underset{ }{7}$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | T |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 0 | 1477 | 230 | 0 | 1214 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 170 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 0 | 1477 | 230 | 0 | 1214 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 0 | 170 |
| Number 1 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 |  |  |  | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h 0 | 1539 | 0 | 0 | 1265 | 291 |  |  |  | 339 | 0 | 118 |
| Adj No. of Lanes 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h 0 | 3702 | 1153 | 0 | 3702 | 1368 |  |  |  | 483 | 0 | 215 |
| Arrive On Green 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.14 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 0 | 5253 | 1583 |  |  |  | 3548 | 0 | 1583 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h 0 | 1539 | 0 | 0 | 1265 | 291 |  |  |  | 339 | 0 | 118 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 0 | 1695 | 1583 |  |  |  | 1774 | 0 | 1583 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 6.8 | 0.0 | 5.2 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 6.8 | 0.0 | 5.2 |
| Prop In Lane 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h 0 | 3702 | 1153 | 0 | 3702 | 1368 |  |  |  | 483 | 0 | 215 |
| V/C Ratio(X) 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.34 | 0.21 |  |  |  | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.55 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h 0 | 3702 | 1153 | 0 | 3702 | 1368 |  |  |  | 937 | 0 | 418 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) 0.00 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.93 |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 30.9 | 0.0 | 30.2 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/lm0. 0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 |  |  |  | 33.0 | 0.0 | 32.6 |
| LnGrp LOS | A |  |  | A | A |  |  |  | C |  | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 1539 |  |  | 1556 |  |  |  |  |  | 457 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 4.2 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 32.9 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  | C |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 60.6 |  | 14.4 |  | 60.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ) , $s$ | 6.0 |  | * 4.2 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 45.0 |  | * 20 |  | 45.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.0 |  | 8.8 |  | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time ( $\mathrm{p}_{-} \mathrm{c}$ ), s | 24.8 |  | 1.4 |  | 22.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $4$ | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 坐众 | 7 |  | 坐苗 | 7 | \％ |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1320 | 440 | 0 | 1303 | 429 | 190 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（veh／h） 0 | 1320 | 440 | 0 | 1303 | 429 | 190 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 |  |  |  |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$ ，veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Ped－Bike Adj（A＿pbT） 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Parking Bus，Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，veh／h／ln 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 0 | 1863 |  |  |  |
| Adj Flow Rate，veh／h 0 | 1347 | 449 | 0 | 1330 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 228 |  |  |  |
| Adj No．of Lanes 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |  |  |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh，\％ 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Cap，veh／h 0 | 3442 | 1357 | 0 | 3442 | 1072 | 621 | 0 | 286 |  |  |  |
| Arrive On Green 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.18 |  |  |  |
| Sat Flow，veh／h 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 0 | 5253 | 1583 | 3442 | 0 | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Grp Volume（v），veh／h 0 | 1347 | 449 | 0 | 1330 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 228 |  |  |  |
| Grp Sat Flow（s），veh／h／ln 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 0 | 1695 | 1583 | 1721 | 0 | 1583 |  |  |  |
| Q Serve（g＿s），s 0．0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 10.3 |  |  |  |
| Cycle Q Clear（g＿c），s 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 10.3 |  |  |  |
| Prop In Lane 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Lane Grp Cap（c），veh／h 0 | 3442 | 1357 | 0 | 3442 | 1072 | 621 | 0 | 286 |  |  |  |
| V／C Ratio（X） 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.80 |  |  |  |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），veh／h 0 | 3442 | 1357 | 0 | 3442 | 1072 | 1046 | 0 | 481 |  |  |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Upstream Filter（I） 0.00 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |
| Uniform Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 29.4 |  |  |  |
| Incr Delay（d2），s／veh 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5.5 |  |  |  |
| Initial Q Delay（d3），s／veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| \％ile BackOfQ（50\％），veh／lm0．0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 4.9 |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay（d），s／veh 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 34.9 |  |  |  |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A |  | A |  | C |  | C |  |  |  |
| Approach Vol，veh／h | 1796 |  |  | 1330 |  |  | 422 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh | 0.4 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 31.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  |  |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），$s$ | 57.3 |  |  |  | 57.3 |  | 17.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（ $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ），$s$ | ＊ 6.5 |  |  |  | 6.5 |  | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmax），s | ＊ 42 |  |  |  | 41.5 |  | 22.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（g＿c＋11），s | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 |  | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p＿c），s | 27.3 |  |  |  | 21.3 |  | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay 4.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个个4 | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | †tt |  | \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\hat{*}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{}$ | 7 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 340 | 1050 | 123 | 76 | 1530 | 160 | 242 | 44 | 57 | 55 | 24 | 380 |  |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 340 | 1050 | 123 | 76 | 1530 | 160 | 242 | 44 | 57 | 55 | 24 | 380 |  |
| Number | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |  |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |  |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 |  |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 358 | 1105 | 0 | 80 | 1611 | 149 | 255 | 46 | 6 | 58 | 0 | 417 |  |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 395 | 2694 | 974 | 121 | 2702 | 250 | 294 | 417 | 54 | 74 | 0 | 659 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.23 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.22 |  |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 3442 | 5085 | 1583 | 3442 | 6004 | 555 | 3442 | 1609 | 210 | 1774 | 0 | 3057 |  |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 358 | 1105 | 0 | 80 | 1289 | 471 | 255 | 0 | 52 | 58 | 0 | 417 |  |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | n1721 | 1695 | 1583 | 1721 | 1602 | 1754 | 1721 | 0 | 1818 | 1774 | 0 | 1528 |  |
| $Q$ Serve(g_s), s | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 30.2 | 30.3 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 18.6 |  |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 30.2 | 30.3 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 18.6 |  |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.32 | 1.00 |  | 0.12 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 395 | 2694 | 974 | 121 | 2163 | 789 | 294 | 0 | 472 | 74 | 0 | 659 |  |
| VIC Ratio(X) | 0.91 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.63 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 408 | 2694 | 974 | 167 | 2163 | 789 | 294 | 0 | 652 | 124 | 0 | 1039 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | h 57.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.5 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 67.8 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 71.2 | 0.0 | 53.4 |  |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 20.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 |  |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \%ile BackOfQ( $50 \%$ ),veh | /ln8. 3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 13.6 | 15.4 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 7.9 |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 77.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 73.8 | 32.2 | 34.3 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 54.2 |  |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A |  | E | C | C | F |  | D | E |  | D | D |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1463 |  |  | 1840 |  |  | 307 |  |  | 475 |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 19.3 |  |  | 34.6 |  |  | 82.0 |  |  | 57.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | E |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | . | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s9.5 |  | 86.1 | 17.0 | 37.5 | 21.4 | 74.1 | 10.5 | 44.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s* 4.2 |  | *6.6 | *4.2 | 5.1 | *4.2 | 6.6 | * 4.2 | *5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax) , 3 |  | * 59 | *13 | 51.0 | * 18 | 48.3 | *11 | *54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+115, $\mathbf{8}_{5}$ |  | 2.0 | 13.0 | 20.6 | 17.2 | 32.3 | 6.9 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s 0.0 |  | 22.3 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 35.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


## Chen ${ }^{\text {PRyan }}$

ATTACHMENT G - ACCESS \& FRONTAGE OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS 2017 TRAFFIC COUNTS

## Turn Count Summary

Accurate Video Counts Inc
info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

| Location: | Olympic Parkway | @ Town Center Drive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date of Count: | Tuesday, October 10, 2017 |  |
| Analysts: | LV/CD |  |
| Weather: | Sunny |  |
| AVC Proj No: | $17-0768$ |  |



## Turn Count Summary

Accurate Video Counts Inc
info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location:
Olympic Parkway @ Town Center Drive

| AM Period (7:00 AM - 9:00 AM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | TOTAL |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left |  |
| 7:00 AM | 106 | 2 | 6 | 17 | 360 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 122 | 53 | 681 |
| 7:15 AM | 81 | 6 | 10 | 24 | 315 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 133 | 41 | 628 |
| 7:30 AM | 68 | 2 | 5 | 28 | 369 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 12 | 192 | 55 | 738 |
| 7:45 AM | 80 | 7 | 10 | 27 | 282 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 27 | 223 | 75 | 742 |
| 8:00 AM | 76 | 4 | 13 | 49 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 18 | 231 | 70 | 819 |
| 8:15 AM | 103 | 6 | 14 | 36 | 325 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 17 | 226 | 91 | 831 |
| 8:30 AM | 70 | 5 | 10 | 37 | 306 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 16 | 144 | 74 | 678 |
| 8:45 AM | 94 | 2 | 8 | 35 | 217 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 25 | 148 | 61 | 612 |
| Total | 678 | 34 | 76 | 253 | 2,524 | 11 | 5 | 29 | 44 | 136 | 1,419 | 520 | 5,729 |

AM Intersection Peak Hour : 7:30 AM - 8:30 AM $\quad$ Intersection PHF : 0.94

|  | Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left |  |
| Volume | 327 | 19 | 42 | 140 | 1,326 | 5 | 1 | 18 | 15 | 74 | 872 | 291 | 3,130 |
| PHF | 0.79 | 0.68 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.90 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.90 | 0.54 | 0.69 | 0.94 | 0.80 | 0.94 |
| Movement PHF |  | 0.79 |  |  | 0.92 |  |  | 0.71 |  |  | 0.93 |  | 0.94 |


| PM Period (4:00 PM - 6:00 PM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | TOTAL |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left |  |
| 4:00 PM | 112 | 14 | 10 | 48 | 196 | 4 | 16 | 17 | 56 | 54 | 292 | 122 | 941 |
| 4:15 PM | 102 | 34 | 28 | 58 | 179 | 4 | 12 | 28 | 42 | 74 | 292 | 124 | 977 |
| 4:30 PM | 128 | 28 | 22 | 39 | 186 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 52 | 69 | 303 | 130 | 991 |
| 4:45 PM | 117 | 25 | 19 | 45 | 190 | 8 | 17 | 20 | 47 | 75 | 345 | 138 | 1,046 |
| 5:00 PM | 123 | 16 | 23 | 42 | 236 | 3 | 13 | 24 | 58 | 55 | 334 | 117 | 1,044 |
| 5:15 PM | 118 | 17 | 28 | 46 | 207 | 2 | 8 | 24 | 77 | 75 | 351 | 103 | 1,056 |
| 5:30 PM | 106 | 20 | 29 | 47 | 194 | 1 | 16 | 17 | 73 | 47 | 334 | 156 | 1,040 |
| 5:45 PM | 159 | 15 | 21 | 45 | 186 | 5 | 24 | 26 | 55 | 57 | 336 | 130 | 1,059 |
| Total | 965 | 169 | 180 | 370 | 1,574 | 32 | 116 | 175 | 460 | 506 | 2,587 | 1,020 | 8,154 |

PM Intersection Peak Hour : 5:00 PM-6:00 PM Intersection PHF : 0.99

|  | Southbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left |  |
| Volume | 506 | 68 | 101 | 180 | 823 | 11 | 61 | 91 | 263 | 234 | 1355 | 506 | 4199 |
| PHF | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.871 | 0.957 | 0.872 | 0.55 | 0.635 | 0.875 | 0.854 | 0.78 | 0.965 | 0.811 | 0.99 |
| Movement PHF |  | 0.87 |  |  | 0.90 |  |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.98 |  | 0.99 |

## Turn Count Summary

Accurate Video Counts Inc
info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

| Location: | "T" intersection South of Olympic F @ Town Center Drive |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date of Count: | Tuesday, October 10, 2017 |  |
| Analysts: | LV/CD |  |
| Weather: | Sunny |  |
| AVC Proj No: | $17-0768$ |  |



Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: section South of Olympic Parkway @ Town Center Drive


| PM Period (4:00 PM - 6:00 PM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Southbound |  | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  | TOTAL |
|  | Right | Left | Right | Thru |  | Thru | Left |  |
| 4:00 PM | 30 | 43 | 60 | 2 |  | 2 | 20 | 157 |
| 4:15 PM | 39 | 63 | 47 | 0 |  | 2 | 27 | 178 |
| 4:30 PM | 43 | 57 | 48 | 1 |  | 1 | 23 | 173 |
| 4:45 PM | 46 | 50 | 45 | 1 |  | 1 | 24 | 167 |
| 5:00 PM | 33 | 35 | 54 | 3 |  | 2 | 36 | 163 |
| 5:15 PM | 45 | 48 | 65 | 0 |  | 0 | 27 | 185 |
| 5:30 PM | 31 | 53 | 60 | 0 |  | 0 | 35 | 179 |
| 5:45 PM | 41 | 42 | 57 | 0 |  | 0 | 38 | 178 |
| Total | 308 | 391 | 436 | 7 |  | 8 | 230 | 1,380 |
| PM Intersection Peak Hour : |  | 5:00 PM - 6:00 PM |  |  |  | Intersection PHF : |  | 0.95 |
|  | Southbound |  | Westbound |  |  | Eastbound |  | TOTAL |
|  | Right | Left | Right | Thru |  | Thru | Left | TOTAL |
| Volume | 150 | 178 | 236 | 3 |  | 2 | 136 | 705 |
| PHF | 0.83 | 0.84 | 0.908 | 0.25 |  | 0.25 | 0.895 | 0.95 |
| Movement PHF | 0.88 |  |  | 0.92 |  | 0.91 |  | 0.95 |

Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: 1. Olympic Parkway east of Town Center Drive
Orientation: East-West
Date of Count: Tuesday, October 10, 2017
Analysts: DASH
Weather: Sunny
AVC Proj. No:
17-0768

| 24 Hour Segment Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29,802 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  | Hourly Volume |  |  | Time |  | Hourly Volume |  |  |
|  |  | EB | WB | Total |  |  | EB | WB | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 1:00 AM | 108 | 81 | 189 | 12:00 PM | 1:00 PM | 671 | 660 | 1,331 |
| 1:00 AM | 2:00 AM | 55 | 39 | 94 | 1:00 PM | 2:00 PM | 696 | 700 | 1,396 |
| 2:00 AM | 3:00 AM | 38 | 38 | 76 | 2:00 PM | 3:00 PM | 903 | 913 | 1,816 |
| 3:00 AM | 4:00 AM | 51 | 62 | 113 | 3:00 PM | 4:00 PM | 1,092 | 1,047 | 2,139 |
| 4:00 AM | 5:00 AM | 65 | 219 | 284 | 4:00 PM | 5:00 PM | 1,366 | 962 | 2,328 |
| 5:00 AM | 6:00 AM | 124 | 740 | 864 | 5:00 PM | 6:00 PM | 1,517 | 1,014 | 2,531 |
| 6:00 AM | 7:00 AM | 388 | 1,299 | 1,687 | 6:00 PM | 7:00 PM | 1,185 | 932 | 2,117 |
| 7:00 AM | 8:00 AM | 701 | 1,430 | 2,131 | 7:00 PM | 8:00 PM | 987 | 733 | 1,720 |
| 8:00 AM | 9:00 AM | 799 | 1,358 | 2,157 | 8:00 PM | 9:00 PM | 705 | 507 | 1,212 |
| 9:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 446 | 853 | 1,299 | 9:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 560 | 373 | 933 |
| 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 529 | 703 | 1,232 | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 329 | 217 | 546 |
| 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 593 | 665 | 1258 | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 189 | 160 | 349 |
| Total |  | 3,897 | 7,487 | 11,384 | Total |  | 10,200 | 8,218 | 18,418 |
| 24-Hour | $E B$ | olume |  | 14,097 | 24-Hour | WB | Volume |  | 15,705 |



# 24 Hour Segment Count 

Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: 2. Olympic Parkway west of Town Center Drive
Orientation: East-West
Date of Count: Tuesday, October 10, 2017
Analysts: DASH

## Weather: Sunny

AVC Proj. No:
17-0768


24 Hour Segment Count
Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: 3. Town Center Drive South of Olympic Parkway
Orientation: North-South
Date of Count: Tuesday, October 10, 2017
Analysts: DASH

## Weather: Sunny

AVC Proj. No:
17-0768

|  |  | Hour | egm |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  | ly Vol |  | Time |  | rly Vo | me |
| Time | NB | SB | Total | Time | NB | SB | Total |
| 12:00 AM - 1:00 AM | 21 | 3 | 24 | 12:00 PM - 1:00 PM | 171 | 207 | 378 |
| 1:00 AM - 2:00 AM | 7 | 3 | 10 | 1:00 PM - 2:00 PM | 234 | 235 | 469 |
| 2:00 AM - 3:00 AM | 4 | 3 | 7 | 2:00 PM - 3:00 PM | 243 | 232 | 475 |
| 3:00 AM - 4:00 AM | 2 | 6 | 8 | 3:00 PM - 4:00 PM | 273 | 300 | 573 |
| 4:00 AM - 5:00 AM | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4:00 PM - 5:00 PM | 294 | 371 | 665 |
| 5:00 AM - 6:00 AM | 3 | 12 | 15 | 5:00 PM - 6:00 PM | 373 | 328 | 701 |
| 6:00 AM - 7:00 AM | 21 | 40 | 61 | 6:00 PM - 7:00 PM | 419 | 367 | 786 |
| 7:00 AM - 8:00 AM | 22 | 51 | 73 | 7:00 PM - 8:00 PM | 445 | 285 | 730 |
| 8:00 AM - 9:00 AM | 52 | 101 | 153 | 8:00 PM - 9:00 PM | 358 | 114 | 472 |
| 9:00 AM - 10:00 AM | 57 | 133 | 190 | 9:00 PM - 10:00 PM | 241 | 73 | 314 |
| 10:00 AM - 11:00 AM | 114 | 147 | 261 | 10:00 PM - 11:00 PM | 136 | 18 | 154 |
| 11:00 AM - 12:00 PM | 162 | 200 | 362 | 11:00 PM - 12:00 AM | 57 | 19 | 76 |
| Total | 466 | 704 | 1,170 | Total | 3,244 | 2,549 | 5,793 |

24-Hour NB Volume $3,710 \quad$ 24-Hour $\quad$ SB Volume $\quad$ 3,253


24 Hour Segment Count
Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: 4. Driveway just east of Town Center Drive
Orientation: East-West
Date of Count: Tuesday, October 10, 2017
Analysts: DASH

## Weather: Sunny

AVC Proj. No:
17-0768

|  |  |  | 4 Hour | Segme |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | rly Vo | me |  |  | Hour | rly Vo | ume |
|  |  | EB | WB | Total |  |  | EB | WB | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 1:00 AM | 2 | 19 | 21 | 12:00 PM | 1:00 PM | 138 | 116 | 254 |
| 1:00 AM | 2:00 AM | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1:00 PM | 2:00 PM | 131 | 168 | 299 |
| 2:00 AM | 3:00 AM | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2:00 PM | 3:00 PM | 129 | 167 | 296 |
| 3:00 AM | 4:00 AM | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3:00 PM | 4:00 PM | 162 | 190 | 352 |
| 4:00 AM | 5:00 AM | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4:00 PM | 5:00 PM | 219 | 204 | 423 |
| 5:00 AM | 6:00 AM | 9 | 2 | 11 | 5:00 PM | 6:00 PM | 180 | 239 | 419 |
| 6:00 AM | 7:00 AM | 26 | 14 | 40 | 6:00 PM | 7:00 PM | 222 | 284 | 506 |
| 7:00 AM | 8:00 AM | 29 | 19 | 48 | 7:00 PM | 8:00 PM | 182 | 320 | 502 |
| 8:00 AM | 9:00 AM | 53 | 27 | 80 | 8:00 PM | 9:00 PM | 66 | 247 | 313 |
| 9:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 67 | 40 | 107 | 9:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 37 | 186 | 223 |
| 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 90 | 59 | 149 | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 18 | 106 | 124 |
| 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 127 | 95 | 222 | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 18 | 51 | 69 |
|  |  | 412 | 286 | 698 |  |  | 1,502 | 2,278 | 3,780 |
| 24-Hour EB |  | Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1,914 | 24-Hour | WB | Volume |  | 2,564 |



24 Hour Segment Count
Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: 5. Driveway just west of Town Center Drive
Orientation: East-West
Date of Count: Tuesday, October 10, 2017
Analysts: DASH

## Weather: Sunny

AVC Proj. No:
17-0768


## 24-Hour EB Volume $1,285 \quad$ 24-Hour $\quad$ WB Volume $\quad$ 1,485



Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: 1. Olympic Parkway east of Town Center Drive
Orientation: East-West
Date of Count: $\quad$ Friday, October 13, 2017
Analysts: DASH
Weather: Sunny
AVC Proj. No:
17-0768

| 24 Hour Segment Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33,373 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  | Hourly Volume |  |  | Time |  | Hourly Volume |  |  |
|  |  | EB | WB | Total |  |  | EB | WB | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 1:00 AM | 265 | 181 | 446 | 12:00 PM | 1:00 PM | 865 | 792 | 1,657 |
| 1:00 AM | 2:00 AM | 144 | 98 | 242 | 1:00 PM | 2:00 PM | 1,057 | 1,081 | 2,138 |
| 2:00 AM | 3:00 AM | 63 | 51 | 114 | 2:00 PM | 3:00 PM | 963 | 1,050 | 2,013 |
| 3:00 AM | 4:00 AM | 54 | 70 | 124 | 3:00 PM | 4:00 PM | 1,147 | 978 | 2,125 |
| 4:00 AM | 5:00 AM | 56 | 208 | 264 | 4:00 PM | 5:00 PM | 1,328 | 1,038 | 2,366 |
| 5:00 AM | 6:00 AM | 129 | 668 | 797 | 5:00 PM | 6:00 PM | 1,467 | 1,200 | 2,667 |
| 6:00 AM | 7:00 AM | 400 | 1,132 | 1,532 | 6:00 PM | 7:00 PM | 1,137 | 1,085 | 2,222 |
| 7:00 AM | 8:00 AM | 625 | 1,370 | 1,995 | 7:00 PM | 8:00 PM | 1,076 | 855 | 1,931 |
| 8:00 AM | 9:00 AM | 692 | 1,125 | 1,817 | 8:00 PM | 9:00 PM | 802 | 713 | 1,515 |
| 9:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 558 | 931 | 1,489 | 9:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 767 | 674 | 1,441 |
| 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 604 | 777 | 1,381 | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 554 | 437 | 991 |
| 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 657 | 787 | 1444 | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 357 | 305 | 662 |
| Total |  | 4,247 | 7,398 | 11,645 | Total |  | 11,520 | 10,208 | 21,728 |
| 24-Hour | $E B$ | Volume |  | 15,767 | 24-Hour | WB | Volume |  | 17,606 |



Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: 2. Olympic Parkway west of Town Center Drive
Orientation: East-West
Date of Count: $\quad$ Friday, October 13, 2017
Analysts: DASH
Weather: Sunny
AVC Proj. No:
17-0768

| 24 Hour Segment Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49,205 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  | Hourly Volume |  |  | Time |  | Hourly Volume |  |  |
|  |  | EB | WB | Total |  |  | EB | WB | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 1:00 AM | 353 | 321 | 674 | 12:00 PM | 1:00 PM | 1,393 | 1,224 | 2,617 |
| 1:00 AM | 2:00 AM | 180 | 185 | 365 | 1:00 PM | 2:00 PM | 1,639 | 1,486 | 3,125 |
| 2:00 AM | 3:00 AM | 85 | 78 | 163 | 2:00 PM | 3:00 PM | 1,479 | 1,613 | 3,092 |
| 3:00 AM | 4:00 AM | 84 | 90 | 174 | 3:00 PM | 4:00 PM | 1,823 | 1,463 | 3,286 |
| 4:00 AM | 5:00 AM | 120 | 238 | 358 | 4:00 PM | 5:00 PM | 1,966 | 1,618 | 3,584 |
| 5:00 AM | 6:00 AM | 233 | 748 | 981 | 5:00 PM | 6:00 PM | 2,168 | 1,709 | 3,877 |
| 6:00 AM | 7:00 AM | 603 | 1,307 | 1,910 | 6:00 PM | 7:00 PM | 1,777 | 1,568 | 3,345 |
| 7:00 AM | 8:00 AM | 914 | 1,638 | 2,552 | 7:00 PM | 8:00 PM | 1,649 | 1,411 | 3,060 |
| 8:00 AM | 9:00 AM | 996 | 1,262 | 2,258 | 8:00 PM | 9:00 PM | 1,133 | 1,286 | 2,419 |
| 9:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 984 | 1,157 | 2,141 | 9:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 1,116 | 1,195 | 2,311 |
| 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 1,016 | 1,068 | 2,084 | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 734 | 758 | 1,492 |
| 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 1,169 | 1,119 | 2288 | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 465 | 584 | 1049 |
| Total |  | 6,737 | 9,211 | 15,948 | Total |  | 17,342 | 15,915 | 33,257 |
| 24-Hour | $E B$ | Volume |  | 24,079 | 24-Hour | WB | Volume |  | 25,126 |



24 Hour Segment Count
Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: 3. Town Center Drive South of Olympic Parkway
Orientation: North-South
Date of Count: Friday, October 13, 2017
Analysts: DASH
Weather: Sunny
AVC Proj. No:
17-0768

|  |  |  | Hour | Segme |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ly V | me |  |  |  | rly Vo | ume |
|  |  | NB | SB | Total |  |  | NB | SB | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 1:00 AM | 83 | 29 | 112 | 12:00 PM | 1:00 PM | 263 | 272 | 535 |
| 1:00 AM | 2:00 AM | 31 | 9 | 40 | 1:00 PM | 2:00 PM | 300 | 291 | 591 |
| 2:00 AM | 3:00 AM | 10 | 1 | 11 | 2:00 PM | 3:00 PM | 336 | 265 | 601 |
| 3:00 AM | 4:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3:00 PM | 4:00 PM | 324 | 349 | 673 |
| 4:00 AM | 5:00 AM | 1 | 8 | 9 | 4:00 PM | 5:00 PM | 357 | 362 | 719 |
| 5:00 AM | 6:00 AM | 7 | 21 | 28 | 5:00 PM | 6:00 PM | 401 | 393 | 794 |
| 6:00 AM | 7:00 AM | 11 | 34 | 45 | 6:00 PM | 7:00 PM | 425 | 323 | 748 |
| 7:00 AM | 8:00 AM | 40 | 53 | 93 | 7:00 PM | 8:00 PM | 370 | 351 | 721 |
| 8:00 AM | 9:00 AM | 29 | 97 | 126 | 8:00 PM | 9:00 PM | 345 | 183 | 528 |
| 9:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 55 | 170 | 225 | 9:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 342 | 123 | 465 |
| 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 138 | 209 | 347 | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 171 | 69 | 240 |
| 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 178 | 236 | 414 | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 162 | 43 | 205 |
| Total |  | 583 | 868 | 1,451 | Total |  | 3,796 | 3,024 | 6,820 |
| 24-Hour NB |  | Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4,379 | 24-Hour | SB | Volume |  | 3,892 |



24 Hour Segment Count
Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: 4. Driveway just east of Town Center Drive
Orientation: East-West
Date of Count: Friday, October 13, 2017
Analysts: DASH
Weather: Sunny
AVC Proj. No:
17-0768


| $24-H o u r ~$ | EB | Volume | 2,538 | 24-Hour | WB | Volume |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



24 Hour Segment Count
Accurate Video Counts Inc info@accuratevideocounts.com
(619) 987-5136

Location: 5. Driveway just west of Town Center Drive
Orientation: East-West
Date of Count: Friday, October 13, 2017
Analysts: DASH

## Weather: Sunny

AVC Proj. No:
17-0768

| 24 Hour Segment Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,764 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  | Hourly Volume |  |  | Time |  |  | urly Vol | me |
|  |  | EB | WB | Total |  |  | EB | WB | Total |
| 12:00 AM | 1:00 AM | 2 | 5 | 7 | 12:00 PM | 1:00 PM | 105 | 126 | 231 |
| 1:00 AM | 2:00 AM | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1:00 PM | 2:00 PM | 121 | 137 | 258 |
| 2:00 AM | 3:00 AM | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2:00 PM | 3:00 PM | 141 | 113 | 254 |
| 3:00 AM | 4:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3:00 PM | 4:00 PM | 109 | 133 | 242 |
| 4:00 AM | 5:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4:00 PM | 5:00 PM | 125 | 144 | 269 |
| 5:00 AM | 6:00 AM | 1 | 7 | 8 | 5:00 PM | 6:00 PM | 132 | 126 | 258 |
| 6:00 AM | 7:00 AM | 3 | 18 | 21 | 6:00 PM | 7:00 PM | 142 | 103 | 245 |
| 7:00 AM | 8:00 AM | 20 | 26 | 46 | 7:00 PM | 8:00 PM | 100 | 82 | 182 |
| 8:00 AM | 9:00 AM | 12 | 49 | 61 | 8:00 PM | 9:00 PM | 93 | 52 | 145 |
| 9:00 AM | 10:00 AM | 26 | 77 | 103 | 9:00 PM | 10:00 PM | 60 | 26 | 86 |
| 10:00 AM | 11:00 AM | 54 | 88 | 142 | 10:00 PM | 11:00 PM | 14 | 17 | 31 |
| 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 66 | 87 | 153 | 11:00 PM | 12:00 AM | 4 | 7 | 11 |
| Total |  | 190 | 362 | 552 | Total |  | 1,146 | 1,066 | 2,212 |

## 24-Hour EB Volume $1,336 \quad$ 24-Hour $\quad$ WB Volume $\quad$ 1,428



| $\#$ | Location | $10 / 10 / 2017$ | $10 / 13 / 2017$ |  | Friday vs. Tuesday | Average Growth \% |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Olympic Pkwy E. of Town Center Dr. | 29802 | 33373 | $10 / 13 / 2017$ | 3571 | $11.98 \%$ |
| 2 | Olympic Pkwy W. of Town Center Dr. | 43563 | 49205 | $10 / 13 / 2017$ | 5642 | $12.95 \%$ |
| 3 | Town Center Dr. S. of Olympic Pkwy | 6963 | 8271 | $10 / 13 / 2017$ | 1308 | $18.79 \%$ |
| 4 | Driveway E. of Town Center Dr. | 4478 | 5661 | $10 / 13 / 2017$ | 1183 | $26.42 \%$ |
| 5 | Driveway W. of Town Center Dr. | 2770 | 2764 | $10 / 10 / 2017$ | -6 | $-0.22 \%$ |

## Average Growth to Int \#1 14.57\% <br> Average Growth to Int \#2 15.00\%

## Chen ${ }^{\text {PRyan }}$

ATTACHMENT H - ACCESS \& FRONTAGE OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS
LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATION WORKSHEETS
EXISTING CONDITIONS


User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 |  |  |  |  | $4$ | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 1 | 58 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 26 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 1 | 58 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) 0.91 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.94 |
| Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln 1900 | 1863 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h 27 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 61 |
| Adj No. of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h 284 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 69 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 141 | 2 | 144 |
| Arrive On Green 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h 759 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 1254 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 798 | 13 | 811 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln 843 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1605 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1623 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane 0.90 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.78 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.49 |  | 0.50 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h 289 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 288 | 0 | 0 |
| VIC Ratio(X) 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h 603 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 478 | 0 | 1651 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) $\quad 1.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh 13.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/lm0. 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh 13.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS B |  |  |  |  | B |  | B |  | B |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 30 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 122 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 13.6 |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 11.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 11.2 |  | 11.1 |  | 11.2 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ | * 9.6 |  | 6.1 |  | * 9.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 8.4 |  | 6.9 |  | * 8.4 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 3.2 |  | 3.9 |  | 2.5 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 12.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 |  |  |  |  | $4$ | $4$ |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | * |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 155 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 269 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 203 | 1 | 171 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 155 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 269 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 203 | 1 | 171 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.96 |
| Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln 1900 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h 163 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 283 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 214 | 1 | 180 |
| Adj No. of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h 288 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 489 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 246 | 1 | 207 |
| Arrive On Green 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h 553 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1540 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 895 | 4 | 753 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 395 | 0 | 0 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln 562 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1556 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1652 | 0 | 0 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s 19.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Prop In Lane 0.99 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.99 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 0.54 |  | 0.46 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h 291 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 495 | 0 | 123 | 0 | 455 | 0 | 0 |
| VIC Ratio(X) 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h 328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 548 | 0 | 732 | 0 | 542 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) $\quad 1.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh 26.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh 27.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 34.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| LnGrp LOS C |  |  |  |  | B |  | C |  | C |  |  |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 165 |  |  | 286 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 395 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 27.9 |  |  | 19.4 |  |  | 27.8 |  |  | 34.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), s | 29.8 |  | 25.6 |  | 29.8 |  | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ) , $s$ | *9.6 |  | * 8.1 |  | * 9.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 22 |  | * 21 |  | * 22 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 21.3 |  | 16.5 |  | 11.8 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.1 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.4 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 28.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


## Chen ${ }^{\text {PRyan }}$

ATTACHMENT I - ACCESS \& FRONTAGE OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS
LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATION WORKSHEETS
EXISTING PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS


User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor |  | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 433 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 7.14 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | -92 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 488 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 479 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 13.9 |

HCMLOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 479 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.156 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 13.9 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | $B$ | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.5 | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  |  | A | 4 | T |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 71 | 8 | 0 | 262 | 165 | 33 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 71 | 8 | 0 | 262 | 165 | 33 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 75 | 8 | 0 | 276 | 174 | 35 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 470 | 194 |  | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 194 | . |  | - |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 276 | - |  | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 552 | 847 | 0 | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 839 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 771 | - | 0 | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 531 | 831 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 531 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 823 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 756 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 12.7 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | -551 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | -0.151 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | -12.7 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | $B$ | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - |  |
| H.5 | - | - |  |



| 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | * |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  | \% | $\hat{p}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 66 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 66 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) 0.94 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |
| Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln 1900 | 1863 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h 31 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 38 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 69 |
| Adj No. of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h 314 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 100 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 280 |
| Arrive On Green 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h 760 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 1342 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1499 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 69 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln 834 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1499 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Prop In Lane 0.91 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.84 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 280 |
| V/C Ratio(X) 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.25 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h 584 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 440 | 0 | 1743 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 443 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh 12.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3), s/veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/lm0. 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh 12.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 9.7 |
| LnGrp LOS B |  |  |  |  | B |  | B |  | A |  | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 34 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 162 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 12.6 |  |  | 13.8 |  |  | 16.7 |  |  | 9.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), s | 11.6 |  | 11.1 |  | 11.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ | * 9.6 |  | 6.1 |  | * 9.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 7.4 |  | 7.9 |  | * 7.4 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 3.4 |  | 3.2 |  | 2.7 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 10.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


| Major/Minor |  | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 804 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 7.14 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | -92 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 280 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 275 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 20.8 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | C |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 275 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.172 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 20.8 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  |  | 4 | 4 | T |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 45 | 5 | 0 | 610 | 506 | 97 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 45 | 5 | 0 | 610 | 506 | 97 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 47 | 5 | 0 | 642 | 533 | 102 |




| 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | $\hat{p}$ |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{0}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 164 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 304 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 222 | 0 | 176 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 164 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 304 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 222 | 0 | 176 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |
| Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln 1900 | 1863 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h 173 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 320 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 185 |
| Adj No. of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h 343 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 569 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 311 |
| Arrive On Green 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h 557 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1727 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1678 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 323 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 185 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln 567 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1743 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1678 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s 14.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| Prop In Lane 0.99 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.99 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h 346 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 575 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 311 |
| VIC Ratio(X) 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.59 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h 501 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 831 | 0 | 1038 | 0 | 470 | 0 | 445 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) $\quad 1.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh 18.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 16.7 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/lr2. 2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh 19.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 49.9 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 |
| LnGrp LOS B |  |  |  |  | B |  | D |  | C |  | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 175 |  |  | 323 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 419 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 19.3 |  |  | 13.2 |  |  | 49.9 |  |  | 19.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 24.4 |  | 16.4 |  | 24.4 |  | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ | *9.6 |  | * 8.1 |  | *9.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 21 |  | * 12 |  | * 21 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 16.2 |  | 7.6 |  | 8.8 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.5 |  | 0.8 |  | 1.6 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 17.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


## Chen ${ }^{\text {PRyan }}$

ATTACHMENT J - ACCESS \& FRONTAGE OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS
LEVEL OF SERVICE CALCULATION WORKSHEETS
HORIZON YEAR 2030 BASE AND BASE PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

## Chen ${ }^{\text {Pran }}$



User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 |  |  |  |  | $4$ | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | $\hat{p}$ |  |  | \& |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{6}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 30 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) 0.93 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.96 |
| Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln 1900 | 1863 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h 32 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 42 |
| Adj No. of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h 338 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 79 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 339 |
| Arrive On Green 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.22 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h 775 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 566 | 1081 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1513 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 42 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln1042 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1647 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1513 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Prop In Lane 0.74 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.66 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h 358 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 339 |
| V/C Ratio(X) 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h 778 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 619 | 0 | 2085 | 0 | 548 | 0 | 467 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) $\quad 1.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 6.9 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/lm0. 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 |
| LnGrp LOS B |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | A |  | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 43 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 84 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 10.4 |  |  | 10.9 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 11.2 |  | 11.1 |  | 11.2 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ | * 9.6 |  | 6.1 |  | * 9.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 8.4 |  | 6.9 |  | * 8.4 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 3.1 |  | 2.5 |  | 2.4 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

| 4 |  |  |  |  | $4$ | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | $\hat{p}$ |  |  | \& |  | \% | $\hat{6}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 200 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 160 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 200 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 160 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.94 |
| Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln 1900 | 1863 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h 211 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 168 |
| Adj No. of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h 417 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 583 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 316 | 0 | 267 |
| Arrive On Green 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h 632 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 1512 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1495 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 168 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln 678 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1568 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1495 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s 13.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| Prop In Lane 0.95 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.96 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h 434 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 605 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 316 | 0 | 267 |
| V/C Ratio(X) 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 0.63 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h 774 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1096 | 0 | 1146 | 0 | 651 | 0 | 548 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh 14.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 15.4 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/lr2. 5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh 15.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 17.9 |
| LnGrp LOS B |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | B |  | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 222 |  |  | 306 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 336 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 15.5 |  |  | 10.2 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 17.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  | B |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 25.3 |  | 15.3 |  | 25.3 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ) , $s$ | * 9.6 |  | * 8.1 |  | *9.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 28 |  | * 15 |  | * 28 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 15.8 |  | 6.2 |  | 8.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 1.3 |  | 1.0 |  | 2.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 14.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


## Chen ${ }^{\text {Pran }}$

HORIZON YEAR 2030 BASE PLUS PROJECT

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个个4 | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | †tt |  | \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\hat{*}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{}$ | 7 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 340 | 1050 | 123 | 76 | 1530 | 160 | 242 | 44 | 57 | 55 | 24 | 380 |  |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 340 | 1050 | 123 | 76 | 1530 | 160 | 242 | 44 | 57 | 55 | 24 | 380 |  |
| Number | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |  |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |  |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 |  |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 358 | 1105 | 0 | 80 | 1611 | 149 | 255 | 46 | 6 | 58 | 0 | 417 |  |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 395 | 2694 | 974 | 121 | 2702 | 250 | 294 | 417 | 54 | 74 | 0 | 659 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.23 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.22 |  |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 3442 | 5085 | 1583 | 3442 | 6004 | 555 | 3442 | 1609 | 210 | 1774 | 0 | 3057 |  |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 358 | 1105 | 0 | 80 | 1289 | 471 | 255 | 0 | 52 | 58 | 0 | 417 |  |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | n1721 | 1695 | 1583 | 1721 | 1602 | 1754 | 1721 | 0 | 1818 | 1774 | 0 | 1528 |  |
| $Q$ Serve(g_s), s | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 30.2 | 30.3 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 18.6 |  |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 15.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 30.2 | 30.3 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 18.6 |  |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.32 | 1.00 |  | 0.12 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 395 | 2694 | 974 | 121 | 2163 | 789 | 294 | 0 | 472 | 74 | 0 | 659 |  |
| VIC Ratio(X) | 0.91 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.63 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 408 | 2694 | 974 | 167 | 2163 | 789 | 294 | 0 | 652 | 124 | 0 | 1039 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | h 57.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.5 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 67.8 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 71.2 | 0.0 | 53.4 |  |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 20.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 22.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.8 |  |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \%ile BackOfQ( $50 \%$ ),veh | /ln8. 3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 13.6 | 15.4 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 7.9 |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 77.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 73.8 | 32.2 | 34.3 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 42.4 | 77.8 | 0.0 | 54.2 |  |
| LnGrp LOS | E | A |  | E | C | C | F |  | D | E |  | D | D |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 1463 |  |  | 1840 |  |  | 307 |  |  | 475 |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 19.3 |  |  | 34.6 |  |  | 82.0 |  |  | 57.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | E |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | . | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s9.5 |  | 86.1 | 17.0 | 37.5 | 21.4 | 74.1 | 10.5 | 44.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s* 4.2 |  | *6.6 | *4.2 | 5.1 | *4.2 | 6.6 | * 4.2 | *5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax) , 3 |  | * 59 | *13 | 51.0 | * 18 | 48.3 | *11 | *54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+115, $\mathbf{8}_{5}$ |  | 2.0 | 13.0 | 20.6 | 17.2 | 32.3 | 6.9 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s 0.0 |  | 22.3 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 35.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


| Major/Minor |  | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 466 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | - | - | - | 7.14 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | - | - | -92 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 465 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | 465 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0 | 14.2 |

HCMLOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 465 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.161 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 14.2 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | $B$ | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  |  | 4 | 4 | T |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 71 | 8 | 0 | 267 | 200 | 33 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 71 | 8 | 0 | 267 | 200 | 33 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 75 | 8 | 0 | 281 | 211 | 35 |




| 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | $\hat{p}$ |  |  | \& |  | \% | $\hat{6}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 33 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 48 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 33 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 48 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q $(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) 0.94 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |
| Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln 1900 | 1863 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h 35 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 51 |
| Adj No. of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h 288 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 92 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 331 | 0 | 280 |
| Arrive On Green 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h 681 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 1219 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1499 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 51 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln 895 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1614 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1499 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Prop In Lane 0.76 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.76 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h 305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 331 | 0 | 280 |
| V/C Ratio(X) 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h 632 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 507 | 0 | 1740 | 0 | 457 | 0 | 386 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) $\quad 1.00$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh 12.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 9.2 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/lm0. 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 9.5 |
| LnGrp LOS B |  |  |  |  | B |  | B |  | A |  | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 46 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 126 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 12.7 |  |  | 13.6 |  |  | 16.8 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 11.6 |  | 11.1 |  | 11.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), $s$ | *9.6 |  | 6.1 |  | * 9.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 8.4 |  | 6.9 |  | * 8.4 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 3.6 |  | 3.0 |  | 2.7 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | ** | 个个4 | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | †tt |  | \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\hat{}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{}$ | 7 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 590 | 1706 | 366 | 155 | 950 | 210 | 443 | 136 | 99 | 135 | 92 | 590 |  |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 590 | 1706 | 366 | 155 | 950 | 210 | 443 | 136 | 99 | 135 | 92 | 590 |  |
| Number | 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |  |
| Initial $\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{Qb})$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 | 1.00 |  | 0.97 |  |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 | 1937 | 1937 | 1937 |  |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 596 | 1723 | 71 | 157 | 960 | 178 | 447 | 137 | 73 | 136 | 0 | 658 |  |
| Adj No. of Lanes | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 592 | 2361 | 1042 | 172 | 1905 | 351 | 450 | 318 | 169 | 159 | 0 | 778 |  |
| Arrive On Green | 0.17 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.10 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.22 |  |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 3442 | 5085 | 1745 | 3442 | 5567 | 1026 | 3442 | 1182 | 630 | 1845 | 0 | 3563 |  |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 596 | 1723 | 71 | 157 | 828 | 310 | 447 | 0 | 210 | 136 | 0 | 658 |  |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/n | 1721 | 1695 | 1745 | 1721 | 1602 | 1787 | 1721 | 0 | 1812 | 1845 | 0 | 1782 |  |
| $Q$ Serve(g_s), s | 25.8 | 41.2 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 18.6 |  |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 25.8 | 41.2 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 12.4 | 12.6 | 19.5 | 0.0 | 14.4 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 18.6 |  |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.57 | 1.00 |  | 0.35 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 592 | 2361 | 1042 | 172 | 1645 | 612 | 450 | 0 | 487 | 159 | 0 | 778 |  |
| VIC Ratio(X) | 1.01 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 0.91 | 0.50 | 0.51 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.85 |  |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 592 | 2361 | 1042 | 172 | 1645 | 612 | 450 | 0 | 622 | 241 | 0 | 1212 |  |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 62.1 | 32.6 | 5.5 | 67.2 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 65.1 | 0.0 | 45.4 | 67.6 | 0.0 | 27.6 |  |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 38.7 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 43.5 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 40.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh | /1/45. 4 | 19.7 | 0.8 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh 1 | 100.8 | 34.6 | 5.6 | 110.6 | 18.6 | 20.5 | 105.9 | 0.0 | 45.8 | 78.8 | 0.0 | 30.5 |  |
| LnGrp LOS | F | C | A | F | B | C | F |  | D | E |  | C | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 2390 |  |  | 1295 |  |  | 657 |  |  | 794 |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 50.2 |  |  | 30.2 |  |  | 86.7 |  |  | 38.8 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  |
| Timer | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | . | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), \$1.7 |  | 76.2 | 24.2 | 37.9 | 30.0 | 57.9 | 17.2 | 44.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), st 4.2 |  | * 6.6 | 4.6 | * 5.1 | *4.2 | 6.6 | *4.2 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax) 5.5 |  | *52 | 19.6 | *51 | * 26 | 33.5 | * 20 | 51.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+18,\% |  | 43.2 | 21.5 | 20.6 | 27.8 | 14.6 | 12.9 | 16.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Green Ext Time (p_c), s } & 0.0 & 8.1 & 0.0 & 2.2 & 0.0 & 12.1 & 0.1 & 1.1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  |  | 48.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
User approved volume balancing among the lanes for turning movement.
User approved ignoring U-Turning movement.

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 | Minor1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 - | - | - | 807 |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - - | - | - | 7.14 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - - | - | - | 3.92 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - 0 | - | 0 | 279 |
| Stage 1 | - | - 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - 0 | - | 0 |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - - | - | - | 274 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, $s$ | 0 | 0 | 20.9 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | $C$ |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 274 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.173 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 20.9 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | - | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.6 | - | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | r |  |  | 4 | 4 | T |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 45 | 5 | 0 | 633 | 516 | 97 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 45 | 5 | 0 | 633 | 516 | 97 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 47 | 5 | 0 | 666 | 543 | 102 |




| 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 |  |  | $\hat{p}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{6}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) 209 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 315 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 165 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) 209 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 315 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 165 |
| Number 5 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 4 | 14 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.94 |
| Parking Bus, Adj 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln 1900 | 1863 | 0 | 0 | 1863 | 1900 | 1900 | 1863 | 1900 | 1863 | 1863 | 1900 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h 220 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 332 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 174 |
| Adj No. of Lanes 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h 374 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 688 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 274 |
| Arrive On Green 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h 642 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 1695 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1666 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 343 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 174 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln 682 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1751 | 0 | 1863 | 0 | 1774 | 0 | 1666 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s 12.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 6.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 6.1 |
| Prop In Lane 0.95 |  | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | 0.97 | 0.00 |  | 0.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h 390 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 711 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 274 |
| VIC Ratio(X) 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.64 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h 612 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1082 | 0 | 749 | 0 | 996 | 0 | 935 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 24.2 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh 22.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 26.7 |
| LnGrp LOS C |  |  |  |  | B |  | C |  | C |  | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 231 |  |  | 343 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 373 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 22.9 |  |  | 14.1 |  |  | 26.3 |  |  | 27.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Timer 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Assigned Phs | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), s | 34.8 |  | 18.3 |  | 34.8 |  | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ) , $s$ | *9.6 |  | * 8.1 |  | *9.6 |  | 4.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | * 38 |  | * 35 |  | * 38 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 23.7 |  | 8.6 |  | 11.0 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 1.5 |  | 1.7 |  | 2.4 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 Ctrl Delay |  | 21.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2010 LOS |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.


## Chen ${ }^{\text {PRyan }}$

QUEUING ANALYSIS

Queues
4: Town Center Drive/Wal-Mart Driveway \& Olympic Parkway

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 358 | 1105 | 129 | 80 | 1779 | 255 | 106 | 58 | 213 | 212 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.90 | 0.51 | 0.15 | 0.51 | 0.80 | 0.88 | 0.17 | 0.57 | 0.46 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 94.7 | 26.8 | 2.6 | 81.4 | 46.8 | 97.4 | 20.0 | 90.0 | 45.2 | 45.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 94.7 | 26.8 | 2.6 | 81.4 | 46.8 | 97.4 | 20.0 | 90.0 | 45.2 | 45.5 |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) | 158 | 295 | 7 | 40 | 454 | 129 | 38 | 56 | 176 | 175 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#257 | 283 | 18 | 70 | 502 | \#208 | 86 | 106 | 264 | 264 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 830 |  |  | 338 |  | 281 |  | 248 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) | 230 |  |  | 240 |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 407 | 2152 | 857 | 167 | 2226 | 292 | 652 | 123 | 505 | 493 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.51 | 0.15 | 0.48 | 0.80 | 0.87 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.43 |

Intersection Summary
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Queues
8: Town Center Drive \& Promenade Street

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\longleftarrow$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 88 | 177 | 6 | 67 | 18 | 159 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.19 |
| Control Delay | 9.9 | 5.2 | 15.3 | 8.8 | 14.5 | 8.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 9.9 | 5.2 | 15.3 | 8.8 | 14.5 | 8.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 6 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 9 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 45 | 41 | 10 | 37 | 20 | 70 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 382 | 338 |  | 245 |  | 263 |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1215 | 1299 | 429 | 1421 | 495 | 1464 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.11 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Queues
9: Ring Road \& Town Center Drive

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\Perp$ |  |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 46 | 45 | 1 | 75 | 51 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.05 |
| Control Delay | 25.1 | 13.6 | 10.0 | 28.3 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 25.1 | 13.6 | 10.0 | 28.3 | 0.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 15 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 41 | 28 | 3 | 60 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 326 | 659 | 121 |  | 245 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 252 | 295 | 923 | 242 | 1047 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.05 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Queues
4: Town Center Drive/Wal-Mart Driveway \& Olympic Parkway

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\dagger$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 596 | 1723 | 370 | 157 | 1172 | 447 | 237 | 136 | 349 | 340 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.01 | 0.92 | 0.40 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 0.74 | 0.69 | 0.71 |
| Control Delay | 100.2 | 54.5 | 4.1 | 119.7 | 55.0 | 106.1 | 34.7 | 88.0 | 52.5 | 54.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 100.2 | 54.5 | 4.1 | 119.7 | 55.0 | 106.1 | 34.7 | 88.0 | 52.5 | 54.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | -308 | 584 | 24 | 80 | 301 | 228 | 155 | 131 | 316 | 311 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#438 | \#722 | 73 | \#153 | 358 | \#345 | 235 | 202 | 426 | 422 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 830 |  |  | 338 |  | 281 |  | 457 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 230 |  |  | 240 |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 590 | 1868 | 932 | 171 | 1536 | 448 | 628 | 240 | 542 | 513 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 1.01 | 0.92 | 0.40 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 0.57 | 0.64 | 0.66 |

Intersection Summary
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Queues
8: Town Center Drive \& Promenade Street

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 57 | 113 | 19 | 553 | 53 | 496 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.42 |
| Control Delay | 17.1 | 8.9 | 24.4 | 11.4 | 23.4 | 8.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 17.1 | 8.9 | 24.4 | 11.4 | 23.4 | 8.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 9 | 3 | 3 | 53 | 9 | 43 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 40 | 39 | 25 | 279 | 50 | 226 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 382 | 338 |  | 245 |  | 263 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 719 | 754 | 235 | 1301 | 300 | 1349 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.47 | 0.18 | 0.37 |

[^4]Queues
9: Ring Road \& Town Center Drive

|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBT | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 231 | 343 | 1 | 199 | 174 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.58 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 30.5 | 4.6 | 32.0 | 45.4 | 0.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Delay | 30.5 | 4.6 | 32.0 | 45.5 | 0.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 76 | 3 | 1 | 93 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#257 | 66 | 5 | 198 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 326 | 659 | 186 |  | 245 |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 413 | 888 | 544 | 722 | 1184 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 577 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.29 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

# TO: Nick Lee, Baldwin \& Sons 

FROM:

DATE: $\quad$ September 25, 2017

SUBJECT: Otay Ranch Planning Area 12 Freeway Commercial SPA Amendment Water System Evaluation

## Background

The proposed PA-12 project is located in the Otay Ranch Freeway Commercial core area. The northern portion of the PA-12 project is identified as FC-2 in the August 2004 approved SPA plan. The FC-2 site consisted of 34.5 acres of property zoned commercial and was entitled for 347,000 square feet of commercial. In 2015, a SPA amendment changed the entitlement to 600 multi-family residential units, 300 hotel rooms, a 2.0 acre park site, and 15,000 square feet of commercial. Another SPA Amendment is being proposed to increase the multi-family residential unit count to 900 units while leaving the other land uses unchanged.

Nick Lee
September 25, 2017
Page 2

## Purpose

The purpose of this technical memorandum is to provide an evaluation of the effect that this current SPA Amendment will have on the PA-12 water system. A short discussion of water conservation and recycled water will also be provided. This technical memorandum is a supporting document to the PA-12 SPA Plan Amendment being processed by Baldwin \& Sons.

## Land Use Summary

Table 1 summarizes the previously entitled development in the PA-12 SPA Amendment area, the land use evaluated in the Otay Water District February 2015 Water Supply Assessment and Verification (WSAV) report, and with the development currently being proposed by the PA-12 SPA Amendment.

| PA-12 FREEWAY COMMELE 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entitled | February 2015 <br> WSAV | Current |
| MF Residential Units | 600 units | 650 units | 900 units |
| Hotels | 300 rooms | 310 rooms | 300 rooms |
| Park | 2.0 acre | 2.0 acre | 2.0 acre |
| Commercial | $15,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $15,000 \mathrm{SF} / 4.0$ <br> gross ac | $15,000 \mathrm{SF} / 4.0$ <br> gross ac |
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## Projected Water Demands

The projected water demands for Freeway Commercial were included in the Otay Water District February 2015 WSAV report. Table 2 summarizes the projected water demands from the WSAV and projected demands based on the current proposed SPA Amendment. As shown, the projected water demand is reduced by $13,900 \mathrm{gpd}$, or 16 acre-feet per year (AFY), in the current scenario as compared to the assumptions in the 2015 WSAV. The reduction in demand is a result of updated water demand factors used in the OWD 2015 Water Facilities Master Plan. These updated water demand factors for residential development are based on actual usage data and reflect lower projected usage per unit as a result of water conservation efforts in recent years.

| TABLE 2 <br> PA-12 SPA AMENDMENT WATER DEMAND SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Net Acres | Building Units | Unit Demand Factor | Total Demand (gpd) |
| WSAV Water Demand (2015 WSAV) |  |  |  |  |
| MF Residential Units | --- | 650 | $255 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{Unit}^{1}$ | 165,750 |
| Hotel Rooms | --- | 310 | $115 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{room}$ | 35,650 |
| Commercial | 3.6 | --- | $1,785 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ac}$ | 6,428 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 207,828 |
| Proposed Potable Water Demand (current SPA Amendment) |  |  |  |  |
| MF Residential Units | --- | 900 | $170 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{unit}{ }^{1,2}$ | 153,000 |
| Hotels | --- | 300 | $115 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{unit}$ | 34,500 |
| Commercial | 3.6 | -- | $1,785 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ac}^{2}$ | 6,428 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  | 193,928 |
| Decreased Water Demand |  |  |  | 13,900 |
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## Proposed Water System

The recommended water system for Freeway Commercial was outlined in the September 2001 SAMP for the project and included in the OWD 2015 Water Facilities Master Plan. As shown by Table 2, the projected water demand for the amended project is lower than what was estimated in the 2015 WSAV. The sizing of the existing 16 -inch water line in Olympic Parkway, 20 -inch line in Eastlake Parkway, and proposed 12 -inch line in Town Center Drive is adequate to support the proposed development and, thus, no changes to the proposed Freeway Commercial water system are necessary as a result of the proposed development plan changes presented in this memorandum.

California Senate Bills 610/221 require a Water Supply Assessment and Verification report to be prepared for projects proposing 500 or more residential dwelling units, or projects that demand an amount of water equivalent to, or greater than, the amount of water required by a 500 dwelling unit project. The proposed PA-12 SPA amendment that was prepared in 2015 included preparation of a Water Supply Assessment and Verification Report that was prepared and approved by OWD. The current SPA Amendment proposes changes to the proposed land uses, but the projected water demand is lower than the amount that was previously evaluated in the project WSAV report. Therefore, a WSAV report update is not necessary as a result of the current proposed SPA Amendment.

## Water Conservation

The proposed PA-12 SPA Amendment development will be required to comply with City of Chula Vista Guidelines for water conservation. In addition to using recycled water where feasible for landscape irrigation, the proposed apartment units will be required to implement additional water conservation measures such as hot water pipe insulation, pressure reducing valves, and water efficient dishwashers.
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## Recycled Water

The proposed PA-12 project will use recycled water for irrigation at the park site and for common areas of the commercial and multi-family residential sites. As shown by Table 3, the estimated average recycled water demand for the project is $31,560 \mathrm{gpd}$, or 35.4 AFY . The backbone recycled water system is unchanged as a result of the currently proposed SPA Amendment.

| TABLE 3 <br> PLANNING AREA 12 FREEWAY COMMERCIAL PROJECTED RECYCLED WATER DEMANDS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location (Land Use) | Quantity | Recycled Water Factor | Net Recycled Acreage | Unit Rate | Average <br> Demand, <br> gpd |
| Multi-Family Regidential | 900 units | 15\% | ..- | $30 \mathrm{god} / \mathrm{unit}^{1}$ | 27,000 |
| Commercial | 4.0 ac | 10\% | 0.4 | $\begin{gathered} 1,900 \\ \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{ac}^{1} \end{gathered}$ | 760 |
| Park | 2.0 ac | 100\% | 2.0 | $1,900$ ppd/ac ${ }^{1}$ | 3,800 |
| TOTAL 31 |  |  |  |  |  |

1 Based on OWD 2015 Water Facilities Master Plan.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on 0.33 EDU per room.

[^1]:    * HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

[^2]:    * HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

[^3]:    * HCM 2010 computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

[^4]:    Intersection Summary

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assumes recycled water to be used for irrigation,
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on 2015 Water Facilities Master Plan (OWD).

